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## FOREWORD

We are happy to join with the Government of the Kingdom of Tonga in launching the 'Tonga 2006 census of population and housing, Volume 2: Analytical report'. The report is based on Tonga's 2006 population census and was prepared by SPC's Statistics and Demography Programme in close collaboration with the Statistics Department Tonga.

The report contains an analysis of Tonga's recent population growth and dynamics, in particular the level, trends and patterns of fertility, mortality, and migration. The likely impacts of some of these dynamics on wider cross-cutting issues, such as the environment, health, education and economic activity, are discussed. The report also presents a set of population projections to provide planners and policy-makers with scenarios of the size and structure of Tonga's future population with the aim of assisting decisionmakers to effectively plan for the needs of different population groups at different points in time.

Since 1967, the Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC) has assisted Pacific Island countries and territories in the areas of population data collection and demographic analysis. Generous support from bilateral and multilateral donors, most notably the Australian Agency for International Development (AusAID) and the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), has enabled SPC to provide technical assistance on population censuses and surveys, covering all aspects from design, data collection and processing, to analysis and dissemination, with a strong emphasis on training and institutional capacity building. More recently the programme has widened its focus to provide assistance in data utilisation, paying greater attention to the interrelationship between population and development. This change of emphasis was a direct response to requests from SPC's 22 island members.

Evidence-based decision-making and effective planning are essential to good governance. An important aspect of data dissemination is therefore to provide technical information in formats that can be understood and applied by technical and non-technical users, to ensure that planners and policy-makers can take key features of their national socioeconomic and demographic situation into account.

SPC also emphasises the importance of close collaboration with national counterparts in transferring knowledge for improving analytical methodologies, and planning and organising national reports. This emphasis facilitates the long-term sustainability of regional and national capacity in demographic analysis.

The information presented here is the result of intensive effort and collaboration between many people at all levels of the Government of the Kingdom of Tonga and SPC. I acknowledge their valuable work and trust the results will be immensely useful in planning for Tonga's future development.


Director-General
Secretariat of the Pacific Community
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## SUMMARY OF MAIN INDICATORS

|  | Total | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total enumerated population (30 November 2006) | 101,991 | 51,772 | 50,219 |
| Growth rate (\%) of total population, 1996-2006 | 0.4 |  |  |
| Rate of natural increase ( $\mathrm{CBR}-\mathrm{CDR}$ ) | 2.2 |  |  |
| Implied net migration rate <br> (rate of growth - rate of natural increase) | -1.8 |  |  |
| Population density (number of people/ $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| Tonga | 157 |  |  |
| Tongatapu | 280 |  |  |
| Median age (in years) | 21.0 | 20.1 | 21.8 |
| Per cent of population younger than 15 years of age | 38 | 39 | 37 |
| Per cent of population 15-24 years of age (youth) | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Per cent of population 15-59 years of age | 54 | 53 | 54 |
| Per cent of population 60 years and older | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| Age dependency ratio | 86 |  |  |
| Urban population (Nuku'alofa) | 23,658 | 11,860 | 11,798 |
| Per cent urban (\%) | 23.2 |  |  |
| Households |  |  |  |
| Number of private households (head of households) | 17,462 | 13,855 | 3,607 |
| Number of people in private households | 101,144 | 51,122 | 50,022 |
| Average household size | 5.8 |  |  |
| Number of institutions (non-private households) | 67 |  |  |
| Number of people in institutions | 847 |  |  |
| Fertility |  |  |  |
| Estimated number of births, 2006 | 2,945 |  |  |
| Crude birth rate (CBR), 2006 (per 1000) | 29 |  |  |
| Total fertility rate (TFR), 2006 |  |  | 4.2 |
| Teenage fertility rate, 2006 (per 1000) |  |  | 24 |
| Mean age at childbearing, 2006 |  |  | 30.8 |
| Average age at first marriage (SMAM ${ }^{+}$), 2006 | 26.8 | 28.0 | 25.6 |
| Mortality |  |  |  |
| Estimated number of deaths, 2006 | 709 |  |  |
| Crude death rate (CDR), 2006 (per 1000) | 7 |  |  |
| Life expectancy at birth, 2006 | 70.2 | 67.3 | 73.0 |
| Infant mortality rate (IMR), 2006 (per 1000) | 19 | 22 | 16 |
| Child mortality rate (1q5 ${ }^{++}$), 2006 (per 1000) | 3 | 4 | 2 |

## SUMMARY OF MAIN INDICATORS (continued)

|  | Total | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| International migration |  |  |  |
| International net migration (1996-2006) | -18,000 | -9,000 | -9,000 |
| Labour force |  |  |  |
| Employed population (number) | 35,290 | 19,956 | 15,334 |
| Paid workers (number) | 23,438 | 14,273 | 9,165 |
| Subsistence workers (number) | 11,497 | 5,499 | 5,998 |
| Other unspecified workers (number) | 355 | 184 | 171 |
| Unemployed (number) | 388 | 214 | 174 |
| Unemployed (number) - adjusted definition* | 1,824 | 924 | 900 |
| Non-labour force |  |  |  |
| Students | 8,906 | 4,396 | 4,510 |
| Retired, or disabled, or family responsibilities | 10,312 | 3115 | 7,197 |
| Other | 8,189 | 3,760 | 4,429 |
| Other (according to adjusted unemployed)* | 6,753 | 3,050 | 3,703 |
| Labour force participation rate | 56.6 | 64.2 | 49.0 |
| Employment-population ratio | 37.2 | 45.4 | 29.0 |
| Unemployment rate (\%) | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Unemployment rate (\%) - adjusted definition* | 4.9 | 4.4 | 5.5 |
| Unemployment rate (\%) if 'subsistence work' is classified as unemployed | 35.9 | 30.8 | 42.5 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| School enrolment rates of 6-14 year-olds (\%) | 97.9 | 97.4 | 98.4 |
| Proportion of population aged 15 and older with: |  |  |  |
| secondary education | 62.7 | 62.5 | 63.0 |
| tertiary education | 10.3 | 10.9 | 9.7 |
| secondary qualification | 26.2 | 25.1 | 27.4 |
| tertiary qualification | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| vocational/professional qualification | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.0 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |
| Proportion of population aged 15-24 who are able to read and write a simple sentence | 98.6 | 98.4 | 98.8 |

[^0]
## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The aim of this report is to provide an analysis of the 2006 Tonga census data with a strong emphasis on demographic trends, patterns and levels.

The 2006 census determined that the total population was 101,991 . This compares with 97,784 people in 1996, and represents an increase of $4.3 \%$ or 4,207 people. This population increase represents an average annual growth rate of $0.4 \%$, or an increase of 421 people per year.

The 2006 census enumerated 51,772 males and 50,219 females, representing a sex ratio of $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ males per 100 females.

Tongatapu's population was 72,045 , which constitutes $71 \%$ of Tonga's total population.

The urban population was 23,658 people $(23.2 \%$ of the total population), and includes the villages of Kolofo'ou, Ma'ufanga, and Kolomotu'a, which are all part of Nuku'alofa, Tongatapu.

The average population density was 157 people $/ \mathbf{k m}^{2}$. This varies widely between division and district. For example, Tongatapu had 280 people $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$, while Ongo Niua had only 23 people $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$.

The census counted $\mathbf{1 7 , 4 6 2}$ private households with 101,144 household members, which represents 5.8 people per household on average. Almost one-quarter $(23,057)$ of all people that live in private households live in households with 10 or more people, and 3,750 people live in households with 15 or more people.

The 2006 census data show a net flow of people from the "Outer Islands" of Vava'u, Ha'apai, 'Eua, and Ongo Niuas towards Tongatapu during the intercensal period 1996-2006. However, the main destination of Outer Island migrants was to overseas locations.

Tonga has a young population with a median age of 21 years. More than one-third (38\%) of the population was younger than 15 years of age, and only $8 \%$ were 60 years and older.

The age dependency ratio was calculated using the $15-59$ year-old age group as the "working age population". For every 100 people of working age, 86 were in the age dependent category.

The number of births was estimated at $\mathbf{2 , 9 4 5}$ in 2006. This accounts for a crude birth rate (CBR) of 29 per 1000 .

The total fertility rate (TFR) - the average number of births per woman - declined only marginally, from about 4.3 in 1996 to about 4.2 in 2006.

Based on census data for the number of children ever born and still alive, the infant mortality rate (IMR) was estimated at 19; 22 for males and 16 for females. This estimate is similar to 1996 levels.

Based on estimated childhood mortality rates, in combination with reported number of births by age and sex during the period 2003-2006, life expectancies at birth were estimated to be 67.3 and 73.0 years for males and females, respectively.

Based on the derived life tables, a crude death rate (CDR) of 7 per 1,000 was calculated.

The estimated mortality indicators show more positive mortality indicators for females than for males, with females expected to live, on average, almost six years longer than males.

Net international migration is estimated indirectly by applying the demographic balancing equation to the known 1996-2006 intercensal population growth rate, and estimated CBR and CDR. The net migration rate is estimated at $\mathbf{- 1 8}$ per 1,000 population, which equals $\mathbf{y}$ on average, or -150 people per month during the intercensal period 1996-2006.

Women marry at younger ages than men. The average age at marriage was 28.0 and 25.6 years for males and females, respectively.

Methodism is the dominant religion in Tonga, and $37 \%$ of the population is affiliated with the Free Wesleyan Church. The Church of Latter Day Saints is the second largest, with $17 \%$, followed by the Roman Catholic Church 16\% and the Free Church of Tonga 11\%.

The 2006 census questionnaire included a question on smoking habits of the population aged 6 and older. It was found that $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ of the population smokes on a daily basis; of this $\mathbf{2 1 \%}, 33 \%$ are males and $9 \%$ are females. The age group that most likely smokes is $25-29$ year-olds. In general, about half of all males aged $20-65$ smoke, while it $10-15 \%$ of females aged 20 and older smoke.

Data on disabilities indicate that $5 \%$ of the total population reported a disability. The proportion of the population with a disability increases with age, and there is very little difference in the proportion of males and females with a disability. While about $5 \%$ of children younger than 5 years of age had a disability, it was lower for all age groups between 5 and 49 years of age. At age 50 and older, the proportion of the population with a disability increases continuously until it reaches about $45 \%$ of people aged 75 and older.

The most commonly mentioned disability was vision, followed by difficulties with walking. Other disabilities were problems hearing, or remembering and/or concentrating. Almost 200 people could not walk at all, and the same number of people reportedly could not remember or concentrate. Forty-four people were blind, and 39 were deaf.

During the two-week period prior to the census, about $5 \%$ of the total population reported a "health complaint" (illness or injury). The age pattern of people with a health complaint is generally the same as those with a disability (i.e. it increases with age). The vast majority of people with a health complaint sought care, mainly in a hospital. Others self-treated the complaint or went to a private doctor. Three per cent of all people with a health complaint did not seek any care.

School enrolment data show that $98 \%$ of children in the age group 6-14 years (compulsory school age) were enrolled in schools. School enrollment rates declined rapidly after the age of 15 , and about $15 \%$ of 16 year-olds were not attending school. In general, female school enrollment rates were higher than male enrollment rates.

Data on educational attainment indicate that in 2006, about one-quarter of the population had only a primary level education. More than $60 \%$ of the population aged 15 and older had a secondary level education, and about $10 \%$ of the population aged 15 and older had a tertiary level education. Educational levels were higher in the urban area than in rural areas.

The proportion of the population aged 15 and older with a secondary educational qualification was $27 \%$ females, $25 \%$ males. While only $\mathbf{2 - 3 \%}$ had a tertiary qualification, more than $60 \%$ had no qualification at all. About $8 \%$ had a vocational/professional qualification. Populations in the urban area had better qualifications than those in rural areas.

Almost everyone older than 10 years of age was literate in Tongan. Literacy in English was almost equally high as Tongan language skills for youth aged 10-14 years of age. It gradually declines after that, and is below $90 \%$ of the population at age $40-44$ years, and further decrease by age.

The literacy rate of 15-25 year-olds was $\mathbf{9 8 . 4 \%}$ and $\mathbf{9 8 . 8 \%}$ for males and females, respectively. Literacy was measured by a respondent's ability to read and write a simple sentence in Tongan and English.

Although a high percentage (57\%) of Tonga's population aged 15 and older was economically active, only a relatively small proportion ( $37 \%$ ) received a regular paid income; this group consisted of $45 \%$ males and $29 \%$ females.

Subsistence work - such as growing or gathering produce or fishing to feed families - was the main activity of $17 \%$ of Tonga's males and $19 \%$ females aged 15 and older. About $21 \%$ of the population in rural areas (outer islands) were subsistence workers compared with $9 \%$ in the urban centre..

Only 388 people were categorised as being unemployed. However, 195 people did not work because of poor weather conditions, or because they could not afford the transportation costs to work. In addition, 1,241 people did not work and did not look for work, because they believed that no work was available. Using the international definition of unemployment, these people were not classified as unemployed because they did not look for work and did not indicate that they were available for work. However, if all of these people were included in the unemployed category, the unemployment rate would increase to $4.9 \%$. The unemployment rate for males would increase to $4.4 \%$, and for females to $5.5 \%$; unemployment in urban areas would be $5.4 \%$ and $4.8 \%$ for rural areas.

If subsistence workers were included as part of the unemployed - on the grounds that these people would look for work if they believed cash work was available in their labour market community - the total unemployment level would increase to 13,321 people, or an unemployment rate of $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ ( $31 \%$ for males and $42 \%$ for females, and $23 \%$ for the urban area and $40 \%$ in rural areas). While this assumption would not apply to all individuals in this group, it would likely apply to a proportion of them. Depending on the assumptions a user of these data may wish to use, the resulting unemployment rate would fall somewhere between $4.9 \%$ and $36 \%$.

Eighty-one per cent of all households obtained their drinking water from a cement tank. The second most important source was piped water ( $15 \%$ ). However, piped water was only used by a significant proportion of households in Tongatapu and 'Eua. Otherwise, $3 \%$ of all households used bottled water to obtain their drinking water.

The most frequently recorded toilet facility used by $70 \%$ of all Tongan households was a flush toilet, although there were significant differences by division. While $80 \%$ of all households in Tongatapu had a flush toilet, this percentage was much lower in Ha'apai (38\%) and Ongo Niua (34\%).

The main source of lighting in Tonga was electricity, used by an average of $\mathbf{8 9 \%}$ of all households, although this percentage varied between $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ by division. There was no electricity in Ongo Niua. Instead, half of all households there relied on kerosene, and another $44 \%$ on solar power as their main lighting source.

The main energy source for cooking for just over half of all households was gas. Although its use was most common in Tongatapu, where about two-thirds of all households relied on it. This percentage was much lower in all other divisions, where firewood was the most common energy source for cooking.

About $85 \%$ of all households dispose of their waste by burning it. In Tongatapu, one out of ten households (11\%) deposited their waste at the local dump, and another $5 \%$ used a commercial waste collection.

Information on tenure reveals that $72 \%$ of all households owned their dwelling outright, while 4\% rented their dwelling, and another $23 \%$ resided in their dwelling rent-free.

Regarding the availability of household items, a higher proportion of households in Tongatapu (compared with all other divisions) used items such as a hot water system, motor vehicle, refrigerator,
washing machine, TV, video/DVD player, mobile phone, and computers Several items were used by a higher proportion of households in divisions other than Tongatapu. For example, boats were more common in Vava'u and Ha'apai, a bath or shower was more common in Vava'u and 'Eua, and a landline telephone line was most common in Ongo Niua.

According to population projections prepared for this report, Tonga's population in 2030 will increase to about 115,400 people. The population will age, with a decreasing proportion of young people aged 15 and younger, and an increase in people aged 60 and older. The working age population (aged 15-59) will increase to about 68,000 people.

Analysis of census data provides timely and accurate information about demographic trends, patterns and levels. Through census data analysis, governments acquire comprehensive and consistent information about their country's population structure, population processes and socioeconomic characteristics. The population data provided in this report can be an effective tool for planning and policy-making. Because policies are aimed at achieving goals in the future, knowledge about future population trends is required. Understanding and anticipating population changes enables development planners to formulate effective programmes in areas as diverse as health, education, environment, poverty reduction, social progress, and economic growth.

## TONGA AND THE PACIFIC ISLANDS REGION



## I. INTRODUCTION

This report provides an analysis of the Tonga 2006 census data and, where data are available, presents comparisons with census data from 1996.

## I.I Geographic setting

Tonga consists of five administrative divisions of islands: Tongatapu, Vava'u, Ha'apai, 'Eua, and Ongo Niua, spread over an area of $360,000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in the South Pacific with a total land area of $650 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. It includes 171 islands, of which, about 40 are permanently inhabited. Nuku'alofa, the capital, is located on the island of Tongatapu and is the most populous island division (Vava'u is second).

Nuku'alofa is 890 km due south of Apia, Samoa; 750 km southeast of Suva, Fiji; and 2,000 km northeast of Auckland, New Zealand (see map).

## I. 2 Background to report

This report is a collaborative effort between the Statistics Department Tonga (SDT) - particularly the Assistant Government Statistician Viliami Fifita, and Sione F. Lolohea - and the Statistics and Demography Programme of the Secretariat of the Pacific Communoity (SPC). For this purpose, Mr Lolohea visited SPC in Noumea, New Caledonia from 25 August-15 September 2007, and again from 923 February 2008. Ata'ata Finau, Tonga's Government Statistician, reviewed and commented on the final draft of this report.

This report is based on data collected during the population census enumeration, with 30 November 2006 being census day. The main purpose of the report is to:

- provide a general overview of the vast amount of detailed information that is available from the 2006 census enumerations;
- generate interest, curiosity, and a desire for more detailed information, especially for Tongan decision-makers and the general public; and
- enhance the decision-making process by policy-makers.

Data users are encouraged to contact either SDT or SPC's Statistics and Demography Programme for further information.

Statistics Department Tonga
PO Box 149
Nuku'alofa
Tonga
Telephone: +67623300
Facsimile: + 67623303
Email: dept@stats.gov.to
http://www.spc.int/prism/Country/TO/stats/

SPC's Statistics \& Demography Programme
Secretariat of the Pacific Community
BP D5, 98848 Noumea Cedex
New Caledonia
Telephone: +687262000
Facsimile: +6872638 18
Email: Stats\&Demog@spc.int
http://www.spc.int

## 2. POPULATION SIZE, TREND, DISTRIBUTION AND STRUCTURE

## 2.I Population size and trend

The population of Tonga, as enumerated on 30 November 2006, was 101,991 people: 51,772 males and 50,219 females. This is an increase of 4,207 people in 10 years - the 1996 population was 97,784 - and represents an annual rate of growth of $0.4 \%$.

Figure 1: Total population size, Tonga: 1901-2006


Tonga's population has steadily increased since 1901 when the first census was conducted (Fig. 1). However, the population experienced an accelerated growth during the period 1939-1976 when at times the population increased by more than 2,000 people per year (Fig. 2). From the late 1970s until today, the population has increased only very slowly, with an annual growth rate of less than $0.5 \%$ (or about 400 people per year).

Population growth has varied extensively by division and district (Table 1, Fig. 3). While Tonga's overall growth rate was $0.4 \%$ per annum, Tongatapu's population grew slightly faster at a rate of $0.7 \%$, while Vava'u, Ha'apai, and especially Ongo Niua, experienced negative growth (i.e. population loss). Ongo Niua's population experienced a negative annual growth rate of almost $-2 \%$; its population decreased from 2,018 in 1996 to only 1,665 in 2006. However, the districts of Ha'ano ( $-2.2 \%$ ) in Ha'apai, and especially the district Motu ( $-4.3 \%$ ) in Vava'u experienced an even bigger negative growth than Ongo Niua's.

Figure 2: Intercensal average annual population change (in numbers), Tonga: 1901-2006


Several districts experienced a significantly faster growth rate than average: Vaini (1.2\%), Nukunuku (1.0\%), Kolomotu'a ( $0.9 \%$ ), and Kolofo'ou ( $0.9 \%$ ), all situated in Tongatapu.

Figure 3: Average annual population growth rate (\%) by division, Tonga: 1996-2006


Table 1: Total population size and growth by division and district, Tonga: 1986, 1996 and 2006

| Division/District | Census total population |  |  | Population change |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (in numbers) |  | (in \%) |  | Annual growth rate |  |
|  | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | 1986-1996 | 1996-2006 | 1986-1996 | 1996-2006 | 1986-1996 | 1996-2006 |
| TONGA | 94,649 | 97,784 | 101,991 | 3,135 | 4,207 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Tongatapu | 63,794 | 66,979 | 72,045 | 3,185 | 5,066 | 5.0 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Kolofo'ou | 15,903 | 16,953 | 18,463 | 1,050 | 1,510 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Kolomotu'a | 13,115 | 14,451 | 15,848 | 1,336 | 1,397 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Vaini | 11,104 | 11,180 | 12,594 | 76 | 1,414 | 0.7 | 12.6 | 0.1 | 1.2 |
| Tatakamotonga | 6,773 | 6,828 | 6,969 | 55 | 141 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Lapaha | 7,005 | 7,370 | 7,255 | 365 | -115 | 5.2 | -1.6 | 0.5 | -0.2 |
| Nukunuku | 5,863 | 6,160 | 6,820 | 297 | 660 | 5.1 | 10.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Kolovai | 4,031 | 4,037 | 4,096 | 6 | 59 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Vava'u | 15,175 | 15,715 | 15,505 | 540 | -210 | 3.6 | -1.3 | 0.3 | -0.1 |
| Neiafu | 5,268 | 5,650 | 5,787 | 382 | 137 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Pangaimotu | 1,247 | 1,298 | 1,412 | 51 | 114 | 4.1 | 8.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| Hahake | 2,299 | 2,291 | 2,422 | -8 | 131 | -0.3 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Leimatu'a | 2,884 | 2,753 | 2,742 | -131 | -11 | -4.5 | -0.4 | -0.5 | 0.0 |
| Hihifo | 2,093 | 2,375 | 2,267 | 282 | -108 | 13.5 | -4.5 | 1.3 | -0.5 |
| Motu | 1,384 | 1,348 | 875 | -36 | -473 | -2.6 | -35.1 | -0.3 | -4.3 |
| Ha'apai | 8,919 | 8,138 | 7,570 | -781 | -568 | -8.8 | -7.0 | -0.9 | -0.7 |
| Pangai | 2,850 | 2,966 | 2,967 | 116 | 1 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Foa | 1,410 | 1,434 | 1,479 | 24 | 45 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Lulunga | 1,584 | 1,282 | 1,075 | -302 | -207 | -19.1 | -16.1 | -2.1 | -1.8 |
| Mu'omu'a | 885 | 735 | 630 | -150 | -105 | -16.9 | -14.3 | -1.9 | -1.5 |
| Ha'ano | 891 | 773 | 619 | -118 | -154 | -13.2 | -19.9 | -1.4 | -2.2 |
| 'Uiha | 1,299 | 948 | 800 | -351 | -148 | -27.0 | -15.6 | -3.1 | -1.7 |
| 'Eua | 4,393 | 4,934 | 5,206 | 541 | 272 | 12.3 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| 'Eua Motu'a | 2,400 | 2,766 | 2,949 | 366 | 183 | 15.3 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| 'Eua Fo'ou | 1,993 | 2,168 | 2,257 | 175 | 89 | 8.8 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Ongo Niua | 2,368 | 2,018 | 1,665 | -350 | -353 | -14.8 | -17.5 | -1.6 | -1.9 |
| Niua Toputapu | 1,605 | 1,283 | 1,019 | -322 | -264 | -20.1 | -20.6 | -2.2 | -2.3 |
| Niua Fo'ou | 763 | 735 | 646 | -28 | -89 | -3.7 | -12.1 | -0.4 | -1.3 |

### 2.2 Population distribution

In 2006, $71 \%$ of Tonga's population lived in Tongatapu, which represents a steady increase from 1986 when only $67 \%$ lived there, and from 1996 when $68 \%$ lived there. The proportion of Tonga's population that lived in Vava'u was $15 \%$, Ha'apai $7 \%$, 'Eua $5 \%$, and Ongo Niua only $2 \%$ (Fig. 4).

## Urban-rural

Slightly over $23 \%$ of Tonga's population lived in the three urban villages of Kolofo'ou, Ma'ufanga, and Kolomotu'a, which together form the township of Nuku'alofa. The urban population has increased slightly since 1996 when just under 23\% (22,400 people) lived in the urban area.

Figure 4: Population distribution by division (\%), Tonga: 2006


### 2.3 Population density

According to the 2006 census, Tonga's average population density was 157 people $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$, an increase from 146 and 150 in 1986 and 1996, respectively (Table 2).

Population density varied widely by division. While there were 277 people $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ in Tongatapu, there were only 23 people $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ in Ongo Niua.

Table 2: Population density (number of people/km²) by division, Tonga: 1986, 1996 and 2006

| Division/district | Land area $\left(\mathbf{k m}^{2}\right)$ | Population density |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| TONGA | $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ | 146 | 150 | 157 |
| Tongatapu | 260 | 245 | 257 | 277 |
| Vava'u | 121 | 125 | 130 | 128 |
| Ha'apai | 109 | 82 | 74 | 69 |
| Eua | 87 | 50 | 56 | 60 |
| Niuas | 72 | 33 | 28 | 23 |

### 2.4 Population structure

The enumerated 2006 population consisted of 51,772 males and 50,219 females. Males out-numbered females by 1,553 , resulting in a sex ratio of 103 , which means that there were 103 males per 100 females. However, sex ratios varied widely by division (Fig. 5).

A sex ratio of 100 means that there were equal numbers of males and females. A sex ratio lower than 100 means there more females than males, and a sex ratio higher than 100 means there were more males than females.

Figure 5 shows there were significantly more males than females in Ongo Niua.
Figure 5: Sex ratio by division, Tonga: 2006


A population pyramid (Figs. 6-11) shows the number of males and females in five-year age groups, starting with the youngest age group at the bottom, and increasing with age towards the top of the pyramid. The number of males is depicted to the left and the number of females to the right of the pyramid's center.

The shaded area shows the population count of the 1996 census, while the thickly outlined area shows the population count of the 2006 census. Note that the people counted in the 2006 census were 10 years older than in the 1996 census, if they were present in Tonga, and so were enumerated during both censuses.

Figure 6: Population pyramid, Tonga: 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


Tonga's population pyramid has the distinct features of a classical pyramid: it has a wide base, meaning that a large percentage of people are in the younger age groups, while increasingly narrow bars represent increasing age groups. Such a pyramid is also associated with relatively high fertility rates (i.e. high number of births per woman).

While Tongatapu's population pyramid (Fig. 7) looks similar to that of Tonga's total population pyramid, the pyramids of Vava'u, Ha'apai, 'Eua and Ongo Niua (Figs. 8-11) are characterised by a distinctly smaller proportion of people aged $20-34$. This may be the result of young people migrating from the outer islands (rural areas) to Nuku'alofa and/or to overseas destinations.

The narrowing of the $0-4$ year-old population bars for Ha'apai (Fig. 9) and especially Ongo Niua (Fig.11) is probably the result of a recent fertility decline (i.e. a reduction in the number of annual births).

The general population decline in Vava'u, Ha'apai and Ongo Niua is illustrated by smaller thickly outlined bars, representing the size of the different age groups in 2006 compared with the shaded areas that represent the size of the age groups in 1996.

Figure 7: Population pyramid, Tongatapu: 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


Figure 8: Population pyramid, Vava'u: 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


Figure 9: Population pyramid, Ha'apai: 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


Figure 10: Population pyramid, 'Eua: 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


Figure 11: Population pyramid, Ongo Niua: 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


Tonga's population has a young age structure, with $38 \%$ of the population younger than 15 years of age, and only $8 \%$ older than 60 years (Table 3 ). This is also illustrated by the median age, which was 21 years (Table 3 and Fig. 12). This means that half of Tonga's population was younger and the other half older than 21 years.

Table 3: Population distribution by broad age group, dependency ratio, median age, and sex ratio,
Tonga: 1996 and 2006

| Division | Year | Proportion of population by broad age group (in \%) |  |  |  | Age dependency ratio (15-59) | Median age (years) | Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-14 | 15-24 | 25-59 | 60+ |  |  |  |
| Tonga | 1996 | 39 | 20 | 33 | 8 | 88 | 19.9 | 103 |
|  | 2006 | 38 | 19 | 35 | 8 | 86 | 21.0 | 103 |
| Tongatapu | 1996 | 39 | 21 | 33 | 7 | 85 | 19.9 | 102 |
|  | 2006 | 38 | 20 | 35 | 8 | 83 | 21.2 | 102 |
| Vava'u | 1996 | 40 | 18 | 33 | 9 | 97 | 19.6 | 105 |
|  | 2006 | 40 | 17 | 34 | 9 | 96 | 20.2 | 104 |
| Ha'apai | 1996 | 40 | 17 | 33 | 10 | 101 | 20.3 | 102 |
|  | 2006 | 38 | 18 | 34 | 10 | 91 | 20.9 | 107 |
| Eua | 1996 | 39 | 20 | 34 | 7 | 85 | 19.9 | 114 |
|  | 2006 | 40 | 18 | 34 | 8 | 91 | 19.7 | 108 |
| Ongo Niua | 1996 | 42 | 16 | 34 | 8 | 101 | 18.9 | 100 |
|  | 2006 | 38 | 16 | 35 | 10 | 96 | 21.5 | 101 |

Figure 12: Median age by division, Tonga: 2006


In Tonga, $19 \%$ of the population was between the ages of 15-24 (the youth population) (Fig. 13). The highest proportion of youth was found on Tongatapu with almost $20 \%$ of the total population; the lowest proportion of youth was in Ongo Niua. Two districts had more than $20 \%$ of its population in the 15-24 age group: Kolofo'ou (20.4\%), and Foa (21.0\%).

Figure 13: Total population by proportion of youth aged 15-24, Tonga: 2006


There is a direct link between the size and proportion of young people, and the median age.

Compared with the 1996 census, when the median age was only 19.9 years (Table 3), the 2006 population has aged slightly. This was the result of a decreasing proportion of people aged $0-14$ between 1996 and 2006, and to an increase in the proportion of people aged $25-59$ from $33 \%$ in 1996 to $35 \%$ in 2006.

The age structure of the different divisions varied: Vava'u and especially 'Eua had a median age of less than the country average. In contrast, Tongatapu and Ongo Niua had a median age above Tonga's average.

A common way to describe a population's age structure is via the age dependency ratio, which compares the economically dependent component of a country's population with its productive component. This is conventionally expressed as the ratio of young people ( $0-14$ years) plus the old ( $60^{+}$years), to the working age population (15-59 years).

Figure 14: Age dependency ratio by division, Tonga: 2006


Tonga's dependency ratio in 2006 was 86 , meaning that for every 100 people of working age, 86 people were in the age dependent category (Table 3 and Fig. 14). The higher the dependency ratio, the higher the number of people that need to be cared for by the working age population, and of this group, only those who actually work and earn a living. The dependency ratio has decreased since the 1996 census when it was 88. Based on the population structure of the different division/district populations, the age dependency ratios of the different divisions/districts vary accordingly.

The most favorable dependency ratio can be found in Tongatapu with only 83 dependent people per 100 people of working age. Dependency ratios were generally higher in rural areas. Ongo Niua and Vava'u both had very high age dependency ratios of 96 , meaning that there were almost as many young ( $0-14$ years) and old people (60 years and older), as people aged 15-59.

## 3. DEMOGRAPHIC COMPONENTS

### 3.1 Fertility

In order to determine the level and pattern of fertility in Tonga, women over 15 years of age were asked the following questions:

- How many children they had born alive
- When was their last child born.

The total number of children born alive to 31,609 women aged 15 and older was 86,741 (Table 4). The average number of children born alive to all women (average parity) was 2.7 children per woman.

Table 4: Female population aged 15 and older by number of children ever born alive, Tonga: 2006

| Age of women | Number of women | Number of children ever born |  |  | Average number of children ever born |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| 15-19 | 4,897 | 73 | 72 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 4,543 | 1,051 | 925 | 1976 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 25-29 | 3,665 | 2,657 | 2,539 | 5196 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| 30-34 | 3,191 | 4,359 | 3,928 | 8287 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.6 |
| 35-39 | 3,117 | 5,892 | 5,454 | 11346 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 3.6 |
| 40-44 | 2,436 | 5,382 | 4,917 | 10299 | 2.2 | 2 | 4.2 |
| 45-49 | 2,059 | 4,870 | 4,257 | 9127 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 4.4 |
| 50-54 | 1,831 | 4,720 | 4,328 | 9048 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 4.9 |
| 55-59 | 1,490 | 3,958 | 3,616 | 7574 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 5.1 |
| 60-64 | 1301 | 3,411 | 3,184 | 6595 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 5.1 |
| 65-69 | 1082 | 2,951 | 2,747 | 5698 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 5.3 |
| 70-74 | 809 | 2,430 | 2,254 | 4684 | 3 | 2.8 | 5.8 |
| 75+ | 1188 | 3,535 | 3,231 | 6766 | 3 | 2.7 | 5.7 |
| Total | 31,609 | 45,289 | 41,452 | 86,741 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.7 |

Note: This table excludes 35 women (and their children) who did not state their age
Average parity increases with the age of women. While women aged 15-19 had only very few children, women aged 45-49 had 4.4 children, and women older than 70 had on average almost 6 children. The average parities of women over 49 years of age is also called the completed fertility rate, a cohort measure demonstrating how many children a certain cohort of women who have completed their childbearing actually produced during those years.

The census also included questions on whether a mother's children lived in her household or elsewhere, which was further specified by whether they lived in households in Tonga or overseas (Fig. 15). The proportion of children living in their mother's household decreased with the age of the mother, because as children grow older they leave their parents' home and form their own household. More than one-third of children of mother's 60 years and older lived overseas.

Figure 15: Proportion of children ever born by age of their mother and whether living in the same household as their mother, Tonga: 2006


From the question on date of birth of the last born child, the number of births per year or period can be calculated (Table 5).

Table 5: Reported number of births during the one-year period before the census
(1 December 2005-30 November 2006) by age group of women, Tonga: 2006

| Age group of women | Number of women | Number of children |  |  | ASFR* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Females | Total |  |
| 15-19 | 4,897 | 36 | 42 | 78 | 0.0159 |
| 20-24 | 4,543 | 286 | 275 | 561 | 0.1235 |
| 25-29 | 3,665 | 379 | 310 | 689 | 0.1880 |
| 30-34 | 3,191 | 350 | 280 | 630 | 0.1974 |
| 35-39 | 3,117 | 251 | 229 | 480 | 0.1540 |
| 40-44 | 2,436 | 77 | 57 | 134 | 0.0550 |
| 45-49 | 2,059 | 15 | 14 | 29 | 0.0141 |
| 50-54 | 1,831 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 0.0044 |
| Total | 25,739 | 1,398 | 1,211 | 2,609 | TFR $=3.8$ |

ASFR = Age-Specific Fertility Rate
TFR $=$ Total Fertility Rate
Responses from women during the 2006 census indicated that 2,609 children were born during the oneyear period prior to the census, between December 2005 and November 2006 (Table 5). However, this count compares with 2,745 children younger than one year of age enumerated during the census. This mismatch of counts suggests that a sizeable number of women did not report the birth of their child during the year prior to the census, or did not accurately report the exact date of birth of their children. Unfortunately, the number of registered deaths (from Tonga's vital registration system) is not available, and a comparison of census data is not possible.

In order to estimate Tonga's fertility level, this analysis relies on indirect estimation techniques, based on census data on the number of children ever born by age of women, and the number of children born during the year prior to the census by age of women as reported in the census. The demographic indicator most commonly used to describe a country's fertility situation is called the total fertility rate (TFR). This measure is an indication of the average number of children a woman gives birth to during her reproductive life (from ages 15-49 years). It is calculated from the number of live births by age of women in a given year - the age-specific fertility rates (ASFRs).

Fertility estimates are based on 1996 and 2006 census data, to which the Arriaga ${ }^{1}$ method - which measures fertility based on data in two points in time - was applied. The software PAS (from the US Census Bureau), procedure ARFE-2, and MORTPAK 4.1, procedure FERTPF (from the United Nations) were used (Apps. 1 and 2).

Tonga's TFR was estimated at 4.2 in 2006, which is a very minimal decline compared to 1996 when the average number of children born per woman was about 4.3. The calculated fertility level for 2006 by age group of mother (as presented in Table 6) is based on an average of the adjustment factors for women aged 20-34 (the lightly shaded bold numbers at the right bottom of App. 1).

Both of the above mentioned methods for estimating fertility rates produce virtually identical results, which are also consistent with estimates derived by Michael Levin of the Harvard University Center for Population and Development Studies, using the own-children method (Fig. 16).

Figure 16: Estimates of TFR based on "own-children method", Tonga: 1952-2006


Source: unpublished data, Michael Levin, Harvard University Center for Population and Development Studies

[^1]The own-children method is a procedure for deriving ASFRs for a 10 - or 15 -year period from a special census tabulation of children classified by age, and age of mother, both ages being given in single years at the time of the census. Age of mother can be determined only for those children who are enumerated in the same household as their mother (i.e. who are "own children" of a woman present in some enumerated household, hence the name of the method).

Fertility estimates derived from the 1966, 1986, 1996 and 2006 censuses show a relatively consistent trend. TFR seems to have declined from about eight children per woman during the early 1970s to five in the late 1980s. It has declined very slowly since then to nearly four children per woman in 2006.

During the 10-year period, 1996-2006 there has been a slight shift in the fertility pattern by age group of women. The ASFRs can be depicted (Fig. 17) showing the estimated and adjusted number of births per 1,000 women by age group (based on adjustment procedure described above; see Arriaga method). While the fertility level declined for women in the 20-29 age group, it increased slightly for women aged 30-39. While the most fertile age group for women was 25-29 in 1996, it was 30-34 in 2006.

Fertility levels of women aged 45-49 were very low, followed by women aged 15-19 years. Teenage women aged 15-19 gave birth to an estimated 117 children during the one-year period prior to the 2006 census (Table 6), which translates into a teenage fertility rate of 24 (i.e. 24 births per 1,000 women aged 15-19).

Figure 17: Estimated and adjusted age-specific fertility rates (ASFRs), Tonga: 1996 and 2006

$\mathrm{ASFR}=$ number of births per 1,000 women by age group
The number of births by age of women, and therefore the total number of births during the one-year period prior to the 2006 census can be calculated by multiplying the adjusted ASFR by the enumerated number of women by age group in the census, and summing the number of births by the age group of women (Table 6).

Table 6: Estimated/adjusted age-specific fertility rate (ASFR), total fertility rate (TFR), and mean age at childbearing (MAC), Tonga: 2006

| Age group of women | Number of women | Estimated ASFR | Estimated number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-19$ | 4,897 | 0.0239 | 117 |
| $20-24$ | 4,543 | 0.1506 | 684 |
| $25-29$ | 3,665 | 0.2141 | 785 |
| $30-34$ | 3,191 | 0.2178 | 695 |
| $35-39$ | 3,117 | 0.1630 | 508 |
| $40-44$ | 2,436 | 0.0541 | 132 |
| $45-49$ | 2,059 | 0.0120 | 25 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 , 9 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 4 5}$ |  |
| TFR | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ children per woman |  |  |
| MAC | $\mathbf{3 0 . 8}$ years |  |  |

Adjusted ASFRs are based on estimates derived using Arriaga method (App. 1)
CBR can then be calculated by dividing the estimated number of births $(2,945)$ by the total 2006 census population $(101,991)$, multiplied by 1,000 .

$$
\mathbf{C B R}=2,945 / 101,991 \mathrm{X} 1,000=\mathbf{2 8 . 9} \text { (there were } 28.9 \text { births/1,000 population) }
$$

### 3.2 Mortality

The questions relating to mortality in the 2006 census were:

- How many live births a woman has ever had, and how many of those born were still alive and/or had died;
- Whether a respondent's marital status was "widowed" (widowhood);
- Whether any residents of the household died during the last 12 months prior to the census.

From all children that were ever born to women aged 15 and older $(86,741), 96.1 \%(83,327)$ were still alive, and 3,414 children had died (Table 7).

The proportion of surviving females was higher than that of males (Table 8). While $96.7 \%$ of all female children ever born were still alive, only $95.5 \%$ of all male children had survived.

The proportion of surviving children decreases with the age of mothers (Table 8 and Fig. 18). While $98.2 \%$ of all children that were ever born to women now aged $20-24$ were still alive, only $97.4 \%$ of children born to women now aged 45-49 were still alive, and only $88 \%$ of children born to women now aged 75 and older remained alive.

This general trend is explained by the fact that as the age of mothers increases, so does the age of their children; the proportion of birth cohorts that have died rises with an increase in the age of mothers.

Table 7: Female population aged 15 and older by number of children ever born, number of children still alive, and number of children dead, Tonga: 2006

| Age of <br> women | Number of women | Number of children ever born |  |  | Number of children |  |  | Number of children dead |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| 15-19 | 4,897 | 73 | 72 | 145 | 72 | 69 | 141 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 20-24 | 4,543 | 1,051 | 925 | 1,976 | 1,028 | 913 | 1,941 | 23 | 12 | 35 |
| 25-29 | 3,665 | 2,657 | 2,539 | 5,196 | 2,608 | 2,496 | 5,104 | 49 | 43 | 92 |
| 30-34 | 3,191 | 4,359 | 3,928 | 8,287 | 4,270 | 3,859 | 8,129 | 89 | 69 | 158 |
| 35-39 | 3,117 | 5,892 | 5,454 | 11,346 | 5,750 | 5,346 | 11,096 | 142 | 108 | 250 |
| 40-44 | 2,436 | 5,382 | 4,917 | 10,299 | 5,247 | 4,822 | 10,069 | 135 | 95 | 230 |
| 45-49 | 2,059 | 4,870 | 4,257 | 9,127 | 4,726 | 4,163 | 8,889 | 144 | 94 | 238 |
| 50-54 | 1,831 | 4,720 | 4,328 | 9,048 | 4,506 | 4,198 | 8,704 | 214 | 130 | 344 |
| 55-59 | 1,490 | 3,958 | 3,616 | 7,574 | 3,787 | 3,499 | 7,286 | 171 | 117 | 288 |
| 60-64 | 1,301 | 3,411 | 3,184 | 6,595 | 3,227 | 3,052 | 6,279 | 184 | 132 | 316 |
| 65-69 | 1,082 | 2,951 | 2,747 | 5,698 | 2,765 | 2,620 | 5,385 | 186 | 127 | 313 |
| 70-74 | 809 | 2,430 | 2,254 | 4,684 | 2,228 | 2,124 | 4,352 | 202 | 130 | 332 |
| 75+ | 1,188 | 3,535 | 3,231 | 6,766 | 3,036 | 2,916 | 5,952 | 499 | 315 | 814 |
| Total | 31,609 | 45,289 | 41,452 | 86,741 | 43,250 | 40,077 | 83,327 | 2,039 | 1,375 | 3,414 |

Table 8: Female population aged 15 and older by proportion of children ever born and still alive, and proportion now dead, Tonga: 2006

| Age of <br> women | Number of <br> women |  |  | Proportion of children ever born still <br> Males |  | Proportion of children ever born now |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | 4,897 | 98.6 | 95.8 | 97.2 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 2.8 |
| $20-24$ | 4,543 | 97.8 | 98.7 | 98.2 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| $25-29$ | 3,665 | 98.2 | 98.3 | 98.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| $30-34$ | 3,191 | 98.0 | 98.2 | 98.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| $35-39$ | 3,117 | 97.6 | 98.0 | 97.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| $40-44$ | 2,436 | 97.5 | 98.1 | 97.8 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| $45-49$ | 2,059 | 97.0 | 97.8 | 97.4 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| $50-54$ | 1,831 | 95.5 | 97.0 | 96.2 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| $55-59$ | 1,490 | 95.7 | 96.8 | 96.2 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 3.8 |
| $60-64$ | 1,301 | 94.6 | 95.9 | 95.2 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 4.8 |
| $65-69$ | 1,082 | 93.7 | 95.4 | 94.5 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 5.5 |
| $70-74$ | 809 | 91.7 | 94.2 | 92.9 | 8.3 | 5.8 | 7.1 |
| $75+$ | 1,188 | 85.9 | 90.3 | 88.0 | 14.1 | 9.7 | 12.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 1 , 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ |

Figure 18: Proportion of children ever born and still alive by age of mother, Tonga: 2006


$$
\text { -- Males } \quad-\mathrm{O} \text {-Females }
$$

A comparison of data on children ever born and still alive from 1996 and 2006 census data (see Fig. 19) shows improvements in the survival of children born to women aged 30 and older.

Figure 19: Proportion of children ever born and still alive by age of mother, Tonga: 1996 and 2006


Using the above census data on children ever born and children still living (by age group of mother), the following mortality indices have been obtained using the United Nations software package MORTPAK4.1, procedures CEBCS (Table 9 and Apps. 3 and 4).

Table 9: Child mortality indicators, Tonga: 2006

| Indicator | Total | Males | Females |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant mortality rate (IMR) | 19 | 22 | 16 |
| Child mortality rate (4q1 ${ }^{*}$ ) | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| Under 5 mortality rate $\left(\mathrm{q5} 5^{+}\right)$ | 22 | 26 | 18 |

* the probability of dying between age 1 and age 5
${ }^{+=}$the probability of dying between birth and age 5
IMR was estimated at 22 and 16 for males and females, respectively, which is about the same level as in 1996. IMR measures the number of deaths of children under one year of age per 1,000 live births.

Child mortality, the probability of dying between age 1 and age 5 , was estimated at 4 male deaths and 2 female deaths per 1,000 people of that age.

Under 5 mortality, the probability of dying between birth and age 5, was estimated at 26 and 18 for males and females, respectively per 1,000 people.

Based on the registered ${ }^{2}$ number of deaths by age and sex during the period 2003-2005, and the reported number of deaths by age and sex derived from the census household question on number of deaths of residents of households that died during the last 12 months before the census (App. 5), the average annual number of deaths by age and sex during the period 2003-2006 were calculated. Average age-specific death rates - expressed as ( $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{x})$ - were derived by dividing the average annual number of deaths during the period 2003-2006 by the estimated mid-period total population (1 January 2005).

Subsequently, the derived age-specific death rates were adjusted by the estimated child mortality rates that were derived using census information on children ever born and still alive (see above). Based on these data, a life table was constructed for males and females (Table 11 and 12) using MORTPAK4.1, procedures LIFTB. According to the assumptions made, and the procedures and methods used, life expectancy at birth was calculated at 67.3 and 73.0 years for males and females, respectively (Table 10). This compares with estimates of 67.6 and 70.5 years for males and females in 1996.

The derived mortality pattern (age-specific death rates) was compared with the different Coale-Demeny and United Nations model life tables using MORTPAK4.1, procedure COMPAR. The assumption was made that possible under-registration of deaths is not age specific and therefore does not affect the overall pattern of mortality. It was found that the Far East Asian pattern of the UN model life tables resembles most closely the empirical mortality pattern of Tongan males, while the Coale-Demeny West model better resembles the pattern for females.

The total number of deaths in 2006 can be estimated by multiplying the estimated age-specific death rates [ $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})$-values] of the derived male and female life table (Tables 11 and 12) with the 2006 census male and female population specified by age (App. 6). Subsequently, the crude death rate (CDR) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\mathbf{C D R}=709 / 101,991 \times 1,000=7.0(7 \text { deaths per } 1,000 \text { population in } 2006)
$$

[^2]Table 10: General mortality indicators, Tonga: 2006

| Indicator | Total | Males | Females |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Life expectancy at birth, E(0) | 70.2 | 67.3 | 73.0 |
| Crude Death Rate (CDR) | 7.0 | 7.8 | 6.1 |

The above mortality indicators clearly show more positive mortality indicators for females than for males, with females living longer, on average almost six years longer, than males. The findings are supported by the following data:

- more male than female deaths have been reported/registered (App. 5)
- more females than males survive to older ages (Fig. 6)
- the proportion of widowed females was considerably higher than that for widowed males (Fig. 21 and 24), indicating earlier death of male spouses.

Table 11: Abridged life table for Tongan males: 2006

| Age | m(x, $\mathbf{n}$ ) | q(x,n) | l(x) | d(x,n) | L(x,n) | S(x,n) | T(x) | e(x) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0224 | 0.0220 | 100,000 | 2,200 | 98,033 | 0.9766 | 6,732,413 | 67.3 |
| 1 | 0.0010 | 0.0040 | 97,800 | 391 | 390,256 | 0.9955 | 6,634,381 | 67.8 |
| 5 | 0.0008 | 0.0040 | 97,409 | 389 | 486,072 | 0.9965 | 6,244,125 | 64.1 |
| 10 | 0.0006 | 0.0030 | 97,020 | 291 | 484,373 | 0.9951 | 5,758,053 | 59.3 |
| 15 | 0.0015 | 0.0075 | 96,729 | 723 | 482,018 | 0.9909 | 5,273,680 | 54.5 |
| 20 | 0.0020 | 0.0100 | 96,006 | 955 | 477,639 | 0.9914 | 4,791,662 | 49.9 |
| 25 | 0.0015 | 0.0075 | 95,051 | 710 | 473,527 | 0.9895 | 4,314,022 | 45.4 |
| 30 | 0.0028 | 0.0139 | 94,341 | 1,312 | 468,533 | 0.9873 | 3,840,496 | 40.7 |
| 35 | 0.0023 | 0.0114 | 93,029 | 1,064 | 462,564 | 0.9851 | 3,371,962 | 36.2 |
| 40 | 0.0041 | 0.0203 | 91,965 | 1,868 | 455,686 | 0.9673 | 2,909,399 | 31.6 |
| 45 | 0.0094 | 0.0460 | 90,097 | 4,143 | 440,778 | 0.9531 | 2,453,713 | 27.2 |
| 50 | 0.0096 | 0.0469 | 85,953 | 4,033 | 420,087 | 0.9389 | 2,012,935 | 23.4 |
| 55 | 0.0167 | 0.0804 | 81,920 | 6,587 | 394,405 | 0.8920 | 1,592,848 | 19.4 |
| 60 | 0.0286 | 0.1336 | 75,334 | 10,062 | 351,819 | 0.8729 | 1,198,443 | 15.9 |
| 65 | 0.0257 | 0.1209 | 65,272 | 7,893 | 307,104 | 0.8386 | 846,625 | 13.0 |
| 70 | 0.0494 | 0.2217 | 57,379 | 12,722 | 257,539 | 0.6895 | 539,520 | 9.4 |
| 75 | 0.1061 | 0.4219 | 44,657 | 18,841 | 177,582 | 0.3702 | 281,981 | 6.3 |
| 80 | 0.2473 | ... | 25,815 | 25,815 | 104,399 | ... | 104,399 | 4.0 |

$\mathrm{e}(0)=$ life expectancy at birth
$q(0)=$ an approximation of the infant mortality rate as calculated in Apps. 3 and 4 and Table 9
$4 \mathrm{q} 1=$ an approximation of the probability of dying between age 1 and age 5 (Apps. 3 and 4, Table 9)

Table 12: Abridged life table for Tongan females: 2006

| Age | m(x, $\mathbf{n}$ ) | q(x,n) | I(x) | d(x,n) | $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$ | $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$ | T(x) | e(x) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0162 | 0.0160 | 100,000 | 1,600 | 98,557 | 0.9833 | 7,300,687 | 73.0 |
| 1 | 0.0005 | 0.0020 | 98,400 | 197 | 393,108 | 0.9969 | 7,202,130 | 73.2 |
| 5 | 0.0007 | 0.0035 | 98,203 | 343 | 490,158 | 0.9968 | 6,809,023 | 69.3 |
| 10 | 0.0006 | 0.0030 | 97,860 | 293 | 488,568 | 0.9967 | 6,318,864 | 64.6 |
| 15 | 0.0007 | 0.0035 | 97,567 | 341 | 486,953 | 0.9973 | 5,830,297 | 59.8 |
| 20 | 0.0004 | 0.0020 | 97,226 | 194 | 485,631 | 0.9979 | 5,343,344 | 55.0 |
| 25 | 0.0005 | 0.0025 | 97,032 | 242 | 484,604 | 0.9962 | 4,857,713 | 50.1 |
| 30 | 0.0011 | 0.0055 | 96,790 | 531 | 482,754 | 0.9931 | 4,373,108 | 45.2 |
| 35 | 0.0017 | 0.0085 | 96,258 | 815 | 479,439 | 0.9880 | 3,890,354 | 40.4 |
| 40 | 0.0033 | 0.0164 | 95,443 | 1,563 | 473,681 | 0.9783 | 3,410,916 | 35.7 |
| 45 | 0.0055 | 0.0271 | 93,880 | 2,549 | 463,415 | 0.9687 | 2,937,235 | 31.3 |
| 50 | 0.0072 | 0.0354 | 91,332 | 3,232 | 448,918 | 0.9590 | 2,473,820 | 27.1 |
| 55 | 0.0098 | 0.0479 | 88,099 | 4,219 | 430,525 | 0.9398 | 2,024,902 | 23.0 |
| 60 | 0.0153 | 0.0738 | 83,880 | 6,191 | 404,621 | 0.9179 | 1,594,377 | 19.0 |
| 65 | 0.0196 | 0.0937 | 77,689 | 7,279 | 371,387 | 0.8686 | 1,189,756 | 15.3 |
| 70 | 0.0394 | 0.1805 | 70,410 | 12,710 | 322,578 | 0.7677 | 818,370 | 11.6 |
| 75 | 0.0693 | 0.2974 | 57,701 | 17,161 | 247,638 | 0.5005 | 495,792 | 8.6 |
| 80 | 0.1634 | ... | 40,539 | 40,539 | 248,154 | ... | 248,154 | 6.1 |

$e(0)=$ life expectancy at birth
$q(0)=$ an approximation of the infant mortality rate as calculated in Apps. 3 and 4 and Table 9
$4 \mathrm{q} 1=$ an approximation of the probability of dying between age 1 and age 5 (Apps. 3 and 4, Table 9)

## Brief explanation of a life table (Tables 11 and 12)

A life table is used to simulate the lifetime mortality experience of a population. It does so by taking that population's age-specific death rates and applying them to a hypothetical population of 100,000 people born at the same time. For each year on the life table, death inevitably thins the hypothetical population's ranks until, in the bottom row of statistics, even the oldest people die.

Column " $m(x, n)$ " shows the proportion of each age group dying in each age interval. These data are based on the observed mortality experience of a population. Column " $\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{x})$ " shows the number of people alive at the beginning of each age interval, starting with 100,000 at birth. Column " $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})$ " shows the number who would die within each age interval. Column " $L(x, n)$ " shows the total number of person-years that would be lived within each age interval. Column "T(x)" shows the total number of years of life to be shared by the population in the age interval and in all subsequent intervals. This measure takes into account the frequency of deaths that will occur in this and all subsequent intervals. As age increases and the population shrinks, the total person-years that the survivors have to live necessarily diminish.

Life expectancy is shown in Column "e(x)" - the average number of years remaining for a person at a given age interval.

The first value in column "e(x)" represents life expectancy at birth.
The first value in column " $q(x, n)$ " is an approximation of the infant mortality rate (IMR). The second value in column " $q(x, n)$ " is an approximation of the child mortality rate.
$\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})=$ age-specific death rate
$\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})=$ the probability of dying between two exact ages
$1(x) \quad=$ the number of survivors at exact age $x$
$d(x, n)=$ the number of deaths between two exact ages, $x$ and $x+n$
$\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})=$ the number of person-years that would be lived within the indicated age interval ( $x$ and $x+n$ ) by the cohort of 100,000 births assumed.
$S(x, n)=$ probability of surviving between two exact ages, $x$ and $x+n$
$T(x) \quad=$ total number of person-years that would be lived after the beginning of the indicated age interval by the cohort of 100,000 births assumed.
$e(x) \quad=$ expectation of life from age $x$

### 3.3 Migration

### 3.3.I Internal migration

Internal migration - the movement of people from one island or region of Tonga to another - can be estimated by comparing:

- place of residence one year prior to the census with the place of residence during the census enumeration, and/or
- place of residence five years prior to the census with the place of residence during the census enumeration, and/or
- place of birth with the place of residence during the census enumeration.


### 3.3.I.I Residence one year prior to the census

Based on the question regarding place of residence in 2005 (one year prior to the census), $93 \%$ of the total population aged 1 year and older answered that they had not moved from their current (November 2006) place of residence, $4 \%$ ( 3,661 people) said that they lived elsewhere in Tonga, and 2,847 people (3\%) said that they were overseas (Table 13).

Table 13: Population by place of enumeration and usual residence one year ago (in 2005), Tonga: 2006

| Place of enumeration at <br> time of census | Usual residential address 1 year ago |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Division | Total | Tongatap | Vava'u | Ha'apai | Eua | Ongo Niua | Overseas | Not born* | NS |
| Tongatapu | 72,045 | 65,728 | 701 | 565 | 290 | 188 | 2,362 | 1,989 | 222 |
| Vava'u | 15,505 | 521 | 14,181 | 60 | 4 | 48 | 267 | 407 | 17 |
| Ha'apai | 7,570 | 597 | 62 | 6,580 | 28 | 9 | 102 | 175 | 17 |
| Eua | 5,206 | 346 | 27 | 28 | 4,553 | 3 | 104 | 143 | 2 |
| Ongo Niua | 1,665 | 124 | 35 | 9 | 16 | 1,438 | 12 | 31 | 0 |
| Tonga | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 3 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 2 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 8 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ |

$\square=$ non-movers (i.e. those people who did not change their residence during the reference period

* people aged 1 and younger could not state their residence one year prior to the census, because they were not yet born then

Tongatapu had a net gain of 180 people ( 701 minus 521) from Vava'u, a net loss to Ha'apai of 32 people ( 565 minus 597), and net loss to 'Eua of 56 people ( 290 minus 346), and a net gain from Ongo Niua of 64 people (188 minus 124).

Table 14: Interregional migration during the one-year period prior to the 2006 census, Tonga 2006

| Division | In-Migrants | Out-Migrants | Net Migrants |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tongatapu | 1,744 | 1,588 | 156 |
| Vava'u | 633 | 825 | -192 |
| Ha'apai | 696 | 662 | 34 |
| Eua | 404 | 338 | 66 |
| Ongo Niua | 184 | 248 | -64 |
| Tonga | $\mathbf{3 , 6 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Overall, Tongatapu gained 156 people from all other divisions during the one-year period prior to the census (Table 14). Vava'u on the other hand had a net loss of 192 people to all other divisions, Ha'apai a net gain of 34 people, 'Eua a net gain of 66 people, and Ongo Niua a net loss of 64 people.

### 3.3.I. 2 Residence five years prior to the census

Based on the question regarding place of residence in 2001 (five years prior to the census), $89 \%$ of the total population aged 1 and older answered that they had not moved from their current (November 2006) place of residence, $7 \%$ ( 5,875 people) said that they lived elsewhere in Tonga, and 4,057 people (5\%) said that they were overseas (Table 15).

Table 15: Population by place of enumeration and usual residence five years ago (in 2001), Tonga: 2006

| Place of en at time of | ration us | Usual residential address 5 years ago |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Total | Tongatapu | Vava'u | Ha'apai | Eua | Ongo Niua | Overseas | Not born* | NS |
| Tongatapu | 72,045 | 55,311 | 1,341 | 1,013 | 524 | 385 | 3,372 | 9,783 | 316 |
| Vava'u | 15,505 | 705 | 12,096 | 102 | 32 | 39 | 404 | 2106 | 21 |
| Ha'apai | 7,570 | 705 | 100 | 5,601 | 30 | 19 | 133 | 957 | 25 |
| Eua | 5,206 | 484 | 60 | 54 | 3,705 | 9 | 135 | 757 | 2 |
| Ongo Niua | 1,665 | 176 | 51 | 26 | 20 | 1,200 | 13 | 179 | 0 |
| Tonga | 101,991 | 57,381 | 13,648 | 6,796 | 4,311 | 1,652 | 4,057 | 13,782 | 364 |

$\square=$ non-movers (i.e. those people who did not change their residence during the reference period

* people aged 5 and younger could not state their residence five years prior to the census, because they were not yet born then

Tongatapu had a net gain of 636 people from Vava'u ( 1,341 minus 705), a net gain of 308 people $(1,013$ minus 705) from Ha'apai, a net gain of 40 people ( 524 minus 484) from 'Eua, and a net gain of 209 people ( 385 minus 176) from Ongo Niua. Overall, Tongatapu gained 1,193 people from all other divisions during the five-year period prior to the census (Table 16). Vava'u on the other hand had a net loss of 674 people to all other divisions, Ha'apai a net loss of 341 people, 'Eua a net gain of 1 person, and Ongo Niua a net loss of 179 people.

Table 16: Interregional migration during the five-year period prior to the 2006 census, Tonga 2006

| Division | In-Migrants | Out-Migrants | Net Migrants |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tongatapu | 3,263 | 2,070 | 1,193 |
| Vava'u | 878 | 1,552 | -674 |
| Ha'apai | 854 | 1,195 | -341 |
| Eua | 607 | 606 | 1 |
| Ongo Niua | 273 | 452 | -179 |
| Tonga | $\mathbf{5 , 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

### 3.3.I. 3 Place of birth (lifetime migration)

Data on lifetime migration (number of people by place of residence and place of birth) indicate that the direction of internal migration flows was mainly towards Tongatapu.

Seventy-six per cent of Tonga's population was living at the same place where they were born, $19 \%$ (19,347 people) were born in Tonga but not at their current (November 2006) place of residence, and $4.4 \%$ ( 4,437 people) of the population was born overseas (Table 17).

Table 17: Population by place of residence in 2006 and place of birth (lifetime migration), Tonga: 2006

| Place of enumeration at time of census |  | Place of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | Total | Tongatapu | Vava'u | Ha'apai | Eua | Ongo Niua | Overseas | NS |
| Tongatapu | 72,045 | 54,211 | 5,376 | 5,356 | 1,251 | 1,343 | 3,749 | 759 |
| Vava'u | 15,505 | 1,440 | 12,883 | 491 | 59 | 142 | 439 | 51 |
| Ha'apai | 7,570 | 1,078 | 355 | 5,877 | 72 | 56 | 108 | 24 |
| Eua | 5,206 | 1,219 | 263 | 269 | 3,168 | 163 | 119 | 5 |
| Ongo Niua | 1,665 | 247 | 105 | 31 | 31 | 1,229 | 22 | 0 |
| Tonga | 101,991 | 58,195 | 18,982 | 12,024 | 4,581 | 2,933 | 4,437 | 839 |

$\square=$ non-movers (i.e. those people who did not change their residence during the reference period
Just over half $(58,195)$ of the population was born on Tongatapu, $19 \%$ in Vava'u $(18,982), 12 \%(12,024)$ in Ha'apai, and $4 \%$ and $3 \%$ in 'Eua and Ongo Niua.

Overall, only three-quarters (75\%) of Tongatapu's residents were born on Tongatapu, while more than $83 \%$ of Vava'u's residents were also born there. Only $61 \%$ of the 2006 residents of 'Eua were also born in 'Eua.

Tongatapu had the highest proportion of residents born overseas, with just over $5 \%$ of its 2006 residents.

Based on the above data, it can be seen that Tongatapu had a net gain of 9,342 people, mainly from Ha'apai. The only other division that had a net gain of people was 'Eua with 501 people, mainly from Tongatapu (Table 18).

Table 18: Interregional lifetime migration, Tonga: 2006

| Division | In-Migrants | Out-Migrants | Net Migrants |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tongatapu | 13,326 | 3,984 | 9,342 |
| Vava'u | 2,132 | 6,099 | $-3,967$ |
| Ha'apai | 1,561 | 6,147 | $-4,586$ |
| Eua | 1,914 | 1,413 | 501 |
| Niuas | 414 | 1,704 | $-1,290$ |
| Tonga | $\mathbf{1 9 , 3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

### 3.3.2 International migration

International migration refers to people who cross national boundaries to move to another country. In addition to this spatial consideration, time also plays a major role in the analysis of migration. People are usually regarded as migrants only after spending a minimum period of time in their country of destination. Usually the minimum time required to qualify as a migrant is half a year in-country, and sometimes even a full year. Someone coming for a short visit is not considered to be a migrant - he or she is considered to be a visitor or tourist.

Intent is also of crucial importance, as migration usually involves a change of a person's permanent residential address in pursuit of employment or educational opportunities.

The need to consider time and intent highlights one of the key problems concerning migration. Whether or not a particular person qualifies as a migrant can only be established after a certain period of time,
usually at least six months, in order to determine whether the arriving and departing person qualifies as a visitor or migrant.

The net impact of migration flows (net migration) is measured as the difference between the number of arrivals (immigrants) and departures (emigrants) during a certain time period.
Net migration = Arrivals (immigrants) minus Departures (emigrants)

Therefore, if net migration was positive it means that the number of arrivals (immigrants) was higher than the number of departures (emigrants); if net migration was negative, the number of departures (emigrants) was higher than the number of arrivals.

Unfortunately, data on arrivals and departures provided by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Immigration, are incomplete. Departure cards are not collected, and so it is currently impossible to obtain an accurate picture of the magnitude of migration flows to and from Tonga based on immigration statistics.

However, the 2006 census included three questions that provide an indication of the level of immigration. Questions were asked about a respondent's:

- residence one and five years prior to the census, and
- place of birth.

Regarding residential address one year prior to the census, 2,847 people (or $3 \%$ of the population) one year and older answered that they lived overseas (Table 13). Regarding residential address five years ago, 4,057 people (or $5 \%$ of the population) five years and older answered that they lived overseas (Table 15). Regarding place of birth, 4,437 people (or $4.4 \%$ of the population) answered that they were born overseas (Table 17).

However, these questions only give an indication of long-term immigration, and includes all visitors and short-term residents that were present at the time of the census, and whose usual place of residence is overseas.

The only reliable method for deriving a crude indication of Tonga's net migration level is to apply the balancing equation to the intercensal 1996-2006 population growth rate.

$\mathrm{CBR}=$ crude birth rate
$\mathrm{CDR}=$ crude death rate

Between 1996 and 2006 Tonga's population increased from 97,784 to 101,991 people, which equates to an annual average growth rate of $0.4 \%$.

In section 3.1 and 3.2, CBR and CDR were estimated at 29 and 7, respectively. According to the balancing equation, net migration rate can be calculated as follows:

Net migration rate $=$ [Population growth $]$ minus $[\mathrm{CBR}]$ plus $[\mathrm{CDR}]$
Net migration rate $=\quad[4(\%)] \quad \operatorname{minus}[29(\%)]$ plus $\quad[7(\%)]=\quad-18 \%$
With an average population of 99,888 people during the intercensal period 1996 ( 97,784 people) to 2006 ( 101,991 people), a net migration rate of $-18 / 1000$ accounts for $-1,800$ people per year, or -150 people per month.

The estimated net migration greatly rate offsets Tonga's natural growth rate of about $2.2 \%$ (CBR minus CDR), which results in a very low overall population growth rate of $0.4 \%$ annually.

A comparison of the 1996 and 2006 census populations by five-year cohorts - which takes into account the estimated birth and death rates, - shows that the 15-24-year-old population accounted for the largest number of people leaving Tonga (Fig. 20). For purposes of comparison, a population projection was prepared with the 1996 census population, by age and sex as the base. The estimated intercensal fertility, mortality and migration rates by age and sex were used to match the 2006 census population. The age structure of net migrants during the intercensal period 1996-2006 (by age and sex) is shown in Figure 20.

Figure 20: Estimated age distribution of net migrants (in \% of total number of migrants) of the intercensal period 1996-2006, Tonga: 2006


About $60 \%$ of all migrants were between 15 and 34 years of age, and almost one-quarter were children aged $0-14$. The 15-19 age-group had finished their education and were looking for further education or employment opportunities overseas. People aged 20 and older included many parents moving with their young children (aged $0-14$ ), highlighting the significance of family migration. There were nearly equal numbers of male and female migrants.

## 4. SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS

## 4.I Marital status

During the 2006 census, $53 \%$ of males $(16,703)$ and $55 \%$ of females $(17,397)$ aged 15 and older were married, another $42 \%$ of males $(13,344)$ and $35 \%$ of females $(11,146)$ were never married (single), and $3 \%$ of males $(787)$ and $7 \%$ of females $(2,299)$ were widowed (Fig. 21).

The higher number of married females is explained by the fact that some male spouses were overseas at the time of the census enumeration.

Figure 21: Population aged 15 and older by marital status, Tonga: 2006


In general, women marry at a younger age than men. The average age at marriage was 28.0 and 25.6 years for males and females, respectively, and was calculated based on the proportion of those never married/single by age. (The singulate mean age at marriage, SMAM ${ }^{3}$ ). The higher proportion of young married women compared with men of the same age further indicates that women generally marry at younger ages than men (Fig. 22).

Only $17 \%$ of males were married at ages 20-24, compared with $31 \%$ of females, and only $48 \%$ of males were married at ages $25-29$ years, compared with $60 \%$ of females. At ages $35-49$, slightly over $80 \%$ of males and females were married. The proportion of married females declined after age 44 because an increasingly higher proportion of females became widowed (Fig. 24).

[^3]Figure 22: Population aged 15 and older by sex and proportion married, Tonga: 2006


|  |
| :---: |
| Males |

Figure 23: Population aged 15 and older by sex and proportion never married (single), Tonga: 2006


|  |
| :---: |
| Males $\quad-$-Females |

The same pattern can be seen by looking at the population of never married (single) (Fig. 23). A higher proportion of males were never married (single) at almost all age groups, but especially at ages 20-29.

The discrepancy between the proportion of widowed males and widowed females, at ages 40 and older, increased continuously (Fig. 24). Between ages 55-59, only $4 \%$ of males were widowed, compared with $15 \%$ of females. At age 75 and older, only $30 \%$ of males were widowed, compared with $58 \%$ of females.

The higher proportion of widowed females is explained by:

- lower female mortality rates, and therefore longer life expectancies of female spouses,
- older age at marriage of males compared with their female partners.

Therefore, male spouses usually die before their female partners.
Figure 24: Population aged 15 and older by sex and proportion widowed, Tonga: 2006


### 4.2 Religion

Methodism is the predominant religion in Tonga, and $37 \%$ of the population ( 38,052 members) is affiliated with the Free Wesleyan Church. The Church of Latter Day Saints is the second largest, with 17,109 members ( $17 \%$ of the population) followed by the Roman Catholic Church with 15,922 members ( $16 \%$ ), the Free Church of Tonga 11,599 (11\%), and the Church of Tonga 7,295 (7\%) (Table 19 and Fig. 25).

All other religions had less than $3 \%$ of the population as members.
More than 1,509 people refused to answer the question on their religious affiliation.
Table 19: Population by religious affiliation, Tonga: 1986, 1996 and 2006

| Religion | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free Wesleyan Church | 40,371 | 39,703 | 38,052 |
| Roman Catholic | 14,921 | 15,309 | 15,922 |
| Church of Latter Day Saints | 11,270 | 13,225 | 17,109 |
| Free Church of Tonga | 10,413 | 11,226 | 11,599 |
| Church of Tonga | 6,882 | 7,016 | 7,295 |
| Tokaikolo | 3,047 | 2,919 | 2,597 |
| Anglican | 563 | 720 | 765 |
| Seventh Day Adventist | 2,143 | 2,381 | 2,282 |
| Assembly of God | 565 | 1,082 | 2,350 |
| Constitutional Church of Tonga |  |  | 941 |
| Gospel |  |  | 243 |
| Bahai |  |  | 686 |
| Hindu |  |  | 104 |
| Islam |  |  | 47 |
| Buddahist |  |  | 71 |
| Other | 2,874 | 2,368 | 202 |
| No religious affiliation |  | 61 | 28 |
| Refuse to answer |  | 10 | 1,509 |
| Not stated |  |  | 189 |
| Total | 93,049 | 96,020 | 101,991 |

Note: 1986 and 1996 data refer to only the Tongan and part-Tongan population

Figure 25: Population by religious affiliation (as percent of total population), Tonga: 2006


### 4.3 Ethnic origin

Based on information on the number of people by ethnic origin, Tonga has a very homogenous population with almost $97 \%$ of the population being of Tongan origin, and another $1.6 \%$ of part-Tongan origin (Fig. 26 and Table 20).

Figure 26: Total population by ethnic origin (in \% of total population), Tonga: 2006

$\square$ Tongan $\square$ Part-Tongan $\square$ European $\square$ Chinese $\square$ Other Asian $\square$ Other/Not stated

Less than $2 \%$ of the population is of an ethnic origin other than Tongan or part-Tongan. The proportion of other ethnic origins is slightly higher in Tonga's urban area, where $4 \%$ are not Tongan or part-Tongan; $1.1 \%$ is of Chinese origin, $0.8 \%$ of European origin, and another $0.7 \%$ of some other Asian origin.

Table 20: Total population by ethnic origin, Tonga: 2006

| Ethnic origin | Total | Urban | Rural |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tongan | 98,516 | 22,165 | 76,351 |
| Part-Tongan | 1,681 | 576 | 1,105 |
| European | 569 | 193 | 376 |
| Fijian Islanders | 310 | 193 | 117 |
| Other Pacific Island | 216 | 92 | 124 |
| Chinese | 395 | 259 | 136 |
| Other Asian | 251 | 157 | 94 |
| Other | 25 | 17 | 8 |
| Not stated | 28 | 6 | 22 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 6 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 , 3 3 3}$ |

### 4.4 Health

### 4.4.I Disability

Following requests from the Ministry of Health, the 2006 census questionnaire included several questions on disabilities. A disability includes any difficulties that affect vision, hearing, walking, remembering or concentrating properly.

Overall, $5 \%$ of the total population reported a disability. As can be expected, the proportion of the population with a disability increased with age (Fig. 27), and overall there was very little difference in the proportion of males and females with a disability.

While about $5 \%$ of children younger than 5 years of age had a disability, it was below this level for all age groups between 5 and 49 years. From age 50 and onwards, the proportion of the population with a disability increased continuously until it reached about $45 \%$ of the people aged 75 and older.

Figure 27: Proportion of the total population with a disability, Tonga: 2006


Age group

| - - Total $\quad$ | $\checkmark$ Males $\quad-0$-Females |
| :---: | :---: |

The disability that was most commonly mentioned was vision, with 2,326 people reporting this as a problem. This was followed by difficulties with walking reported by 2,083 people (Table 21), difficulties with hearing ( 1,932 people), and problems remembering and/or concentrating ( 930 people).

Table 21: Total population reporting a disability regardless of the severity of the disability, Tonga: 2006

| Disability | Total | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vision | 2,326 | 1,043 | 1,283 |
| Hearing | 1,932 | 916 | 1,016 |
| Walking | 2,083 | 1,006 | 1,077 |
| Remembering or concentrating | 930 | 460 | 470 |

Nearly 200 people reported that they could not walk at all, and the same number of people reported that they were not able to remember or concentrate (Table 22). Forty-four people were blind, and 39 were deaf.

Table 22: Total population reporting a severe disability, Tonga: 2006

| Disability | Total | Males | Females |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blindness | 44 | 17 | 27 |
| Deafness | 39 | 25 | 14 |
| Lameness | 194 | 91 | 103 |
| Senile and/or amnesic | 193 | 104 | 89 |

### 4.4.2 IIIness, injury or other health complaint

One of the census questions was whether a person suffered an illness, injury or any health complaint during the two-week period prior to the interview. During this time, 5,116 people ( 2,422 males, 2,694 females), reported a "health complaint" (illness or injury), which equates to about $5 \%$ of the total population.

The proportion of people with a health complaint increases with age, just as it does with a disability. The proportion of males aged 25 and older with a health complaint was slightly higher than for females (Fig 28).

Based on the question of whether and where a person with a health complaint sought care, $69 \%$ said that they went to the hospital, $11 \%$ self-treated the complaint with modern medicine, $8 \%$ went to a private doctor, and another $8 \%$ self-treated themselves with traditional medicine (Fig. 29). Three percent (130 people) of all people with a health complaint did not seek any care.

Figure 28: Proportion of the total population with an illness, injury or health complaint, Tonga: 2006


Age group

| - -Total | $\diamond$ Males $\quad-$-Females |
| :---: | :---: |

Figure 29: Proportion of population with a health complaint and whether and where they sought care, Tonga: 2006


| $\square$ Did not seek care | - Public hospital |
| :---: | :---: |
| Private doctor | - Self treated with traditional medicine |
| $\square$ Self treated with modern medicine |  |

Subsequently all people that did not seek any care (130) were asked why they did not do so.
Seventy-one percent believed that they were not ill enough, $13 \%$ said that it was too far to travel for treatment, and $3 \%$ replied that they could not afford the treatment.

### 4.4.3 Smoking habits

During the 2006 census, every person aged 6 and older was asked whether they smoked tobacco or cigarettes on a daily basis. About $21 \%$ of the population smoked on a daily basis, of this amount, $33 \%$ were males and $9 \%$ were females.

The age group that smoked the most is the 25-29 year olds (Fig. 30). In general, about half of all males aged $20-65$ smoked, while about $10-15 \%$ of all females aged 20 and older smoked.

Figure 30: Population aged 6 and older that smokes on a daily basis, Tonga: 2006


### 4.5 Educational characteristics

### 4.5.I School enrollment

Education in Tonga is compulsory from ages 6-14. This has ensured access to primary and secondary levels of education for all.

As of the 2006 census, 31,683 people aged 6 and older were enrolled in school: 16,211 males and 15,472 females - of which $2 \%$ attend only part time.

Ninety-eight per cent of 6-14 year-olds attended school (Fig. 31). However, enrollment rates began declining drastically from age 15 , when more and more students dropped out of school.

Figure 31: Population aged 6 and older (by sex) attending school, Tonga: 2006


In general, school enrollment rates of females were higher than that of males, and there was no difference in enrollment rates between urban and rural areas.

### 4.5.2 Educational attainment

Although there was little difference between the proportion of males and females that have attended and/or completed the different educational levels, educational attainment numbers were slightly higher for males than for females at the tertiary level (Fig. 32).

While the proportion of the population with no schooling was very low (1\%), about one-quarter of the population had only a primary education.

In 2006 , more than $60 \%$ of the population aged 15 and older had a secondary education, and about $10 \%$ had tertiary education.

Figure 32: Population aged 15 and older by sex and educational attainment (in \%), Tonga: 2006


Educational levels are higher for urban than rural populations (Table 23). While $66.5 \%$ had a secondary education and $16.9 \%$ a tertiary education in the urban area, this was only $61.5 \%$ and $8.2 \%$ for rural areas, where $28.6 \%$ of the population had only a primary education.

Table 23: Population aged 15 and older by urban-rural residence and educational attainment (in \%), Tonga: 2006

| Place of residence | Educational level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary |
| Total | 1.2 | 25.4 | 62.7 | 10.3 |
| Urban | 0.7 | 15.5 | 66.5 | 16.9 |
| Rural | 1.4 | 28.6 | 61.5 | 8.2 |

### 4.5.3 Educational qualification

The proportion of the population aged 15 and older with a secondary qualification was $27 \%$ females and $25 \%$ males (Fig. 33). While only $2-3 \%$ had a tertiary qualification, more than $60 \%$ had no qualification at all. About $8 \%$ had a vocational/professional qualification such as a teacher's or nurse's certificate.

Figure 33: Population aged 15 and older by sex and educational qualification (in \%), Tonga: 2006


It should be mentioned that many, if not most, people that were currently pursuing a tertiary education were absent at the time of the census to attend tertiary schooling overseas, and therefore, were not included in the census data.

The levels of educational qualifications were higher for urban populations than for rural populations (Table 24). While $35.6 \%$ of the population in the urban area had a secondary qualification and $5.4 \%$ a tertiary qualification, this was only $23.3 \%$ and $1.8 \%$ for rural areas, where two out of three people had no educational qualification.

Table 24: Population aged 15 and older by urban-rural residence and educational qualification (in \%), Tonga: 2006

| Place of residence | Educational qualification |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Secondary | Vocational/ <br> professional | Tertiary |
| Total | 61.3 | 26.2 | 8.1 | 2.7 |
| Urban | 44.7 | 35.6 | 12.2 | 5.4 |
| Rural | 66.6 | 23.3 | 6.8 | 1.8 |

### 4.5.4 Literacy

Literacy was measured by a respondent's ability to read and write a simple sentence in Tongan or English. Almost everyone older than 10 years of age was literate in Tongan (Fig. 34).

The literacy rate of 15-24 year-olds was $98.4 \%$ and $98.8 \%$ for males and females, respectively.

English literacy was almost as high as Tongan literacy for youth aged 10-14 years. However, literacy gradually declined thereafter, and was below $90 \%$ of the population aged $40-44$, further decreasing with age. At ages 10-39, female literacy in English was slightly higher than for males, while a higher proportion of males were literate thereafter.

Figure 34: Population aged 6 and older by sex and whether literate in Tongan or English (in \%),
Tonga: 2006


Age group of respondent

| - Tongan lang. - Males | - Tongan lang.- Females |
| :--- | :--- |
| - - English lang.- Males | -O English lang.- Females |

Data indicates there is very little difference in literacy rates between males and females, by place of residence by division, and by urban and rural areas.

### 4.6 Labour market activity

### 4.6.I Introduction

In Tonga, the 2006 census included a question on labour market activity. Enumerators were instructed to ask each respondent aged 15 and over whether they worked during the last week. Work was defined as any activity concerned with providing the necessities of life. It did not matter whether or not the person had a job or was paid for what they did. Based on these criteria, respondents were coded on the questionnaire into the three mutually exclusive categories of

- Work for pay;
- Work to support the household by producing goods mainly for sale;
- Work to support the household by producing goods mainly for own consumption;
- Other.

A person who "works for pay" is someone who worked for wages, salary, commission, or had a contract, or was operating a business.

A person that did "work to support the household by producing goods mainly for sale" performed a variety of tasks such as farming, gardening, fishing or producing handicrafts mainly for sale.

A person that did "work to support the household by producing goods mainly for own consumption", performed a variety of tasks such as farming, gardening, fishing or producing handicrafts for their own consumption and are subsequently described as subsistence workers.

The UN publication "Principles and Recommendations for Population and Housing Censuses, Revision 2", recommends that "persons engaged in economic activities in the form of own-account production of goods for own final use within the same household should be considered to be self-employed." Certainly, those selling their products should also be classified as employed. According to this definition, all people classified as subsistence workers are considered to be employed. However, the following analysis of Tonga's unemployment level also provides an alternative approach to include subsistence workers as part of the unemployed on the grounds that these people would look for work if they believed cash work was available in their labour market community. Those indicating that they had a job but were not at work during the reference week were also classified as employed.

The "no work" category applies to those people who did nothing in the reference week (i.e. the week prior to the census) to provide for themselves or their families or household. This includes people engaged in family responsibilities, who were retired, disabled, students, the unemployed and those who did "not want to work" or were "not interested in finding work".

People classified as unemployed:

- did not work in the week prior to the census (other than those who had a job but were not at work during the reference week), but
- spent some time looking for work, and
- were available to work if a job was offered to them.

If the person did not work and did not spend some time looking, or looked for work but was not available for work, they were then classified as economically inactive (not in the labor force).

Based on the above, data collected from the Tonga census have been assigned to the three categories of:

- employed (those that "work for pay" or "work to support the household by producing goods mainly for sale" or "work to support the household by producing goods mainly for own consumption");
- unemployed (see definition above);
- not in the labour force (those not employed or unemployed).

Optional definitions of unemployment are also provided below.

### 4.6.2 Employed: paid workers and subsistence workers

As indicated in the introduction above, those people who are defined as being employed $(35,290)$ included $23,438(66 \%)$ paid workers, and 11,497 ( $32 \%$ ) subsistence workers, and 355 workers whose employment status was unspecified (Apps. 7A and 7B).

The total number of employed people consisted of 19,956 (57\%) males and 15,334 ( $43 \%$ ) females. It included $7,804(22 \%)$ people in the urban area, and 27,486 (78\%) in rural areas (Figs. 35 and 36).

The total number of people employed, by age group, was 6,164 people in the $15-24$ year-old age group, 25,130 in the $25-59$ year-old age group, and 3,936 in the 60 years and over age group (Fig. 37). In addition there were 60 unstated cases.

The total number of paid workers was 23,438 , of which, 14,273 (or $61 \%$ ) were males and 9,165 (or $39 \%$ ) were females. From an urban-rural perspective, $6,222(27 \%)$ of the paid workers were in the urban area, and $17,216(73 \%)$ held paying jobs in rural areas.

The total number of subsistence workers included 5,998 females than and 5,499 males. In the case of subsistence workers, however, only $1,449(13 \%)$ were in the urban area, with $10,048(87 \%)$ in rural areas.

Figure 35: Population aged 15 and older by sex and labour market activity, Tonga: 2006


Figure 36: Population aged 15 and older by urban-rural residence and labour market activity, Tonga: 2006


Figure 37: Employed population aged 15 and older by age and sex, Tonga: 2006


### 4.6.3 Labour force participation rate and employment-population ratio

The labour force participation rate is the number of people in the labour force by a given age and sex and/or place of rural-urban residence, divided by the corresponding total population with the same characteristics, multiplied by 100 .

The employment-population ratio is the number of people employed in cash work by a given age and sex and/or place of rural-urban residence, divided by the corresponding total population with the same characteristics, multiplied by 100 .

Figure 38 provides an overview of the labour force participation rate and the employment-population ratio for the total population aged 15 and older by sex, and Table 25 distributes the same indicators by urban and rural areas.

Labour force participation rates were higher for males than for females, and also higher for rural than urban populations. In contrast, the employment-population ratio was higher for the urban population than for rural populations.

Figure 38: Population aged 15 and older by labour force participation rate and employmentpopulation ratio by sex: Tonga: 2006

$\square$

Table 25: Population aged 15 and older by sex, urban-rural residence, labour force participation rate, and employment-population ratio, Tonga: 2006

|  | Labour force participation rate | Employment-population ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tonga | $\mathbf{5 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 2}$ |
| Males | 64.2 | 45.4 |
| Females | 49.0 | 29.0 |
| Urban | 51.9 |  |
| Males | 60.3 | 40.7 |
| Females | 43.6 | 50.3 |
|  |  | 31.4 |
| Rural | 58.1 |  |
| Males | 65.4 | 36.0 |
| Females | 50.8 | 43.9 |
|  |  | 28.2 |

The labour force participation rate and the employment-population ratio were higher for males than for females at all ages (Figs. 39 and 40).

The labour force participation rate for females did not exceed $70 \%$ at any age, while that of males was $86 \%$ at ages 40-44.

Figure 39: Population aged 15 and older by age, sex and labour force participation rate, Tonga: 2006


Figure 40: Population aged 15 and older by age, sex and employment-population ratio, Tonga: 2006


In terms of the employment-population ratio, almost $70 \%$ of all males aged $40-44$ were employed as paid workers. This was less than half of all females at the same age. The highest percentage of female paid workers was $44 \%$ in the $40-44$ age category.

There were very low participation rates in the $15-19$ age category, and the relatively high participation rates in the 60 years and older age groups, indicating that many older people keep providing economically for themselves and their household/families.

Employment-population ratios are distributed into two categories (Fig. 40): one that includes all people that worked for cash (whether they were a contract worker or self employed), and another category that included only paid workers, people who worked for wages, salary, contract, or commission, or were operating a business (thereby excluding self employed people who farm, fish or produce handicrafts for sale).

Participation rates were less than $50 \%$ for males at any age, and less than $30 \%$ for females at any age. The highest participation rates for males were in the 40-44 year age group ( $48 \%$ ), and for females in the $25-$ 29 year age group (29\%).

### 4.6.4 Paid workers by work status

## About 57\% of all paid workers (8,361 males, 4,900 females) were employees (Fig. 41).

Figure 41: Paid workers by work status and sex, Tonga: 2006


In Tonga, only 592 people were employers, which is $3 \%$ of all paid workers. Another 8,798 people( $38 \%$ ) were self employed ( 5,085 males, 3,713 females).

In 2006, there were considerably more male employed workers than female in all work status categories.

### 4.6.5 Employed workers by industry group

By far the majority of employed workers in Tonga were employed in agriculture fishing and quarrying ( 9,903 people), or in manufacturing ( 9,764 people). When combined, these industries accounted for $56 \%$ of all employed workers (Fig. 42). While manufacturing was dominated by females, mostly men were employed in agriculture fishing and quarrying.

The other industry groups with a significant proportion of employed people included trade (wholesale, retail or any other form of business), which employed 3,193 people ( $9 \%$ of all employed workers); public administration and defense, which employed 2,861 people ( $8 \%$ of all employed workers); and education, which employed 2,109 people ( $6 \%$ of all employed workers). Employment levels in the remaining industry groups all represented less than $4 \%$ of the total.

Figure 42: Employed workers by industry, Tonga: 2006


### 4.6.6 Employed workers by occupational group

The largest number of employed workers were in the craft and related trades category - 11,644 people ( $33 \%$ of all employed workers), followed closely by skilled agricultural and fishery category - 10,268 people ( $29 \%$ of all employed workers) (Fig. 43). While the craft and related trades category was dominated by females (79\%), the skilled agricultural and fishery category was dominated by males (96\%).

The next most prominent occupational groups were with service works and market sales $(8.5 \%$ of all employed workers), professionals (8.4\%), and technicians and associate professionals (5.7\% of all employed workers). All other groups had less than 2,000 workers.

Figure 43: Employed workers by occupation, Tonga: 2006


### 4.6.7 Unemployed

The number of people aged 15 and older who did no work, but spent time looking for work and were available and willing to start work if a job was offered to them, was only 388 people ( 214 males, 174 females). This unemployment level represents $1.1 \%$ of the total labour force (Table 26, App. 7A).

There were 195 people who did not look for work because of poor weather conditions (159 people), or because they could not afford transportation costs to work (36 people). In addition, a group of 1,241 people did not work, and did not look for work, because they believed that no work was available. If all these people $(1,436)$ were included in the unemployed category (thereby increasing the total labour force and decreasing the non-labour force by this number), the number of unemployed would increase to 1,824 people, and the unemployment rate would be $4.9 \%$ (Table 26, App. 7B).

Some census users have indicated that subsistence workers should be included in the unemployed category, on the grounds that these people would look for work if they believed cash work was available in their labour market community. Using this analysis, the total number of unemployed, including subsistence workers, was 13,321 people, which equates to an unemployment rate of $35.9 \%$. Broken down by urban and rural areas, this amounts to 1,894 people ( $23 \%$ ) in the urban area, and 11,427 people ( $40 \%$ ) in rural areas (Table 26, App. 7B).

While this assumption would not apply to all individuals in this group, it would likely apply to a proportion of them. Depending on the assumptions a user may wish to use, the resulting unemployment rate would fall somewhere between $4.9 \%$ and $36 \%$.

Regardless of the unemployment concept used, unemployment levels were higher for females than for males.

Table 26: Population aged 15 and older by unemployment status according to various unemployment concepts, Tonga: 2006

| Unemployment concept* | Number of unemployed |  |  | Unemployment rate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| According to unadjusted definition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonga | 214 | 174 | $\mathbf{3 8 8}$ | 1.1 | 1.1 | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ |
| Urban | 70 | 56 | 126 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Rural | 144 | 118 | 262 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| According to adjusted definition* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonga | 924 | 900 | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 4}$ | 4.4 | 5.5 | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ |
| Urban | 230 | 215 | 445 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 5.4 |
| Rural | 694 | 685 | 1,379 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 4.8 |
| If "subsistence work" is classified as unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tonga | 6,423 | 6,898 | $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 2 1}$ | 30.8 | 42.5 | $\mathbf{3 5 . 9}$ |
| Urban | 854 | 1,040 | 1,894 | 18.1 | 29.4 | 23.0 |
| Rural | 5,569 | 5,858 | 11,427 | 34.4 | 46.1 | 39.6 |

[^4]
### 4.6.8 Not in labour force

The total number of people classified as not in the labour force in the 2006 Tonga census was 27,407 (Table 27, App. 7A, and Figs. 35 and 36).

The distribution of these individuals was as follows.
Table 27: Population aged 15 and older not in the labour force, Tonga: 2006

| Non-labour force | Males | Females | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Students | 4,396 | 4,510 | 8,906 |
| Retired, disabled, family responsibilities | 3,115 | 7,197 | 10,312 |
| Other, including people who did not work because | 3,760 | 4,429 | 8,189 |
| they were not interested in finding work | 2,633 | 3,363 | 5,996 |
| they were not willing and available to work | 135 | 173 | 308 |
| of poor weather conditions | 88 | 71 | 159 |
| they could not afford transportation cost | 16 | 20 | 36 |
| they did not look for work because they believed that no work was available | 606 | 635 | 1,241 |
| for reasons not specified | 282 | 167 | 449 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 1 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 4 0 7}$ |

Of the population aged 15 and older and not in the labour force, $59 \%$ were women $(16,136)$, and $41 \%$ $(11,271)$ were men.

There were more female students $(4,510)$ than male students $(4,396)$. About $70 \%$ of the people categorized as "retired, disabled, family responsibilities" were females $(7,197)$.

However, as mentioned above in section 4.6.7, if some of the people that were included in the "other" non-labour force category were to be classified as unemployed, the total number of people in the nonlabour force would decrease by the number of people that were reclassified as unemployed, and the number of people in the labour force would increase by the same number (App. 7B). These people could include those that:

- did not work because of poor weather condition (159 people),
- did not work because they could not afford transportation costs (36 people),
- did not work and did not look for work because they believed that no work was available $(1,241$ people), and
- were not interested in finding work (perhaps because they also didn't look for work because they believed that no work was available) (5,996 people).


## 5. HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

## 5.I Household size

The number of (private) households increased from 16,194 in 1996 to 17,462 in 2006, an overall increase of by 1,268 households (Table 28).

Table 28: Number of private households, number of occupants, and average household size by division/district, Tonga: 1996 and 2006

| Division/district | Number of private households |  | Number of people in private households |  | Average household size (number of people per household) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 2006 | 1996 | 2006 | 1996 | 2006 |
| TONGA | 16,194 | 17,462 | 97,784 | 101,144 | 6.0 | 5.8 |
| Tongatapu | 10,796 | 11,971 | 66,979 | 71,340 | 6.2 | 6.0 |
| Kolofo'ou | 2,674 | 3,036 | 16,953 | 18,216 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| Kolomotu'a | 2,400 | 2,689 | 14,451 | 15,753 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| Vaini | 1,742 | 2,029 | 11,180 | 12,497 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Tatakamotonga | 1,155 | 1,190 | 6,828 | 6,775 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| Lapaha | 1,172 | 1,220 | 7,370 | 7,255 | 6.3 | 5.9 |
| Nukunuku | 988 | 1,131 | 6,160 | 6,807 | 6.2 | 6.0 |
| Kolovai | 665 | 676 | 4,037 | 4,037 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| Vava'u | 2,728 | 2,871 | 15,715 | 15,435 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
| Neiafu | 1016 | 1,060 | 5,650 | 5,738 | 5.6 | 5.4 |
| Pangaimotu | 212 | 243 | 1,298 | 1,406 | 6.1 | 5.8 |
| Hahake | 395 | 436 | 2,291 | 2,422 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| Leimatu'a | 474 | 489 | 2,753 | 2,734 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| Hihifo | 372 | 430 | 2,375 | 2,267 | 6.4 | 5.3 |
| Motu | 259 | 213 | 1,348 | 868 | 5.2 | 4.1 |
| Ha'apai | 1,469 | 1,372 | 8,138 | 7,541 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Pangai | 501 | 530 | 2,966 | 2,943 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
| Foa | 244 | 251 | 1,434 | 1,474 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Lulunga | 238 | 196 | 1,282 | 1,075 | 5.4 | 5.5 |
| Mu'omu'a | 150 | 127 | 735 | 630 | 4.9 | 5.0 |
| Ha'ano | 152 | 120 | 773 | 619 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
| 'Uiha | 184 | 148 | 948 | 800 | 5.2 | 5.4 |
| Eua | 820 | 899 | 4,934 | 5,169 | 6.0 | 5.7 |
| 'Eua Motu'a | 455 | 515 | 2,766 | 2,914 | 6.1 | 5.7 |
| 'Eua Fo'ou | 365 | 384 | 2,168 | 2,255 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Niuas | 381 | 349 | 2,018 | 1,659 | 5.3 | 4.8 |
| Niua Toputapu | 242 | 210 | 1283 | 1,013 | 5.3 | 4.8 |
| Niua Fo'ou | 139 | 139 | 735 | 646 | 5.3 | 4.6 |

In addition, there were 67 additional non-private dwellings (institutions) in 2006, including military camps, prisons, and accommodations such as hotels and hostels for short-term visitors.

The number of households increased substantially in Tongatapu, especially in the districts of Vaini, Kolofo'ou, Kolomotu'a, and Nukunuku. In contrast, the total number of households decreased in Ha'apai and Ongo Niua.

The overall average household size decreased slightly from 6.0 to 5.8 people per household between 1996 and 2006.

In general, the average household size of 6.0 people in Tongatapu was higher than the average in all other divisions. The average household size in Ongo Niua was less than 5 people per household (Fig. 44).

Figure 44: Average household size (number of people per household) by division, Tonga: 2006


In 2006, the most common household size was 5, accounting for $13 \%$ of all households (Table 29 and Fig. 45). The highest proportion of people, however, lived in households with 7 people, which accounted for $13.7 \%$ of all household.

More than $20 \%$ of the population lived in households with 10 or more people, and almost $4 \%$ of the population lived in households with more than 15 people. Exactly $1 \%$ of the population lived in oneperson households, which accounted for $6 \%$ of all households.

Table 29: Number of private households by household size and people per household, Tonga: 2006

| Household size | Private households |  | People per household size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |
| 1 | 1,052 | 6.0 | 1,052 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 1,457 | 8.3 | 2,914 | 2.9 |
| 3 | 1,854 | 10.6 | 5,562 | 5.5 |
| 4 | 2,132 | 12.2 | 8,528 | 8.4 |
| 5 | 2,266 | 13.0 | 11,330 | 11.2 |
| 6 | 2,201 | 12.6 | 13,206 | 13.1 |
| 7 | 1,981 | 11.3 | 13,867 | 13.7 |
| 8 | 1,529 | 8.8 | 12,232 | 12.1 |
| 9 | 1,044 | 6.0 | 9,396 | 9.3 |
| 10 | 678 | 3.9 | 6,780 | 6.7 |
| 11 | 445 | 2.5 | 4,895 | 4.8 |
| 12 | 309 | 1.8 | 3,708 | 3.7 |
| 13 | 178 | 1.0 | 2,314 | 2.3 |
| 14 | 115 | 0.7 | 1,610 | 1.6 |
| $15+$ | 221 | 1.3 | 3,750 | 3.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 , 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Figure 45: Distribution of households and people living in private households, by household size,
Tonga: 2006


### 5.2 Household composition

Data on household composition were established by identifying a head of household who served as a reference person to whom all other people in the household, in terms of family membership, are related (Table 30).

The majority of all heads of households $(79 \%)$ in Tonga were men $(13,855)$ with $21 \%(3,607)$ of households headed by women.

Seventy per cent of all household members consisted of a husband and wife and their children (nuclear family).

About $14 \%$ of households consisted of other children such as adopted children, grandchildren, or children of in-laws of the household head. Another $11 \%$ of all household members were other relatives, such as uncles and aunts, nephews, etc.

Two per cent of all household members were a non-relative (no relation).
Table 30: Population by household composition (relationship to head of household), Tonga: 2006

| Relationship | Total | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total number |  |  | Percentage |  |  |
| Head of household | 17,462 | 13,855 | 3,607 | 17 | 27 | 7 |
| Spouse | 11,509 | 146 | 11,363 | 11 | 0 | 23 |
| Biological child | 41,984 | 21,765 | 20,219 | 42 | 43 | 40 |
| Adopted child | 1,771 | 940 | 831 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Brother / Sister | 1,854 | 922 | 932 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Grandchild | 11,764 | 6,097 | 5,667 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Parent of head of household | 584 | 127 | 457 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Parent of spouse | 451 | 104 | 347 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Child of spouse (step child) | 429 | 201 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other relatives | 11,551 | 5,861 | 5,690 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| No relation | 1,775 | 1,099 | 676 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Not stated | 10 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 , 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

### 5.3 Household income

### 5.3.I Main source of household income

Wages and/or salaries were the main source (43\%) of household incomes during the 12 months prior to the census (Fig. 46). The second most common source of income was selling products such as fish, crops or handicrafts ( $29 \%$ ). Income from remittances was the main source of income for $20 \%$ of all households. A small proportion (7\%) of households relied on income from their own business. About 99 households, mostly in Tongatapu, reported that they had no income at all.

Figure 46: Private households by division and main source of household income (in \% of total household income), Tonga: 2006


However, there were large differences in the primary income source between divisions and districts. While $48 \%$ of all households in Tongatapu mainly relied on income from wages and salaries, this proportion was much lower in all other divisions, where income generated through the sale of products (e.g. fish, crops, handicrafts) was more important. In some districts such as Hihifo, Uiha, Ha'ano, and Mu'omu'a, almost 70\% of all households mainly relied on income from the sale of own products (Fig. 47). On the other hand, about one-quarter of all households in several districts relied mainly on income from remittances such as Kolovai, Tatakamotonga, Nukunuku, Lapaha, Motu, and Leimatu'a.

Figure 47: Private households by district and main source of household income (in \% of total household income), Tonga: 2006


| $■$ No income |
| :--- |
| Own business |
| $\square$ Land lease |
| $\square$ Remittances |

- Wages/salaries

Sales from own product (fish crops handicraft etc)

- Remittances
$\square$ House rent
$\square$ Other source


### 5.3.2 Remittances

About $82 \%$ of households received remittances during the 12 months prior to the census (Fig. 48), and only $18 \%$ did not receive any remittances.

More than half ( $53 \%$ ) of all households received remittances from outside Tonga only, and another $24 \%$ received them from within and outside Tonga. Five per cent of all households received remittances from within Tonga only.

However, the importance and source of remittances varied by division. While one-third of all households in Ongo Niua did not receive any remittances, this amount was only $15 \%$ in 'Eua. Ongo Niua had the highest proportion of households receiving remittances from within Tonga (16\%), and Tongatapu had the highest proportion of households receiving remittances from outside Tonga only (59\%).

Figure 48: Source of remittances for private households (by division and in \% of households), Tonga: 2006


### 5.4 Amenities and capital goods

Please note that the following data for this section are presented as percentages of all private households by division (Table 31). The total number of households per division was as follows.

Table 31: Total number of private households by division, Tonga: 2006

|  | Division |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TONGA | Tongatapu | Vava'u | Ha'apai | 'Eua | Ongo Niua |
| Total number of private <br> households | 17,462 | 11,971 | 2,871 | 1,372 | 899 | 349 |

### 5.4.I Private households by construction material used for dwelling ${ }^{4}$

About $65 \%$ of the material used for the outside walls of private dwellings was wood, and $27 \%$ was concrete blocks (Fig. 49). While the proportion of dwellings in Ha'apai using wood was $80 \%$ and only $11 \%$ using concrete blocks, just over half of all dwellings in 'Eua and Ongo Niua used wood. Here, the proportion of dwellings using concrete blocks exceeded $30 \%$ of all dwellings.

Metal accounted for $93 \%$ of the material used for roofs (Fig. 50), and there was little difference in the use of roofing material between the different divisions. A slightly higher proportion of dwellings in Ongo Niua (5\%) used thatch for roofs compared with the other divisions.

[^5]About 75\% of all dwellings in Tonga had concrete floors (Fig. 51), while nearly $25 \%$ had wood floors. The proportion of dwellings with wood floors was just over $30 \%$ (slightly higher) in Vava'u and Ha'apai, and $18 \%$ (slightly lower) in 'Eua and Ongo Niua compared with the national average.

### 5.4.2 Private households by water source

About $81 \%$ of all households in Tonga obtained their drinking water from a cement tank (Fig. 52). The second most important source ( $15 \%$ ) was piped water, although piped water was only available to a significant proportion of households in Tongatapu and 'Eua. Otherwise, 3\% of all households relied on bottled water.

The main source of water other than drinking water was piped water ( $83 \%$ of all households), and cement tanks (15\% of all households) (Fig. 53). The proportion of households relying on their own tank was over $40 \%$. This amount was $47 \%$ in Ha'apai and Ongo Niua. In Ha'apai, $8 \%$ of all households obtained their water from a well.

Figure 49: Proportion of private households by division and the main type of material used for the outside walls of dwellings, Tonga: 2006


Figure 50: Proportion of private households by division and the main type of material used for the roofs of dwellings, Tonga: 2006


Figure 51: Proportion of private households by division and the main type of material used for the floors of dwelling, Tonga: 2006


Figure 52: Proportion of private households by division and the main source of drinking water,
Tonga: 2006


Figure 53: Proportion of private households by division and the main source of water apart from drinking water, Tonga: 2006


### 5.4.3 Private households by main toilet facility

While flush toilets were the most common type of toilet facility in Tonga, used by $70 \%$ of all households, there were significant differences by division (Fig. 54). While $80 \%$ of all households in Tongatapu had a flush toilet, this percentage was much lower in Ha'apai with only $38 \%$ of households using a flush toilet, and $34 \%$ in Ongo Niua. In these two latter divisions, more than half of all households used a pit toilet. On average, $11 \%$ of all households in Tonga used a manual flush toilet.

### 5.4.4 Private households by main energy source

The main source of lighting in Tonga was electricity, used by $89 \%$ of all households, although this percentage varied between $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ by division (Fig. 55). In Ongo Niua, electricity was not supplied. Instead, half of all households relied on kerosene lamps, and another $44 \%$ on solar panels as their main source of lighting.

Just over half of all households used gas as the main energy source for cooking (Fig. 56). However, gas was most commonly used in Tongatapu where about two-thirds of all households relied on gas. This percentage was much lower in all other divisions, where collected firewood was the main energy source for cooking, with more than $70 \%$ of households in Ha'apai and 'Eua relying firewood, and more than $80 \%$ of households in Ongo Niua.

Figure 54: Proportion of private households by division and main type of toilet facility, Tonga: 2006


Figure 55: Proportion of private households by division and main source of lighting, Tonga: 2006


Figure 56: Proportion of private households by division and main energy source for cooking, Tonga: 2006


[^6]
### 5.4.5 Private households by main means of waste disposal

Burning was the most common means of waste disposal in Tonga, practiced by $85 \%$ of all households (Fig. 57). In Tongatapu $11 \%$ of all households took their waste to the local dump area, and another $5 \%$ used a commercial waste collection.

Figure 57: Proportion of private households by division and main mode of waste disposal, Tonga: 2006


### 5.4.6 Private households by tenure

The vast majority of households (72\%) owned their dwelling outright (Fig. 58), 4\% rented their dwelling, and another $23 \%$ stayed in their dwelling rent-free. About $6 \%$ of households in Tongatapu rented their dwelling, which was the highest number for all divisions. Compared with the national average, a higher proportion of households in Ha'apai, 'Eua, and Ongo Niua stayed in their dwelling rent-free.

Figure 58: Proportion of private households by division and tenure, Tonga: 2006


### 5.4.7 Private households and availability of various household items

This section briefly summarises the availability of a variety of household items (Table 32, and Figs. 5971). In general, a higher proportion of households in Tongatapu (compared with all other divisions) used items such as a hot water system, motor vehicle, refrigerator, washing machine, TV, video/DVD player, mobile phone, and computer, and had access to the Internet. There were a few items that were used by a higher proportion of households in divisions other than Tongatapu. Boats, for example, were more common in Vava'u and Ha'apai, a bath or shower more common in Vava'u and 'Eua, and a landline telephone line was more frequent in Ongo Niua.

Table 32: Proportion of private households by division and availability of household items (as \% of all households), Tonga: 2006

| Item | TONGA | Tongatapu | Vava'u | Ha'apai | 'Eua | Niuas |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 4 | 10 | 13 | 3 | 9 |
| Boat | 6 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Hot water system | 95 | 95 | 96 | 94 | 98 | 91 |
| Bath or shower | 58 | 66 | 46 | 28 | 48 | 19 |
| Motor vehicle | 65 | 73 | 51 | 46 | 53 | 6 |
| Refrigerator | 58 | 64 | 51 | 41 | 49 | 6 |
| Washing machine | 72 | 83 | 45 | 45 | 70 | 11 |
| Television | 58 | 61 | 55 | 55 | 45 | 16 |
| Video/DVD player | 51 | 56 | 37 | 35 | 53 | 63 |
| Telephones: -landline-private | 73 | 83 | 59 | 46 | 56 | 0 |
| Mobile telephone | 16 | 20 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| Computer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internet access | 5 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| at home | 21 | 26 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 1 |
| elsewhere (incl. at work/café) | 73 | 67 | 84 | 91 | 91 | 99 |
| no access | $\mathbf{1 7 , 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 9}$ |
| Number of households |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 59: Proportion of private households by division and availability of household items (as \% of all households), Tonga: 2006


Figure 60: Proportion of private households by division and availability of at least one boat, Tonga: 2006


Figure 61: Proportion of private households by division and availability of a hot water system, Tonga: 2006


Figure 62: Proportion of private households by division and availability of a bath or shower, Tonga: 2006


Figure 63: Proportion of private households by division and availability of at least one motor vehicle, Tonga: 2006


Figure 64: Proportion of private households by division and availability of a refrigerator, Tonga: 2006


Figure 65: Proportion of private households by division and availability of a washing machine,
Tonga: 2006


Figure 66: Proportion of private households by division and availability of at least one television, Tonga: 2006


Figure 67: Proportion of private households by division and availability of at least one video or DVD player, Tonga: 2006


Figure 68: Proportion of private households by division and availability of a private landline telephone, Tonga: 2006


Figure 69: Proportion of private households by division and availability of a mobile telephone, Tonga: 2006


Figure 70: Proportion of private households by division and availability of a computer, Tonga: 2006


Figure 71: Proportion of private households by division and access to the Internet, Tonga: 2006


## 6. POPULATION PROJECTIONS

Timely and accurate information about population trends is in high demand by policy-makers, planners, and researchers. Knowledge about the current size and structure of a country's population is needed for the formulation and implementation of policies and programmes in almost all areas of public life. Because policies are aimed at resolving current issues through the achievement of goals in the future, knowledge about future population trends is required. Activities in areas as diverse as health, environment, poverty reduction, social progress, and economic growth rely on comprehensive and consistent demographic information.

The appropriate method to produce population trends is to prepare estimates and projections of population size and structure by age and sex.

The starting point for any projection is a reliable and current age-sex distribution of a population.
Furthermore, information on recent levels and patterns of fertility, mortality, and migration is needed.
The cohort-component method was used to compute the population projections presented in this report. This procedure simulates population changes as a result of changes in the components of growth: fertility, mortality and migration. Based on past information and current levels, assumptions are made about future trends in these components of change. The assumed rates are applied to the age and sex structure of the population in a simulation that takes into account:

- the age at which people die is related to their sex and age,
- women have children, and
- some people change their country of residence.

The cohort-component method of projecting a population follows each cohort of people of the same age and sex throughout their lifetime, according to their exposure to fertility, mortality and migration ${ }^{5}$.

The key to making meaningful projections lies in the choice of assumptions about future population developments. These assumptions concern possible future birth, death and migration rates.

## 6. I Projection assumptions

As a general guideline, when preparing multiple assumptions about future levels of fertility, mortality and migration, it is advisable to arrive at outcomes that are symmetrical. This means that the level of low and high, or fast and slow, growth assumptions should be equally positioned with respect to the medium level assumption (i.e. above and below).

The following demographic inputs were developed for the projections.

## Projection period

The population projections cover the 25-year period of 2006-2031.

## Base population

Projections are based on the 2006 Tonga census age and sex distribution of the total enumerated population, adjusted to mid-year 2006.

[^7]
## Fertility

The estimated TFR of the period 2006 and associated ASFR, as described in section 3.1 (Table 6), are used as a starting point, with three different assumptions made about future fertility developments (Fig. 72).

The future TFR level of the medium fertility assumption is assumed to reach 1.9 , which is the average level of TFR of populations in present-day Australia, France, New Zealand and the United States (App. 8). This level will be reached (by means of linear extrapolation) with a pace of fertility decline that is based on Tonga's recent past fertility trend. According to this pace, Tonga will reach a TFR of 1.9 in the year 2044. Since the population projections only include the period 2006-2031, the fertility level at the end of the projection period in 2031 will be 2.7.

The reason for choosing the fertility level of countries such as Australia, France, New Zealand and the United States as the future level for Tonga is twofold:

1) These countries have completed the "demographic transition" (see explanatory note in App. 10). Appendix 8 shows that the TFR of these four countries has remained at an almost constant level of 1.9 over the last 25 years (1980-2005).
2) They are regarded as the metropolitan focal points of Pacific Island countries.

Therefore the medium fertility assumption is set as follows.
Assumption 1 - Medium Fertility: Fertility decreases to 2.7 in the year 2031 (and further to 1.9 in 2044).

The high and low fertility assumptions were built symmetrically around the medium fertility assumption.
Assumption 2 - High Fertility: The high fertility assumption assumes a TFR of 0.5 higher than the medium fertility level. Therefore, during the period 2006-2011, TFR initially remains constant at the 2006 level of 4.2 until 2011, when it decreases to 3.2 in 2031.

Assumption 3 - Low Fertility: The low fertility assumption assumes a TFR of 0.5 lower than the medium fertility level. Fertility decreases to 2.2 in the year 2031.

Figure 72: Estimated past levels of fertility, and future fertility assumptions for projections, Tonga: 1971-2046


Note: Fertility estimates for the years 1971-2005 were derived by using the own-children method, developed by Michael Levin, Harvard University Center for Population and Development Studies.

## Mortality

It is thought that under normal circumstances (meaning in the absence of catastrophes such as wars, epidemics and major natural disasters), Tonga's health situation and mortality levels will continuously improve throughout the projection period.

The estimated life expectancies at birth $[\mathrm{E}(0)]$ - 67.3 years and 73.0 years for males and females, respectively - are used as the starting point for projections in 2006. These estimates are based on the estimates as outlined in section 3.2.

Assumption: The population projections presented here assume a rising trend in life expectancy for males and females according to the UN working models of mortality improvement, as described in "World Population Prospects" (p. 144) ${ }^{6}$. According to this model, current estimated life expectancies gradually increase and reach 72.2 and 78.4 years in 2031 for males and females, respectively (Fig. 73).

Only one assumption regarding mortality is made. The reason for this is that variations in mortality levels (multiple assumptions) usually have only a minor impact on final projection results; they also would require the production of too many different scenarios that ultimately would only complicate the presentation of results.

Figure 73: Estimated past levels of mortality, and future mortality assumptions for projections, Tonga: 1996-2031


## Migration

Making meaningful assumptions about future migration developments provides the single greatest difficulty for undertaking population projections, because many of the social and economic parameters shaping migration patterns depend largely on countries' overall social, economic and political developments, as well as environmental factors (e.g. possible sea level rise, frequency and strength of cyclones). All of these factors fluctuate widely and are hard to predict. Migration projections also depend on economic and political developments overseas, in particular on decisions of whether or not to provide working or residency visas, and/or establish immigration quotas for potential Tongan (labour) migrants.

[^8]The total number of migrants is expressed as net migration, which is the difference between the number of arrivals (immigrants) and departures (emigrants) during a certain time period.
Net migration = Arrivals (immigrants) minus Departures (emigrants)

Therefore, if net migration is positive it means that the number of arrivals (immigrants) was higher than the number of departures (emigrants); if net migration is negative, the number of departures (emigrants) is higher than the number of arrivals.

In section 3.3.2 the net migration rate for the intercensal period 1996-2006 was estimated to be about $18(\%)$. That is, approximately $-1,800$ people per year.

In total, four different migration assumptions were made, and the high and the low (zero) net migration assumptions were built symmetrically around the medium net migration assumption (Fig. 74). An additional migration variant assumes zero net migration for projections for the purpose of illustrating the impact of migration on Tonga's population development.

Assumption 1 - Medium net migration: net migration is assumed to gradually decrease to half its current size ( -900 people per year) towards the end of the projection period in 2031.

Assumption 2 - High net migration: net migration is assumed to be constant at $-1,800$ people per year for the entire projection period 2006-2031.

Assumption 3 - Low net migration: net migration is assumed to gradually decrease to zero towards the end of the projection period.

Assumption 4 - Zero net migration: net migration is assumed to be zero for the entire projection period (number of arrivals [immigrants] and departures [emigrants] are equal).

Figure 74: Migration assumptions for population projections, Tonga: 2006-2031


With regard to the age and sex structure of migrants, it is assumed that there will be equal numbers of males and females, and the age structure resembles that of a family type migration pattern (see section 3.3.2).

### 6.2 Projection results

The combination of the previously described three different fertility and three different migration assumptions (with one general mortality assumption), results in nine different projections (Fig. 75). These nine different projections highlight the impact of different levels of fertility on one hand, and the impact of migration on the other. An additional projection variant shows the growth of the population if net migration were zero (number of arrivals [immigrants] and departures [emigrants] are equal).

Figure 75: Past and future population trends according to 10 projection variants, Tonga: 2006-2031


Appendix 9 and Figure 75 illustrate the results and show the future population size. The higher the fertility level assumed, the higher the population outcome; and, the higher the number of annual net migrants (in negative terms), the lower the population size will be in the future.

It also can be seen that different fertility levels have a relatively small impact on the population size compared with the impact that migration assumptions have.

The three population projection scenarios (or variants) that show the most extreme impact on the population size and structure in comparison to an intermediate (medium) outcome (Fig. 76) are described in detail below:

1) High population scenario. This projection outcome is determined by applying the high fertility assumption (slow fertility decline) while assuming rapidly declining net migration rates. This scenario results in a population size of 134,733 in the year 2030.
2) Medium population scenario. This projection outcome is determined by applying the medium fertility assumption (moderate fertility decline), and the medium net migration assumption (assuming current net migrants of $-1,800$ to decrease to -900 until the 2031 - the end of the projection period). This scenario results in a population size of 115,389 in the year 2030.
3) Low population scenario. This projection outcome is determined by applying the low fertility assumption (fast fertility decline) in combination with a high net migration assumption (assuming constant current high level of net migration of $-1,800$ people annually throughout the projection period). This scenario results in a population size of 96,680 in the year 2030.

Figure 76: Past and future population trends according to high, medium, and low population projection scenarios, Tonga: 2006-2031


It can be seen that the impact of the different projections on the population size for the year 2010 are relatively minor. Significant population differences based on the different projection assumptions can only be expected thereafter. According to the extreme scenarios (low and high population scenarios), Tonga's population size will be between 96,680 and 134,733 people in the year 2030. It shows that the population would decrease in size if migration levels remain at its current level of $-1,800$ people per year.

According to the medium population scenario, the population size would be 115,389 people in 2030.
The population size in 2015 can be expected to be between 103,000 and 109,000 people, depending on the projection assumption made.

Figures $77-80$ provide the comparative results of the various projections, and highlight the differential impact on population size, growth and structure, as a result of different levels and trends of fertility and different levels of migration.

The population aged 6-14 - the mandatory school age population - can be expected to remain at the 2006 level until the year 2010; from then onwards, the three different population scenarios will have very different impacts on the size of the school-age population (Fig. 77). This age group would decrease substantially in size if the fertility level decreased rapidly (as outlined by the low fertility assumption), and Tonga would experience high levels of out migration (high migration assumption), as outlined by the low population scenario. The high population variant results in a significant increase in the school age population from 2010 onwards.

According to the medium variant, the school age population aged 6-14 would initially increase slightly to 23,000 people in 2015 before decreasing to 20,600 in 2030.

Figure 77: Population aged 6-14 (mandatory school age) according to high, medium and low population projection scenarios, Tonga: 2006, 2010, 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030


The general impact on the future population structure by broad age groups can be seen in Table 33 and Figures 78-80.

Regardless of the population scenario used, the proportion and size of the working age population (aged 15-59) will be significantly larger in 2030 than in 2006 (Fig. 80). According to the high population scenario, the working age population would increase from 54,716 in 2006 to over 78,000 in 2030, an increase of $43 \%$. According to the medium population scenario, the working age population would increase by $25 \%$ to 68,438 people. Even assuming a low population scenario, the size of the population aged $15-59$ would be 58,770 - larger than in 2006.

Another general outcome is that the population aged 60 and older will be significantly larger than 8,322 in 2006, and will be 12,000 or more in 2030 (Fig. 80).

The proportion of the young population aged $0-14$ (as part of the total population) will decrease until 2030, regardless of the type of projection scenario used (Table 33). It will decrease from $38 \%$ to a range of $27-32 \%$ of the total population.

However, the size of the population younger than 15 years will most likely decrease from about 39,000 in 2006 to less than 35,000 in 2030 (according to the medium and low population scenarios), and would only be 26,000 people according to the low population scenario. Only the high population scenario would result in a higher number of $0-14$ year-olds in 2006 (Fig. 80).

The population will grow older regardless of which projection variant is used, as is expressed in the median age, which will increase from 21.0 years in 2006 to between 24.3 and 27.7 years as a result of a decrease of the proportion of the young population aged $0-14$, and an increase in the proportion of the population aged 60 and older (Table 33).

The three different projection scenarios will produce very different population growth rates: the high population scenario will result in an annual population growth rate of $1.2 \%$ between 2006 and 2030, while the medium population scenario will only produce $0.5 \%$ annual growth (similar to the current population growth rate), while the low population scenario will produce negative growth (i.e. population decline) of $-0.2 \%$ annually.

Table 33: Population structure and indicators according to three different projection scenarios, Tonga: 2030

| Indicator | 2006 Census | 2030 <br> Medium |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population by broad age groups (\%) |  |  | Low |  |
| 0-14 years | 38 | 32 | 30 | 27 |
| 15-59 years | 54 | 58 | 59 | 61 |
| 60 years and older | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |
| Median age | 86 | 73 | 69 | 65 |
| Average annual growth rate (\%) | 21.0 | 24.3 | 25.7 | 27.7 |
| Sex ratio | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | -0.2 |

Figure 78: 2010 population projection by broad age groups according to three scenarios


Figure 79: 2015 population projection by broad age groups according to three scenarios


Figure 80: 2030 population projection by broad age groups according to three scenarios


The different impacts on the population size and structure are furthermore illustrated as population pyramids (Figs. 81-84). The shaded area represents the enumerated 2006 population size by sex and age group, and the outlined area represents the estimated (projected) population size in 2030, according to the high (Fig. 81), medium (Fig. 82), and low (Fig. 83) population scenarios.

In addition, Figure 84 illustrates the impact of migration, or rather the lack of it. It compares the population size in 2030 if net migration is zero during the entire projection period 2006-2030. As was shown in Figure 75, the population would then be 163,681 people.

Figure 81: Population pyramid, high population projection, Tonga: 2006 and 2030
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Figure 82: Population pyramid, medium population projection, Tonga: 2006 and 2030
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Figure 83: Population pyramid, low population projection, Tonga: 2006 and 2030 2006 (shaded area) - 2030 (outlined)


Figure 84: Population pyramid, zero migration projection, Tonga: 2006 and 2030 1996 (shaded area) - 2030 (outlined)


The different shaped pyramids of the three different projection scenarios clearly illustrate that the difference in population size and structure in 2030 is the size of the population aged $0-19$. It highlights the predominant effect of the assumed fertility level on future population size and structure: the lower the assumption of the future fertility level, the smaller the size of the population younger than 20 years of age in the future.

Comparing the pyramids of the high, medium and low population growth variants (Figs. 81-83) with the pyramid that shows the population size and structure if migration were zero for the period 2006-2030 (Fig. 84), clearly shows the impact of international migration. It shows that without the impact of international migration (negative net migration), the population will be significantly larger, and "bulkier" in shape, meaning that the working age population will be especially affected by migration.

## Most likely outcome

Predicting the likelihood of a certain future population size and structure is difficult for any country, and the further into the future the prediction, the more uncertain the outcome.

Therefore, several projection variants need to be produced to allow users to choose from an outcome that seems most probable according to their own views and opinions. Most data users, however, prefer to use a recommended projection variant that depicts a "most likely outcome". Such a variant is usually called the "medium" projection variant using the medium fertility and migration assumption.

Population changes close to those presented in the medium population scenario - which uses the medium fertility assumption (TFR decreases from its current level of 4.2 to 2.7 in 2031), and the medium migration assumption (a gradual decline of currently $-1,800$ net migrants annually to -900 annually in 2031) - appear to be the most likely outcome (see Figs. 75 and 76, green middle line, and App. 9 middle outlined boxes) because:

- The relatively high fertility level is expected to decline as it has in Tonga's recent past, and is furthermore expected to do so based on historical worldwide observations of countries with a similar level of fertility (see also the "theory of demographic transition", App. 10). Therefore, the high fertility assumption, with its very slow fertility decline, seems to be a more unlikely outcome.
- Although fertility levels (TFR) have already declined to well below 2 in many parts of the world, such rapid fertility decline is not expected to occur before the end of the projection period in 2031, based on Tonga's relatively slow past pace of fertility decline. Hence, the low fertility assumption, assuming a rapid fertility decline, appears an equally unlikely outcome.
- While it is nearly impossible to predict future migration patterns and levels, the medium migration assumption appears to be the most realistic because it produces similar rates of population growth as those experienced during the past 30 years from 1976-2006. Higher levels of migration are limited through immigration restrictions posed by possible destination countries. Furthermore, through a reduction in anticipated birth rates, there will be fewer numbers of potential migrants in future, resulting in a reduction of the "migrant stock".
- On the other hand, lower levels seem unlikely in view of Tonga's long established steady flow of migration to destination countries such as New Zealand, Australia, and the United States.


## 7. IMPLICATIONS OF DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS

## 7.I Population dynamics

## 7.I.I Fertility

Tonga's annual population growth of $0.4 \%$ is the result of a relatively high natural growth rate counterbalanced by high emigration rates. The high natural growth is the result of high fertility (birth) rates. The average number of children per woman (TFR) has only dropped marginally during the 10 -year period 1996-2006 from about 4.3 to 4.2 children per woman.

Should the government wish to influence fertility levels, policies and programmes directed toward the expansion of family-planning services and reproductive health programmes should be considered. Availability and accessibility of family planning services for women (and their partners) of all ages will empower them to make conscious decisions about the number and spacing of their births. Furthermore, pregnancies of young women are often unwanted and the result of unprotected sex. This is a major health concern, especially considering the risk of HIV/AIDS and sexually transmitted diseases.

Teen pregnancy is a social issue; children of teenage mothers often have lower educational levels, higher rates of poverty, and other poorer "life outcomes". In general, teenage pregnancy usually occurs outside of marriage and, for this reason, it often carries a social stigma.

Many stakeholders are involved in teenage reproductive health strategies, working at various levels to reduce teenage pregnancy by increasing the knowledge and practice of family planning, promoting peer education, providing sex education advisory services including contraceptives, involving young people in service design, educating the parents of teenagers on effective communication, providing better support for teenage mothers (such as help returning to education, advice and support), working with young fathers, giving better childcare, and increasing the availability of supported housing.

## 7.I. 2 Mortality

Estimates of mortality level presented in this report suggest that females live longer than males, and live on average almost six years longer than males. Life expectancy at birth is estimated at 67.3 and 73.0 for males and females, respectively. This compares with 77.5 and 81.7 years for males and females in New Zealand.

Improved mortality rates mean that healthier people live longer lives. In working towards this goal, the following efforts should be made:

- improve infant, child and maternal health by improving primary health care programmes;
- expand immunization programmes;
- prevent HIV/AIDS and STDs by:
- Increasing awareness and knowledge of safer sexual behaviours and practices by using appropriate language;
- Targeting priority groups (youth, women and men, particularly aged 10-24);
- Enhancing education programmes to encourage open discussions (between partners and their children) on issues of sexual behaviours;
- Promoting and disseminating information outlining the advantages and proper use of condoms by men and women, with an emphasis on targeting male organisations;
- Reviewing, developing, implementing and evaluating the effectiveness of appropriate policies;
- Delaying young peoples' initial sexual activity;
- Developing a well-planned media campaign throughout the year based on health promotion with regards to HIV/AIDS;
- Ensuring protection of the rights of people living with HIV/AIDS;
- Ensuring that people living with HIV/AIDS have free and unrestricted access to medical treatment, facilities and support services;
- Ensuring that a reliable HIV/AIDS testing system is in place;
- Establishing a voluntary, confidential system of HIV/AIDS testing with informed consent that includes pre and post test counseling;
- combat the prevalence of diabetes and heart disease;
- provide a hygienic and safe living environment;
- promote healthy eating habits and food nutrition programmes;
- advocate a general healthy life style including regular physical exercise; and
- discourage smoking and excessive alcohol consumption.


## 7.I. 3 Internal migration

The fact that many islands and districts in divisions such as Vava'u, Ha'apai, and especially Ongo Niua have shown negative population growth rates (i.e. a population decline) during the intercensal period, points to a possible dissatisfaction with living conditions in these areas. Reasons may include the lack of post-secondary education opportunities (for tertiary or vocational/technical qualifications), and limited employment opportunities. Nuku'alofa and/or overseas destinations attract people by offering higher living standards through the availability and accessibility to services such as medical and educational institutions, entertainment facilities, and a wide range of employment opportunities.

The remoteness of most islands and high transportation costs cause imported products to be quite expensive. This will increasingly be aggravated by the smaller size of the market (economy of scale). A declining population might result in a general reduction in the supply and variety of goods and services, as an ever declining population means fewer customers (demand) for educational and health services, established businesses, farmers and fishers, who supply the local market. This may lead to a decline in improved services of any kind, and may even result in the closure of shops and general services, which in turn may lead to further population decline.

If the government wishes to change this trend, at least some of the disadvantages of living in the outer islands need to be eased by improving the abovementioned services and opportunities.

Since Nuku'alofa's/Tongatapu's population growth rate was also very low - certainly much lower than the national natural growth rate - it can be concluded that many, if not most, emigrants from the outer islands chose to reside overseas rather than in Nuku'alofa.

## 7.I. 4 International migration

Unfortunately, data on arrivals and departures provided by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Immigration, remain incomplete and are unusable for detailed migration analysis. Because departure cards are not collected, it is impossible to derive timely and accurate migration statistics.

Therefore, the net migration level can only be crudely estimated by comparing intercensal population growth with estimated rates of natural increase for the same time period. While this method provides a reasonably robust indication of net migration, planners and policy-makers require more detailed and timelier information on the demographic makeup of opposing migration streams in order to make and implement realistic policy decisions. Hence, further improvements are needed to collect and process information on age, sex and nationality of all arriving and departing passengers in Tonga.

If improvements prove to be impossible, an alternative would be to apply the proper demographic methodologies, by comparing the two nearest censuses, to calculate the desired population data. The disadvantage of this option is that this can only be done after the analysis of the latest census is completed. This exercise could prove more timely (and costly) than an efficient registration system that would provide regular and timely migration information.

Tonga's 1996-2006 intercensal estimates of net migration is estimated to be -18/1000 population. This translates into a net loss of 1,800 people annually, or 150 people per month. The high rates of (negative) migration offset, to a large extent, Tonga's otherwise high natural population growth rate. The fact that many people are leaving Tonga points to a possible dissatisfaction with local living conditions. It shows that those who emigrate or who are planning to leave expect to better themselves, in ways that vary from person to person. A specially designed survey may shed more light on the specific motives and aspirations of migrants.

## 7.I.5 Population projections

Knowledge about the current size and structure of a country's population is needed for the formulation and implementation of policies and programmes in almost all areas of public life. Because policies are aimed at achieving goals in the future, knowledge about future population trends is required.

The population projection scenarios presented in this report point to a slowly growing population for Tonga during the next 25 years. The medium-variant scenario of the projections points to a population of about 103,600 in 2010 and 115,400 people in 2030.

Changes in Tonga's population age structure, as a result of possible declining fertility rates, will have an impact on the proportion of the young population aged $0-14$. Changes will be reflected in a smaller proportion of those under the age of 15 , and a larger working age population aged 15-59. As a result, the dependency ratio of Tonga's population will decrease, and the population's median age will increase by about 3-7 years.

The proportion of the population aged 60 and older will increase from $8 \%$ in 2006 to $10-12 \%$ of the total population in 2030.

The working age population is expected to increase considerably, both in proportion and in absolute numbers. According to the medium population scenario, the working age population will be more than 68,000 people in 2030.

The needs of this larger population size and its different population subgroups should be considered in development plans in areas as diverse as health, education, environment, and economic growth.

### 7.2 Crosscutting issues

Tonga will most likely experience a continued, although slow, population growth during the next few years. Appropriate health, education, and social welfare programmes must be in place to fulfill the needs and aspirations of Tonga's communities.

### 7.2.I Vital statistics

A well functioning registration system, able to supply accurate and timely statistics on population developments, is of fundamental importance to planners and policy-makers. To make reliable estimates regarding fertility and mortality indicator levels and trends, a complete registration system needs to be in place; one that records the number of deaths by age and sex, and the number of births by sex and by age of mother, and especially by place of mother's usual place of residence. Improved coordination between all agencies involved is required.

By tracking all immigrants and exiting people, policy-makers will have an accurate and current picture of Tonga's total population size and structure. Such information will be indispensable for policy planning purposes and policy formulation.

### 7.2.2 The environment

Careful use of terrestrial and marine resources forms the basis of a sustainable and healthy life for Tonga's people. As such, maintaining a healthy and sustainable living environment should be a top priority for the government and people of Tonga. Apart from enabling a good quality of life for local people, conservation of the environment can foster a vibrant tourism industry.

The size and density of the population has a direct impact on water and energy consumption, sewage and waste production, general infrastructure such as roads, the use of land, and the development of agriculture and marine resources.

High population densities put considerable stress on the environment. Consequently, there is a higher demand on environmental health services, such as public garbage collection, and most importantly, a well-functioning sewage system. In addition, water sources need to be protected.

### 7.2.3 Households

Population growth not only contributes to an increased demand in water and energy supply, waste disposal, sewage connections and general infrastructure, but also to an increase in the number of households due to changes in average household size. Even if the population size remained stable, the number of households would still increase when households and/or family structures break up into smaller units, often described as the transition from extended family type households to nuclear family type living arrangements.

Households and families that are economically incapable of sustaining an acceptable and healthy lifestyle might need extra assistance from the government, since unhealthy living environments affect everyone in the long term. In particular, access to clean water, public electricity, an adequate publicsewage system and waste disposal facilities should all be the minimum housing standard for Tonga's population.

### 7.2.4 Health services and well-being

The health status of each individual and his/her family members is probably one of the most important concerns people have. Therefore, the availability, use and affordability of quality health care and medical services are major issues of concern. Government and health officials need to address the challenges of health services and the health care system.

On Tonga's outer islands, small population sizes and remoteness inhibit the operation of state-of-the-art health services that require the employment of specialist personnel and the purchase and maintenance of specialised equipment. However resident medical staff need to be sufficiently qualified to provide basic health care. An efficient referral service to the nearest health facility, together with regular visits by medical specialists, is needed to ensure that peoples' health demands are met.

The population projections have shown that the population aged 60 and older will increase during the next 25 years. This requires strengthening of special services for the growing number of elderly people, including a pension scheme with retirement benefits, and specialised health care for the elderly.

### 7.2.5 Education

Educational level is a key indicator of development and quality of life in a country. Education plays an important role in development through its links with demographic, as well as economic and social factors. In general, there is a close and complex relationship between education, fertility, morbidity, mortality and mobility: when couples are better educated, they tend to have fewer children, their children's health status improves, and their survival rates tend to increase. Higher levels of educational attainment also contribute to a better qualified workforce, higher wages, and better economic performance than for people who have little or no formal education and training.

In this regard, it is a benefit that young people leave the country to attend higher educational institutions. However, graduates need to return to suitable employment to avoid a "brain drain" and to retain the educated with their newly acquired knowledge and skills.

Although data on educational attainment show that men have achieved, on average, slightly higher educational levels than females, information on current school enrolment shows a far more balanced picture, with more females currently enrolled than males.

### 7.2.6 Economic activity and labour market

Economic activity and employment are shaped by the size of the working age population, the educational skill level of the labour force, and the economic resources available to a country.

Although a high proportion (57\%) of Tonga's population aged 15 and older was economically active (in the labour force), only a relatively small proportion ( $37 \%$ ) was engaged in paid employment. These relatively few people $(23,438)$ supported the rest of the population with respect to paid income, meaning that one paid person supports, on average, about 3.5 other people.

According to projection results presented in this report, the working age population will increase significantly during the next 25 years. Government and business officials are encouraged to collaborate in developing innovative strategies that will promote economic diversification and growth.

### 7.2.7 Good governance

Good governance and effective policy-making should provide the framework for sustainable development within which the interrelationship of population, environment, and all possible socioeconomic aspects of a country can prosper cohesively.

In this regard it is important that policy-makers, planners, politicians and community leaders are aware of the needs and aspirations of their country's people in order to effectively provide for the specific needs of the population, and the different population sub-groups. Then government needs to know about its country's population structure, population processes and socioeconomic characteristics in order to plan for an adequate standard of living, and for a proper provision and distribution of goods and services.

## GLOSSARY

Indicator
Age-dependency ratio

Average age at (first) marriage (SMAM)

Balance equation
Births — estimated number for 2006

Child mortality rate (1q5)

Crude birth rate (CBR)

Crude death rate (CDR)

Crude net migration rate

Deaths - estimated number for 2006

Employment-population ratio

Infant mortality rate (IMR)

Intercensal period

Labour force

Labour force participation rate

Life expectancy at birth

## Definition

Number of people in the "dependent" age category (population younger than 15 years plus population 60 years and older) per 100 in the "economically productive ages" $15-59$ years

Approximation of average age at marriage, based on proportion of population never married (single)

Population growth $=$ births - deaths + net migration

Estimated age-specific fertility rates (ASFR) X enumerated number of women by age in 2006

The probability of dying between age 1 and age 5

Estimated number of births per 1,000 population
(2,945/101,991 X 1,000)

Estimated number of deaths per 1,000 population $(709 / 101,991$ X 1,000)

Rate of growth minus rate of natural increase

Estimated age-specific death rates [m(x)] by sex (from life table) X enumerated population by age and sex in 2006

Proportion of employed people in cash work (by a given age and sex), as part of the corresponding total number of people of the same age and sex

Number of infant deaths (children younger than 1 year) per 1,000 births

Time period between two censuses

People employed (cash work plus village work) and unemployed (excludes those not seeking employment)

Proportion of people in the labour force (by a given age and sex), as part of the corresponding total number of people of the same age and sex

Number of years a newborn baby can expect to live on average

Mean age at childbearing

## Median age

Parity (average)
Rate of growth (\%)

Rate of natural increase

Sex ratio
Teenage fertility rate

Total fertility rate (TFR)

Under 5 mortality (q5)

Urban population

Average age of women when giving birth

The age at which exactly half the population is older and half is younger

Average number of children per woman
Average annual growth rate during 1996-2006 $\ln$ (TotPop2006/TotPop1996)/10 X 100

Crude birth rate (CBR) minus crude death rate (CDR)

Number of males per 100 females

Number of births by women aged $15-19$ per 1,000

Average number of children per woman

The probability of dying between birth and age 5 .

Total population of the villages Kolofo'ou, Ma'ufanga,
Kolomotu'a. Urban boundaries are defined based on the size of village population: villages with a population size of at least 5,000 people are defined as urban

## APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix 1: Arriaga method for estimating age-specific fertility rates (ASFRs) for two points in time and age patterns of fertility (Arriaga-Brass)*

## First enumeration: Nov 1996 <br> Fertility pattern is tabulated by age of woman at enumeration

| Age Group of Woman | ChildrenEverBorn | Age Specific Fertility rates (ASFR) | Fertility <br> Consistent with C.E.B. (ASFR) | Fertility Pattern by Age at Survey Date | Fertility Pattern by Age at Birth of Child | Cumulation |  | Adjustment factors | Age Specific Fertility Rates Based on Adjustment Factor for the Age Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ASFR | Fertility <br> Pattern by <br> Age at <br> Birth |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20-25 | 25-30 | 30-3 |

November 1996 to November 1997

|  | Recorded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Calculated |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-20$ | 0.029 | 0.015 | 0.027 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 0.027 | 0.021 | 1.285 | 0.022 | 0.021 | 0.021 |
| $20-25$ | 0.455 | 0.145 | 0.158 | 0.145 | 0.160 | 0.185 | 0.181 | 1.023 | 0.164 | 0.158 | 0.161 |
| $25-30$ | 1.524 | 0.236 | 0.231 | 0.236 | 0.239 | 0.416 | 0.420 | 0.990 | 0.245 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| $30-35$ | 2.800 | 0.213 | 0.237 | 0.213 | 0.208 | 0.653 | 0.628 | 1.039 | 0.213 | 0.206 | 0.209 |
| $35-40$ | 4.051 | 0.154 | 0.175 | 0.154 | 0.147 | 0.828 | 0.775 | 1.067 | 0.151 | 0.146 | 0.148 |
| $40-45$ | 4.859 | 0.070 | 0.089 | 0.070 | 0.062 | 0.916 | 0.837 | 1.095 | 0.063 | 0.061 | 0.062 |
| $45-50$ | 5.150 | 0.013 | 0.032 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.949 | 0.846 | 1.121 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fertility Rate: | 4.7 |  | 4.2 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{4 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 6}$ |  |  |

Last enumeration: Nov 2006
Fertility pattern is tabulated by age of woman at enumeration

| Age Group of Woman | Children <br> Ever <br> Born | Age Specific Fertility rates (ASFR) | Fertility Consistent with C.E.B. (ASFR) | Fertility <br> Pattern by <br> Age at Survey Date | Fertility Pattern by Age at Birth of Child | Cumulation of |  | Adjustment factors | Age Specific Fertility Rates Based on Adjustment Factor for the Age Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ASFR | Fertility <br> Pattern by <br> Age at Birth |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20-25 | 25-30 | 30 |


| November 2005 to November 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Recorded | Calculated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 | 0.030 | 0.016 | 0.027 | 0.016 | 0.021 | 0.027 | 0.021 | 1.281 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 |
| 20-25 | 0.435 | 0.124 | 0.147 | 0.124 | 0.135 | 0.174 | 0.156 | 1.115 | 0.150 | 0.151 | 0.151 |
| 25-30 | 1.418 | 0.188 | 0.215 | 0.188 | 0.192 | 0.389 | 0.348 | 1.119 | 0.214 | 0.215 | 0.214 |
| 30-35 | 2.597 | 0.197 | 0.211 | 0.197 | 0.195 | 0.601 | 0.543 | 1.106 | 0.217 | 0.218 | 0.218 |
| 35-40 | 3.640 | 0.154 | 0.130 | 0.154 | 0.146 | 0.731 | 0.689 | 1.061 | 0.163 | 0.163 | 0.163 |
| 40-45 | 4.228 | 0.055 | 0.057 | 0.055 | 0.048 | 0.788 | 0.737 | 1.069 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.054 |
| 45-50 | 4.433 | 0.014 | 0.021 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.810 | 0.748 | 1.082 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| Total Fertility Rate: |  |  | 4.0 |  | 3.7 |  |  |  | 4.17 | 4.19 | 4.18 |

[^9]Appendix 2: Fertility estimates based on the Arriaga method*


[^10]Appendix 3: Child mortality indices based on number of children ever born and still alive, for males*, Tonga: 2006

| Age group of women | Reference Date | United Nations Models |  |  |  |  | Reference Date | Coale-Demeny Model |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Palloni-Heligman Equations) |  |  |  |  |  | (Trussell Equations) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Latin Am. | Chilean | So. Asian | Far East | General |  | West | North | East | South |
| Infant mortality rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 | Oct 2005 | $<.028$ | < . 031 | $<.032$ | < . 015 | $<.024$ | Jan 2006 | $<.013$ | $<.017$ | < . 016 | < . 036 |
| 20-25 | Dec 2004 | < . 028 | < . 031 | < . 032 | 0.022 | < . 024 | Feb 2005 | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.023 | < . 036 |
| 25-30 | Sep 2003 | $<.028$ | < . 031 | < . 032 | 0.017 | < . 024 | Aug 2003 | 0.017 | $<.017$ | 0.018 | < . 036 |
| 30-35 | Dec 2001 | < . 028 | < . 031 | < . 032 | 0.018 | < . 024 | Sep 2001 | 0.019 | 0.018 | 0.020 | < . 036 |
| 35-40 | Sep 1999 | $<.028$ | < . 031 | < . 032 | 0.021 | < . 024 | Jul 1999 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.022 | < . 036 |
| 40-45 | Dec 1996 | < . 028 | < . 031 | < . 032 | 0.02 | < . 024 | Dec 1996 | 0.020 | 0.019 | 0.021 | < . 036 |
| 45-50 | Oct 1993 | < . 028 | < . 031 | $<.032$ | 0.022 | < . 024 | Nov 1993 | 0.021 | 0.019 | 0.023 | < . 036 |
| Probability of dying between ages 1 and 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 | Oct 2005 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | < . 002 | < . 005 | Jan 2006 | < . 002 | < . 004 | < . 002 | < . 005 |
| 20-25 | Dec 2004 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.004 | < . 005 | Feb 2005 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.003 | < . 005 |
| 25-30 | Sep 2003 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.003 | < . 005 | Aug 2003 | 0.002 | < . 004 | 0.002 | < . 005 |
| 30-35 | Dec 2001 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.003 | < . 005 | Sep 2001 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.002 | < . 005 |
| 35-40 | Sep 1999 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.004 | < . 005 | Jul 1999 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 | < . 005 |
| 40-45 | Dec 1996 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.004 | < . 005 | Dec 1996 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 | < . 005 |
| 45-50 | Oct 1993 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.004 | < . 005 | Nov 1993 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | < . 005 |
| Child mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 | Oct 2005 | $<.036$ | < . 035 | $<.04$ | < . 017 | < . 029 | Jan 2006 | $<.015$ | $<.021$ | < . 018 | < . 041 |
| 20-25 | Dec 2004 | < . 036 | < . 035 | < . 04 | 0.026 | < . 029 | Feb 2005 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.026 | < . 041 |
| 25-30 | Sep 2003 | < . 036 | < . 035 | $<.04$ | 0.02 | < . 029 | Aug 2003 | 0.020 | < . 021 | 0.019 | < . 041 |
| 30-35 | Dec 2001 | < . 036 | < . 035 | $<.04$ | 0.022 | < . 029 | Sep 2001 | 0.022 | 0.021 | 0.021 | < . 041 |
| 35-40 | Sep 1999 | < . 036 | < . 035 | < . 04 | 0.024 | < . 029 | Jul 1999 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.024 | < . 041 |
| 40-45 | Dec 1996 | < . 036 | < . 035 | < . 04 | 0.024 | < . 029 | Dec 1996 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.024 | < . 041 |
| 45-50 | Oct 1993 | < . 036 | < . 035 | $<.04$ | 0.027 | < . 029 | Nov 1993 | 0.024 | 0.023 | 0.026 | < . 041 |

[^11]| Age group of women | Reference date | United Nations Models |  |  |  |  | Reference date | Coale-Demeny Model |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Palloni-Heligman Equations) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Trusse | ations) |  |
|  |  | Latin Am. | Chilean | So. Asian | Far East | General |  | West | North | East | South |
| Infant mortality rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 | Sep 2005 | 0.072 | 0.079 | 0.072 | 0.071 | 0.072 | Dec 2005 | 0.078 | 0.076 | 0.078 | 0.074 |
| 20-25 | Nov 2004 | < . 028 | < . 031 | < 032 | 0.015 | < . 024 | Jan 2005 | 0.016 | < . 017 | 0.016 | <. 036 |
| 25-30 | Oct 2003 | < . 028 | < . 031 | < . 032 | 0.016 | < . 024 | Sep 2003 | 0.017 | < . 017 | 0.017 | <. 036 |
| 30-35 | Mar 2002 | < . 028 | < . 031 | $<.032$ | 0.016 | < . 024 | Dec 2001 | 0.017 | < . 017 | 0.017 | < . 036 |
| 35-40 | Feb 2000 | < . 028 | < . 031 | < . 032 | 0.018 | < . 024 | Dec 1999 | 0.017 | $<.017$ | 0.019 | <. 036 |
| 40-45 | Aug 1997 | < . 028 | < . 031 | < . 032 | 0.016 | < . 024 | Jul 1997 | 0.016 | < . 017 | 0.017 | < . 036 |
| 45-50 | Jun 1994 | < . 028 | < . 031 | < . 032 | 0.017 | < . 024 | Jul 1994 | 0.016 | < . 017 | 0.018 | < 036 |
| Probability of dying between ages 1 and 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 | Sep 2005 | 0.037 | 0.016 | 0.032 | 0.030 | 0.031 | Dec 2005 | 0.034 | 0.050 | 0.022 | 0.026 |
| 20-25 | Nov 2004 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.002 | < .005 | Jan 2005 | 0.002 | < . 004 | 0.001 | <.005 |
| 25-30 | Oct 2003 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.003 | < . 005 | Sep 2003 | 0.002 | < . 004 | 0.001 | < . 005 |
| 30-35 | Mar 2002 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.003 | < .005 | Dec 2001 | 0.002 | < . 004 | 0.001 | <.005 |
| 35-40 | Feb 2000 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.003 | < . 005 | Dec 1999 | 0.003 | < . 004 | 0.002 | <. 005 |
| 40-45 | Aug 1997 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.003 | < .005 | Jul 1997 | 0.002 | < . 004 | 0.001 | <.005 |
| 45-50 | Jun 1994 | < . 008 | < . 004 | < . 008 | 0.003 | < . 005 | Jul 1994 | 0.002 | < . 004 | 0.002 | < . 005 |
| Child mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 | Sep 2005 | 0.106 | 0.094 | 0.102 | 0.099 | 0.101 | Dec 2005 | 0.110 | 0.122 | 0.098 | 0.098 |
| 20-25 | Nov 2004 | < . 036 | < . 035 | < . 040 | 0.017 | < . 029 | Jan 2005 | 0.018 | $<.021$ | 0.017 | < . 041 |
| 25-30 | Oct 2003 | < . 036 | < . 035 | < . 040 | 0.019 | < . 029 | Sep 2003 | 0.019 | < . 021 | 0.019 | <.041 |
| 30-35 | Mar 2002 | < . 036 | < . 035 | < . 040 | 0.019 | < . 029 | Dec 2001 | 0.019 | < . 021 | 0.019 | < . 041 |
| 35-40 | Feb 2000 | < . 036 | $<.035$ | < . 040 | 0.020 | < . 029 | Dec 1999 | 0.020 | $<.021$ | 0.020 | < . 041 |
| 40-45 | Aug 1997 | < . 036 | < . 035 | < . 040 | 0.019 | < . 029 | Jul 1997 | 0.018 | < . 021 | 0.018 | < . 041 |
| 45-50 | Jun 1994 | < . 036 | < . 035 | < . 040 | 0.020 | < . 029 | Jul 1994 | 0.018 | < . 021 | 0.019 | <. 041 |

[^12]Appendix 5: Reported/registered number of deaths by age and sex, Tonga: 2003-2006

| Age group | Number of deaths from civil registration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of deaths from 2006 censusDec 2005-Nov 2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005* |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Total |
| <1 | 21 | 13 | 34 | 21 | 14 | 35 |  |  | 31 | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| 1-4 | 12 | 5 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 8 |  |  | 8 | 10 | 4 | 14 |
| 5-9 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 10 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| 10-14 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 5 |  |  | 6 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| 15-19 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 14 |  |  |  | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| 20-24 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 12 |  |  | 17 | 9 | 2 | 11 |
| 25-29 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 10 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 30-34 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 11 | 2 | 13 |  |  | 20 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| 35-39 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 9 |  |  |  | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 40-44 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 12 | 4 | 16 |  |  | 23 | 12 | 10 | 22 |
| 45-49 | 15 | 6 | 21 | 20 | 4 | 24 |  |  |  | 19 | 24 | 43 |
| 50-54 | 11 | 17 | 28 | 13 | 10 | 23 |  |  | 58 | 23 | 13 | 36 |
| 55-59 | 22 | 16 | 38 | 29 | 18 | 47 |  |  |  | 17 | 10 | 27 |
| 60-64 | 34 | 23 | 57 | 36 | 19 | 55 |  |  | 76 | 30 | 18 | 48 |
| 65-69 | 28 | 33 | 61 | 26 | 13 | 39 |  |  |  | 30 | 18 | 48 |
| 70-74 | 45 | 37 | 82 | 41 | 23 | 64 |  |  | 124 | 29 | 36 | 65 |
| 75-79 | 38 | 37 | 75 | 33 | 32 | 65 |  |  | 180 | 95 | 76 | 171 |
| 80+ | 57 | 54 | 111 | 61 | 49 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| NS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 13 | 4 | 17 |
| Total | 342 | 275 | 617 | 349 | 210 | 559 |  |  | 543 | 328 | 244 | 572 |

* data not available by sex, and only for broad age groups

Appendix 6: Estimated number of deaths by age and sex for 2006, based on 2006 census population and calculated $m(x, n)$-values from abridged life tables for males and females, Tonga: 2006

| Age group | 2006 census population |  | $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$ |  | Number of deaths |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females | Males | Females | Total |
| 0 | 1,425 | 1,323 | 2,748 | 0.0224 | 0.0162 | 32 | 21 | 53 |
| $1-4$ | 5,761 | 5,290 | 11,050 | 0.0010 | 0.0005 | 6 | 3 | 8 |
| $5-9$ | 6,756 | 6,063 | 12,820 | 0.0008 | 0.0007 | 5 | 4 | 10 |
| $10-14$ | 6,423 | 5,912 | 12,335 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| $15-19$ | 5,392 | 4,900 | 10,292 | 0.0015 | 0.0007 | 8 | 3 | 12 |
| $20-24$ | 4,656 | 4,546 | 9,202 | 0.0020 | 0.0004 | 9 | 2 | 11 |
| $25-29$ | 3,645 | 3,668 | 7,313 | 0.0015 | 0.0005 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| $30-34$ | 3,151 | 3,193 | 6,345 | 0.0028 | 0.0011 | 9 | 4 | 12 |
| $35-39$ | 3,117 | 3,119 | 6,236 | 0.0023 | 0.0017 | 7 | 5 | 12 |
| $40-44$ | 2,582 | 2,438 | 5,020 | 0.0041 | 0.0033 | 11 | 8 | 19 |
| $45-49$ | 1,926 | 2,060 | 3,987 | 0.0094 | 0.0055 | 18 | 11 | 29 |
| $50-54$ | 1,637 | 1,832 | 3,469 | 0.0096 | 0.0072 | 16 | 13 | 29 |
| $55-59$ | 1,361 | 1,491 | 2,852 | 0.0167 | 0.0098 | 23 | 15 | 37 |
| $60-64$ | 1,171 | 1,302 | 2,473 | 0.0286 | 0.0153 | 33 | 20 | 53 |
| $65-69$ | 1,094 | 1,083 | 2,177 | 0.0257 | 0.0196 | 28 | 21 | 49 |
| $70-74$ | 778 | 810 | 1,588 | 0.0494 | 0.0394 | 38 | 32 | 70 |
| $75-79$ | 511 | 589 | 1,100 | 0.1061 | 0.0693 | 54 | 41 | 95 |
| $80+$ | 385 | 599 | 984 | 0.2473 | 0.1634 | 95 | 98 | 193 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 1 , 7 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 2 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 9 9 1}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{4 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 9}$ |

Appendix 7A: Population aged 15 and older by labour market activity, sex, and urban-rural residence, Tonga: 2006

| Region/Sex | Labour Force |  |  |  |  | Non Labour Force |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid work | Subsistence work | Work unspecified | Un-employed | Total | Student | Retired, disabled, family responsibilities | Other* | Total |  |
| Total | 23,438 | 11,497 | 355 | 388 | 35,678 | 8,906 | 10,312 | 8,189 | 27,407 | 63,085 |
| Urban | 6,222 | 1,449 | 133 | 126 | 7,930 | 2,289 | 2,943 | 2,129 | 7,361 | 15,291 |
| Rural | 17,216 | 10,048 | 222 | 262 | 27,748 | 6,617 | 7,369 | 6,060 | 20,046 | 47,794 |
| Males | 14,273 | 5,499 | 184 | 214 | 20,170 | 4,396 | 3,115 | 3,760 | 11,271 | 31,441 |
| Urban | 3,792 | 624 | 64 | 70 | 4,550 | 1,108 | 881 | 1,003 | 2,992 | 7,542 |
| Rural | 10,481 | 4,875 | 120 | 144 | 15,620 | 3,288 | 2,234 | 2,757 | 8,279 | 23,899 |
| Females | 9,165 | 5,998 | 171 | 174 | 15,508 | 4,510 | 7,197 | 4,429 | 16,136 | 31,644 |
| Urban | 2,430 | 825 | 69 | 56 | 3,380 | 1,181 | 2,062 | 1,126 | 4,369 | 7,749 |
| Rural | 6,735 | 5,173 | 102 | 118 | 12,128 | 3,329 | 5,135 | 3,303 | 11,767 | 23,895 |

[^13]Appendix 7B: Population aged 15 and older by labour market activity, sex, and urban-rural residence, Tonga: 2006 (according to an adjusted definition of unemployed)

| Region/Sex | Labour Force |  |  |  |  | Non Labour Force |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid work | Subsistence work | Work unspecified | Un-employed | Total | Student | Retired, disabled, family responsibilities | Other* | Total |  |
| Total | 23,438 | 11,497 | 355 | 1,824 | 37,114 | 8,906 | 10,312 | 6,753 | 25,971 | 63,085 |
| Urban | 6,222 | 1,449 | 133 | 445 | 8,249 | 2,289 | 2,943 | 1,810 | 7,042 | 15,291 |
| Rural | 17,216 | 10,048 | 222 | 1,379 | 28,865 | 6,617 | 7,369 | 4,943 | 18,929 | 47,794 |
| Males | 14,273 | 5,499 | 184 | 924 | 20,880 | 4,396 | 3,115 | 3,050 | 10,561 | 31,441 |
| Urban | 3,792 | 624 | 64 | 230 | 4,710 | 1,108 | 881 | 843 | 2,832 | 7,542 |
| Rural | 10,481 | 4,875 | 120 | 694 | 16,170 | 3,288 | 2,234 | 2,207 | 7,729 | 23,899 |
| Females | 9,165 | 5,998 | 171 | 900 | 16,234 | 4,510 | 7,197 | 3,703 | 15,410 | 31,644 |
| Urban | 2,430 | 825 | 69 | 215 | 3,539 | 1,181 | 2,062 | 967 | 4,210 | 7,749 |
| Rural | 6,735 | 5,173 | 102 | 685 | 12,695 | 3,329 | 5,135 | 2,736 | 11,200 | 23,895 |

[^14]Appendix 8: Total fertility rate (TFR) of Australia, France, New Zealand and the United States of America, and the average TFR of these four countries: 1975-2005

1975

Appendix 9: Projected population size according to nine projection scenarios (combination of three different fertility and migration assumptions), Tonga: 2010, 2015 and 2030

| Year 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertility assumption <br> (TFR from 2006 to 2031) | Migration assumption |  |  |
|  | Low (fast decline) | Medium (slow decline) | High (constant) |
| High (slow decline) $(4.2 \rightarrow 3.2)$ | 104,126 | 103,891 | 103,656 |
| Medium (medium decline) $(4.2 \rightarrow 2.7)$ | 103,876 | 103,641 | 103,407 |
| Low (fast decline) $(4.2 \rightarrow 2.2)$ | 103,640 | 103,406 | 103,171 |
| Year 2015 |  |  |  |
| Fertility assumption <br> (TFR from 2006 to 2031) | Migration assumption |  |  |
|  | Low (fast decline) | Medium (slow decline) | High (constant) |
| High (slow decline) $(4.2 \rightarrow 3.2)$ | 108,694 | 107,187 | 105,681 |
| Medium (medium decline) $(4.2 \rightarrow 2.7)$ | 107,507 | 106,011 | 104,514 |
| Low (fast decline) $(4.2 \rightarrow 2.2)$ | 106,262 | 104,777 | 103,293 |
| Year 2030 |  |  |  |
| Fertility assumption <br> (TFR from 2006 to 2031) | Migration assumption |  |  |
|  | Low (fast decline) | Medium (slow decline) | High (constant) |
| High (slow decline) $(4.2 \rightarrow 3.2)$ | 134,733 | 121,216 | 107,697 |
| Medium (medium decline) $(4.2 \rightarrow 2.7)$ | 128,504 | 115,389 | 102,273 |
| Low (fast decline) $(4.2 \rightarrow 2.2)$ | 122,085 | 109,383 | 96,680 |

## Appendix 10: The demographic transition

According to the theory of demographic transition, over time all countries will undergo change from high rates of births and deaths to low rates of births and deaths. This transition process is usually closely associated with economic, social and scientific developments. This is assumed to happen in four distinct stages:

Stage 1: High birth rate, high death rate
Stage 2: High birth rate, falling death rate
Stage 3: Declining birth rate, relatively low death rate
Stage 4: Low birth rate, low death rate
$\rightarrow$ little or no population growth
$\rightarrow$ high growth
$\rightarrow$ slowed growth
$\rightarrow$ very low growth

Historically, high levels of births and deaths kept most populations from growing rapidly through time. In fact, many populations not only failed to grow but also completely died out when birth rates did not compensate for high death rates (stage 1). There are few populations/communities left today at stage 1.

Death rates eventually fell as living conditions, nutrition and public health improved. The decline in mortality usually preceded the decline in fertility, resulting in population growth during the transition period (stage 2). In Europe and other industrialised countries, death rates fell slowly. With the added benefit of medical advances, death rates fell more rapidly in the countries that began the transition in the $20^{\text {th }}$ century. These are/were primarily developing countries. Their death rates often fell much faster than in European countries because they benefited from Western inventions and innovations.

In general, fertility rates fell neither as quickly nor as dramatically as death rates, and thus populations grew rapidly.

Stage 3 is characterized by falling birth rates, which occur for many reasons and vary from country to country and population to population. A decrease in birth rates may result from: a transition from a nonmonetary to a monetary economy, urbanization, a change in values from a community emphasis to individualism, increasing emphasis on consumerism, improved education, availability of (modern) family planning methods (i.e. contraceptives), greater involvement of women in the workplace, rising cost of living, rising cost of raising children, and preferences in how people want to spend their time.

The demographic transition is regarded as completed when both birth and death rates have reached a low and stable level (stage 4). As a result, population growth is very low.

Originally, the theory of demographic transition included only the four stages described above. There is now another stage, the post-transition period (although it is uncertain whether all countries will reach this stage).

Post-transition period: Very low birth rate, low death rate $\quad \rightarrow$ negative growth

When fertility falls to very low levels and stays there for a protracted period, a slow rate of population growth can turn into a negative one, resulting in a population decrease. Many countries in Europe and some in Asia now have TFRs well below two children per woman. The TFRs of the Republic of Korea, Ukraine, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Slovenia, Republic of Moldova, Bulgaria, and Belarus - all about 1.2 - are among the world's lowest, and those of several other countries were not far behind. The TFRs of Macao and Hong Kong were even less than 1 child per woman on average. Many of the factors that lowered fertility in the first place - greater involvement of women in the workplace, rising cost of living, and preferences in how people want to spend their time - appear to be keeping fertility rates very low.

While the theory of demographic transition describes the population history of western Europe quite well, for many reasons developing countries do not always exhibit the same patterns of change. In some cases early contact with outside societies resulted in local epidemics, as groups succumbed to diseases against which they had no natural immunity, resulting in increased death rates. When health conditions improved
as a result of the application of new and efficient disease control technologies, death rates declined, while birth rates sometimes increased. This combination of factors produced population growth rates in today's developing countries that are much higher than ever experienced in pre-industrial western Europe.


Figure 3-2 A SIMPLIFIED DIAGRAM OF THE EUROPEAN DEMOGRAPHIC TRANSITION
־Soürce: Ánsley J. Coale, 1974, p. 49.

Sources: 2004. Population Handbook, Population Reference Bureau, Inc, Washington D.C., 5th Edition; 1999. Papua New Guinea National Population Policy 2000-2010, Department of Planning

Appendix 11: Divisions and districts in Tonga

| Divisions | TONGATAPU | VAVA'U | HA'APAI | 'EUA | ONGO NIUA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Districts | Kolofo'ou <br> Kolomotu'a <br> Vaini <br> Tatakamotonga <br> Lapaha <br> Nukunuku <br> Kolovai | Neiafu <br> Pangaimotu <br> Hahake <br> Leimatu'a <br> Hihifo <br> Motu | Pangai Hp <br> Foa <br> Lulunga <br> Mu'omu'a <br> Ha'ano <br> Uiha | 'Eua Motu'a <br> 'Eua Fo'ou | Niuatoputapu <br> Niuafo'ou |

## TONGA

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


| TONGA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 6,987 | 6,492 | 13,479 | 0-4 | 7,186 | 6,613 | 13,799 |
| 5-9 | 6,539 | 5,719 | 12,258 | 5-9 | 6,756 | 6,063 | 12,820 |
| 10-14 | 6,482 | 6,039 | 12,521 | 10-14 | 6,423 | 5,912 | 12,335 |
| 15-19 | 5,609 | 5,286 | 10,895 | 15-19 | 5,392 | 4,900 | 10,292 |
| 20-24 | 4,445 | 4,277 | 8,722 | 20-24 | 4,656 | 4,546 | 9,202 |
| 25-29 | 3,972 | 3,785 | 7,757 | 25-29 | 3,645 | 3,668 | 7,313 |
| 30-34 | 3,009 | 2,909 | 5,918 | 30-34 | 3,151 | 3,193 | 6,345 |
| 35-39 | 2,244 | 2,442 | 4,686 | 35-39 | 3,117 | 3,119 | 6,236 |
| 40-44 | 1,933 | 2,189 | 4,122 | 40-44 | 2,582 | 2,438 | 5,020 |
| 45-49 | 1,606 | 1,892 | 3,498 | 45-49 | 1,926 | 2,060 | 3,987 |
| 50-54 | 1,548 | 1,762 | 3,310 | 50-54 | 1,637 | 1,832 | 3,469 |
| 55-59 | 1,500 | 1,508 | 3,008 | 55-59 | 1,361 | 1,491 | 2,852 |
| 60-64 | 1,289 | 1,273 | 2,562 | 60-64 | 1,171 | 1,302 | 2,473 |
| 65-69 | 995 | 976 | 1,971 | 65-69 | 1,094 | 1,083 | 2,177 |
| 70-74 | 691 | 679 | 1,370 | 70-74 | 778 | 810 | 1,588 |
| 75+ | 766 | 941 | 1,707 | 75+ | 896 | 1,189 | 2,084 |
| Total | 49,615 | 48,169 | 97,784 | Total | 51,772 | 50,219 | 101,991 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 20,008 | 18,250 | 38,258 | 0-14 | 20,365 | 18,588 | 38,953 |
| 15-24 | 10,054 | 9,563 | 19,617 | 15-24 | 10,048 | 9,447 | 19,494 |
| 25-59 | 15,812 | 16,487 | 32,299 | 25-59 | 17,420 | 17,801 | 35,222 |
| 25-64 | 17,101 | 17,760 | 34,861 | 25-64 | 18,591 | 19,103 | 37,695 |
| 60+ | 3,741 | 3,869 | 7,610 | $60+$ | 3,939 | 4,383 | 8,322 |
| 65+ | 2,452 | 2,596 | 5,048 | 65+ | 2,768 | 3,081 | 5,849 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 40 | 38 | 39 | 0-14 | 39 | 37 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15-24 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 25-59 | 32 | 34 | 33 | 25-59 | 34 | 35 | 35 |
| 25-64 | 34 | 37 | 36 | 25-64 | 36 | 38 | 37 |
| 60+ | 8 | 8 | 8 | 60+ | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| 65+ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 65+ | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 88 | 15-59 |  |  | 86 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 79 | 15-64 |  |  | 78 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 103 |  |  |  | 103 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.3 | 20.6 | 19.9 | Total | 20.1 | 21.8 | 21.0 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 2,157 | 2,050 | 4,207 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 216 | 205 | 421 |
| Percenta | rence \% |  |  |  | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Average | growth r |  |  |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
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## TONGATAPU

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006 1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


| TONGATAPU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 4,787 | 4,448 | 9,235 | 0-4 | 5,042 | 4,753 | 9,795 |
| 5-9 | 4,317 | 3,809 | 8,126 | 5-9 | 4,647 | 4,211 | 8,858 |
| 10-14 | 4,350 | 4,138 | 8,488 | 10-14 | 4,426 | 4,107 | 8,534 |
| 15-19 | 3,989 | 3,757 | 7,746 | 15-19 | 3,777 | 3,446 | 7,223 |
| 20-24 | 3,234 | 3,189 | 6,423 | 20-24 | 3,543 | 3,465 | 7,008 |
| 25-29 | 2,808 | 2,686 | 5,494 | 25-29 | 2,725 | 2,765 | 5,490 |
| 30-34 | 2,101 | 2,008 | 4,109 | 30-34 | 2,303 | 2,297 | 4,601 |
| 35-39 | 1,507 | 1,687 | 3,194 | 35-39 | 2,182 | 2,230 | 4,412 |
| 40-44 | 1,290 | 1,500 | 2,790 | 40-44 | 1,818 | 1,696 | 3,514 |
| 45-49 | 1,073 | 1,260 | 2,333 | 45-49 | 1,316 | 1,430 | 2,746 |
| 50-54 | 955 | 1,203 | 2,158 | 50-54 | 1,112 | 1,265 | 2,377 |
| 55-59 | 982 | 1,000 | 1,982 | 55-59 | 905 | 987 | 1,891 |
| 60-64 | 822 | 868 | 1,690 | 60-64 | 768 | 914 | 1,682 |
| 65-69 | 634 | 639 | 1,273 | 65-69 | 713 | 740 | 1,454 |
| 70-74 | 436 | 439 | 875 | 70-74 | 500 | 564 | 1,064 |
| 75+ | 468 | 595 | 1,063 | 75+ | 593 | 803 | 1,397 |
| Total | 33,753 | 33,226 | 66,979 | Total | 36,372 | 35,673 | 72,045 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 13,454 | 12,395 | 25,849 | 0-14 | 14,115 | 13,071 | 27,187 |
| 15-24 | 7,223 | 6,946 | 14,169 | 15-24 | 7,320 | 6,911 | 14,231 |
| 25-59 | 10,716 | 11,344 | 22,060 | 25-59 | 12,362 | 12,669 | 25,031 |
| 25-64 | 11,538 | 12,212 | 23,750 | 25-64 | 13,131 | 13,583 | 26,713 |
| 60+ | 2,360 | 2,541 | 4,901 | 60+ | 2,575 | 3,022 | 5,597 |
| 65+ | 1,538 | 1,673 | 3,211 | 65+ | 1,806 | 2,108 | 3,915 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 40 | 37 | 39 | 0-14 | 39 | 37 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 15-24 | 20 | 19 | 20 |
| 25-59 | 32 | 34 | 33 | 25-59 | 34 | 36 | 35 |
| 25-64 | 34 | 37 | 35 | 25-64 | 36 | 38 | 37 |
| 60+ | 7 | 8 | 7 | $60+$ | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 65+ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 65+ | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 85 | 15-59 |  |  | 83 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 77 | 15-64 |  |  | 76 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 102 |  |  |  | 102 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.3 | 20.7 | 19.9 | Total | 20.4 | 21.9 | 21.2 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2,619 | 2,447 | 5,066 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 262 | 245 | 507 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.6 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
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## VAVA'U

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


| VAVA'U |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 1,112 | 1,067 | 2,179 | 0-4 | 1,144 | 964 | 2,108 |
| 5-9 | 1,103 | 942 | 2,045 | 5-9 | 1,095 | 959 | 2,054 |
| 10-14 | 1,134 | 997 | 2,131 | 10-14 | 1,028 | 971 | 1,999 |
| 15-19 | 855 | 788 | 1,643 | 15-19 | 794 | 766 | 1,559 |
| 20-24 | 605 | 544 | 1,149 | 20-24 | 550 | 569 | 1,119 |
| 25-29 | 585 | 553 | 1,138 | 25-29 | 450 | 446 | 897 |
| 30-34 | 469 | 482 | 951 | 30-34 | 446 | 470 | 917 |
| 35-39 | 357 | 375 | 732 | 35-39 | 483 | 466 | 950 |
| 40-44 | 325 | 361 | 686 | 40-44 | 389 | 390 | 780 |
| 45-49 | 280 | 320 | 600 | 45-49 | 310 | 317 | 627 |
| 50-54 | 299 | 281 | 580 | 50-54 | 273 | 298 | 571 |
| 55-59 | 233 | 258 | 491 | 55-59 | 239 | 259 | 498 |
| 60-64 | 231 | 214 | 445 | 60-64 | 194 | 203 | 397 |
| 65-69 | 181 | 169 | 350 | 65-69 | 179 | 176 | 355 |
| 70-74 | 149 | 124 | 273 | 70-74 | 158 | 142 | 300 |
| 75+ | 137 | 185 | 322 | 75+ | 164 | 210 | 374 |
| Total | 8,055 | 7,660 | 15,715 | Total | 7,897 | 7,608 | 15,505 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 3,349 | 3,006 | 6,355 | 0-14 | 3,266 | 2,894 | 6,160 |
| 15-24 | $1,460$ | 1,332 | 2,792 | 15-24 | 1,344 | 1,334 | 2,678 |
| 25-59 | 2,548 | 2,630 | 5,178 | 25-59 | 2,592 | 2,648 | 5,240 |
| 25-64 | 2,779 | 2,844 | 5,623 | 25-64 | 2,786 | 2,852 | 5,637 |
| 60+ | 698 | 692 | 1,390 | $60+$ | 695 | 732 | 1,427 |
| 65+ | 467 | 478 | 945 | 65+ | 501 | 528 | 1,030 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 42 | 39 | 40 | 0-14 | 41 | 38 | 40 |
| 15-24 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 15-24 | 17 | 18 | 17 |
| 25-59 | 32 | 34 | 33 | 25-59 | 33 | 35 | 34 |
| 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 |
| 60+ | 9 | 9 | 9 | $60+$ | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| 65+ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 65+ | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 97 | 15-59 |  |  | 96 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 87 | 15-64 |  |  | 86 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 105 |  |  |  | 104 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.0 | 20.3 | 19.6 | Total | 19.3 | 21.3 | 20.2 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | -158 | -52 | -210 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | -16 | -5 | -21 |
| Percenta | ence \% |  |  |  | -2.0 | -0.7 | -1.3 |
| Average | rowth r |  |  |  | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 |
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## HA'APAI

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


| HA'APAI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 584 | 559 | 1,143 | 0-4 | 517 | 442 | 959 |
| 5-9 | 621 | 515 | 1,136 | 5-9 | 519 | 459 | 978 |
| 10-14 | 538 | 470 | 1,008 | 10-14 | 492 | 445 | 937 |
| 15-19 | 352 | 390 | 742 | 15-19 | 466 | 337 | 803 |
| 20-24 | 304 | 303 | 607 | 20-24 | 307 | 285 | 592 |
| 25-29 | 289 | 280 | 569 | 25-29 | 248 | 242 | 490 |
| 30-34 | 218 | 227 | 445 | 30-34 | 219 | 243 | 463 |
| 35-39 | 203 | 205 | 408 | 35-39 | 228 | 203 | 432 |
| 40-44 | 170 | 183 | 353 | 40-44 | 179 | 184 | 364 |
| 45-49 | 126 | 181 | 307 | 45-49 | 148 | 163 | 312 |
| 50-54 | 154 | 151 | 305 | 50-54 | 131 | 145 | 277 |
| 55-59 | 161 | 150 | 311 | 55-59 | 97 | 139 | 236 |
| 60-64 | 131 | 123 | 254 | 60-64 | 109 | 105 | 214 |
| 65-69 | 99 | 107 | 206 | 65-69 | 102 | 101 | 203 |
| 70-74 | 56 | 75 | 131 | 70-74 | 76 | 58 | 134 |
| 75+ | 103 | 110 | 213 | 75+ | 71 | 106 | 177 |
| Total | 4,109 | 4,029 | 8,138 | Total | 3,911 | 3,659 | 7,570 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 1,743 | 1,544 | 3,287 | 0-14 | 1,528 | 1,345 | 2,873 |
| 15-24 | $656$ | 693 | 1,349 | 15-24 | 773 | 622 | 1,395 |
| 25-59 | 1,321 | 1,377 | 2,698 | 25-59 | 1,252 | 1,321 | 2,573 |
| 25-64 | 1,452 | 1,500 | 2,952 | 25-64 | 1,361 | 1,426 | 2,787 |
| 60+ | 389 | 415 | 804 | 60+ | 359 | 371 | 729 |
| 65+ | 258 | 292 | 550 | 65+ | 250 | 265 | 515 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 42 | 38 | 40 | 0-14 | 39 | 37 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 15-24 | 20 | 17 | 18 |
| 25-59 | 32 | 34 | 33 | 25-59 | 32 | 36 | 34 |
| 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 25-64 | 35 | 39 | 37 |
| $60+$ | 9 | 10 | 10 | 60+ | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| 65+ | 6 | 7 | 7 | 65+ | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 101 | 15-59 |  |  | 91 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 89 | 15-64 |  |  | 81 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 102 |  |  |  | 107 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.4 | 21.3 | 20.3 | Total | 19.6 | 22.6 | 20.9 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | -198 | -370 | -568 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | -20 | -37 | -57 |
| Percenta | ence \% |  |  |  | -4.8 | -9.2 | -7.0 |
| Average | rowth r |  |  |  | -0.5 | -1.0 | -0.7 |

[^18]'EUA
Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


| ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{E U A}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 345 | 300 | 645 | 0-4 | 395 | 363 | 758 |
| 5-9 | 335 | 307 | 642 | 5-9 | 381 | 333 | 714 |
| 10-14 | 322 | 304 | 626 | 10-14 | 333 | 288 | 622 |
| 15-19 | 311 | 255 | 566 | 15-19 | 276 | 270 | 547 |
| 20-24 | 248 | 169 | 417 | 20-24 | 200 | 179 | 379 |
| 25-29 | 224 | 203 | 427 | 25-29 | 168 | 163 | 331 |
| 30-34 | 156 | 142 | 298 | 30-34 | 142 | 135 | 277 |
| 35-39 | 124 | 129 | 253 | 35-39 | 170 | 173 | 343 |
| 40-44 | 102 | 98 | 200 | 40-44 | 138 | 127 | 265 |
| 45-49 | 83 | 92 | 175 | 45-49 | 110 | 116 | 226 |
| 50-54 | 94 | 89 | 183 | 50-54 | 91 | 88 | 179 |
| 55-59 | 81 | 67 | 148 | 55-59 | 85 | 85 | 170 |
| 60-64 | 65 | 48 | 113 | 60-64 | 65 | 57 | 122 |
| 65-69 | 59 | 41 | 100 | 65-69 | 66 | 42 | 108 |
| 70-74 | 38 | 37 | 75 | 70-74 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| 75+ | 37 | 29 | 66 | 75+ | 50 | 54 | 104 |
| Total | 2,624 | 2,310 | 4,934 | Total | 2,702 | 2,504 | 5,206 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 1,002 | 911 | 1,913 | 0-14 | 1,109 | 984 | 2,093 |
| 15-24 | 559 | 424 | 983 | 15-24 | 477 | 449 | 926 |
| 25-59 | 864 | 820 | 1,684 | 25-59 | 905 | 887 | 1,793 |
| 25-64 | 929 | 868 | 1,797 | 25-64 | 970 | 944 | 1,915 |
| 60+ | 199 | 155 | 354 | 60+ | 211 | 183 | 394 |
| 65+ | 134 | 107 | 241 | 65+ | 146 | 126 | 272 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 0-14 | 41 | 39 | 40 |
| 15-24 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 15-24 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 25-59 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 25-59 | 34 | 35 | 34 |
| 25-64 | 35 | 38 | 36 | 25-64 | 36 | 38 | 37 |
| $60+$ | 8 | 7 | 7 | $60+$ | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| 65+ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 65+ | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 85 | 15-59 |  |  | 91 |
| $15-64$ |  |  | $77$ | 15-64 |  |  | 83 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 114 |  |  |  | 108 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20.0 | 19.8 | 19.9 | Total | 19.4 | 20.0 | 19.7 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 78 | 194 | 272 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 8 | 19 | 27 |
| Percenta | ence \% |  |  |  | 3.0 | 8.4 | 5.5 |
| Average | growth r |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
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## ONGO NIUA

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area), 2006 (outlined)


| ONGO NIUA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 159 | 118 | 277 | 0-4 | 88 | 91 | 179 |
| 5-9 | 163 | 146 | 309 | 5-9 | 115 | 101 | 216 |
| 10-14 | 138 | 130 | 268 | 10-14 | 143 | 101 | 244 |
| 15-19 | 102 | 96 | 198 | 15-19 | 79 | 82 | 161 |
| 20-24 | 54 | 72 | 126 | 20-24 | 56 | 48 | 104 |
| 25-29 | 66 | 63 | 129 | 25-29 | 54 | 51 | 105 |
| 30-34 | 65 | 50 | 115 | 30-34 | 40 | 47 | 87 |
| 35-39 | 53 | 46 | 99 | 35-39 | 53 | 46 | 99 |
| 40-44 | 46 | 47 | 93 | 40-44 | 57 | 40 | 97 |
| 45-49 | 44 | 39 | 83 | 45-49 | 41 | 34 | 75 |
| 50-54 | 46 | 38 | 84 | 50-54 | 29 | 36 | 65 |
| 55-59 | 43 | 33 | 76 | 55-59 | 35 | 21 | 56 |
| 60-64 | 40 | 20 | 60 | 60-64 | 34 | 23 | 57 |
| 65-69 | 22 | 20 | 42 | 65-69 | 33 | 23 | 56 |
| 70-74 | 12 | 4 | 16 | 70-74 | 14 | 15 | 29 |
| 75+ | 21 | 22 | 43 | 75+ | 17 | 15 | 32 |
| Total | 1,074 | 944 | 2,018 | Total | 890 | 775 | 1,665 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 460 | 394 | 854 | 0-14 | 347 | 293 | 640 |
| 15-24 | 156 | 168 | 324 | 15-24 | 135 | 130 | 265 |
| 25-59 | 363 | 316 | 679 | 25-59 | 310 | 275 | 585 |
| 25-64 | 403 | 336 | 739 | 25-64 | 344 | 298 | 642 |
| 60+ | 95 | 66 | 161 | $60+$ | 98 | 76 | 174 |
| 65+ | 55 | 46 | 101 | 65+ | 64 | 53 | 117 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 0-14 | 39 | 38 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 15-24 | 15 | 17 | 16 |
| 25-59 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 25-59 | 35 | 36 | 35 |
| 25-64 | 38 | 36 | 37 | 25-64 | 39 | 39 | 39 |
| $60+$ | $9$ | 7 | 8 | $60+$ | 11 | $10$ | 10 |
| 65+ | 5 | 5 | 5 | $65+$ | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 101 | 15-59 |  |  | 96 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 90 | 15-64 |  |  | 83 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 114 |  |  |  | 115 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.8 | 19.1 | 18.9 | Total | 21.7 | 21.3 | 21.5 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | -184 | -169 | -353 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | -18 | -17 | -35 |
| Percenta | ence \% |  |  |  | -17.1 | -17.9 | -17.5 |
| Average | rowth r |  |  |  | -1.9 | -2.0 | -1.9 |

[^20]
## KOLOFO'OU

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| KOLOFO'OU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 1,183 | 1,095 | 2,278 | 0-4 | 1,214 | 1,201 | 2,415 |
| 5-9 | 1,042 | 989 | 2,031 | 5-9 | 1,140 | 1,031 | 2,171 |
| 10-14 | 1,065 | 995 | 2,060 | 10-14 | 1,046 | 1,022 | 2,068 |
| 15-19 | 978 | 950 | 1,928 | 15-19 | 924 | 919 | 1,843 |
| 20-24 | 852 | 837 | 1,689 | 20-24 | 999 | 924 | 1,923 |
| 25-29 | 697 | 691 | 1,388 | 25-29 | 727 | 736 | 1,463 |
| 30-34 | 548 | 538 | 1,086 | 30-34 | 640 | 618 | 1,259 |
| 35-39 | 413 | 460 | 873 | 35-39 | 557 | 571 | 1,129 |
| 40-44 | 365 | 381 | 746 | 40-44 | 517 | 460 | 978 |
| 45-49 | 291 | 316 | 607 | 45-49 | 358 | 396 | 754 |
| 50-54 | 248 | 313 | 561 | 50-54 | 313 | 324 | 637 |
| 55-59 | 243 | 254 | 497 | 55-59 | 243 | 227 | 470 |
| 60-64 | 192 | 207 | 399 | 60-64 | 196 | 231 | 427 |
| 65-69 | 145 | 184 | 329 | 65-69 | 159 | 198 | 357 |
| 70-74 | 100 | 106 | 206 | 70-74 | 115 | 125 | 240 |
| 75+ | 120 | 155 | 275 | 75+ | 124 | 204 | 328 |
| Total | 8,482 | 8,471 | 16,953 | Total | 9,273 | 9,190 | 18,463 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 3,290 | 3,079 | 6,369 | 0-14 | 3,400 | 3,255 | 6,655 |
| 15-24 | 1,830 | 1,787 | 3,617 | 15-24 | 1,922 | 1,844 | 3,766 |
| 25-59 | 2,805 | 2,953 | 5,758 | 25-59 | 3,357 | 3,333 | 6,690 |
| 25-64 | 2,997 | 3,160 | 6,157 | 25-64 | 3,553 | 3,564 | 7,117 |
| 60+ | 557 | 652 | 1,209 | $60+$ | 594 | 758 | 1,353 |
| 65+ | 365 | 445 | 810 | 65+ | 398 | 527 | 925 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 39 | 36 | 38 | 0-14 | 37 | 35 | 36 |
| 15-24 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 15-24 | 21 | 20 | 20 |
| 25-59 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 25-59 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 25-64 | 38 | 39 | 39 |
| $60+$ | 7 | 8 | 7 | $60+$ | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| 65+ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 65+ | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 81 | 15-59 |  |  | 77 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 73 | 15-64 |  |  | 70 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  | 101 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.9 | 21.2 | 20.5 | Total | 21.6 | 22.3 | 21.9 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | 791 | 719 | 1,510 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 79 | 72 | 151 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 9.3 | 8.5 | 8.9 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 |

## KOLOMOTU'A

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| KOLOMOTU'A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 979 | 893 | 1,872 | 0-4 | 1,062 | 992 | 2,054 |
| 5-9 | 914 | 845 | 1,759 | 5-9 | 973 | 908 | 1,881 |
| 10-14 | 912 | 854 | 1,766 | 10-14 | 983 | 883 | 1,866 |
| 15-19 | 811 | 840 | 1,651 | 15-19 | 797 | 797 | 1,594 |
| 20-24 | 717 | 698 | 1,415 | 20-24 | 778 | 785 | 1,563 |
| 25-29 | 639 | 579 | 1,218 | 25-29 | 610 | 669 | 1,279 |
| 30-34 | 489 | 456 | 945 | 30-34 | 498 | 491 | 989 |
| 35-39 | 339 | 414 | 753 | 35-39 | 487 | 499 | 986 |
| 40-44 | 310 | 351 | 661 | 40-44 | 382 | 386 | 768 |
| 45-49 | 255 | 284 | 539 | 45-49 | 307 | 340 | 647 |
| 50-54 | 200 | 260 | 460 | 50-54 | 247 | 295 | 542 |
| 55-59 | 186 | 216 | 402 | 55-59 | 218 | 239 | 457 |
| 60-64 | 174 | 187 | 361 | 60-64 | 172 | 197 | 370 |
| 65-69 | 133 | 131 | 264 | 65-69 | 140 | 155 | 296 |
| 70-74 | 89 | 92 | 181 | 70-74 | 99 | 143 | 242 |
| 75+ | 83 | 121 | 204 | 75+ | 141 | 173 | 315 |
| Total | 7,230 | 7,221 | 14,451 | Total | 7,894 | 7,954 | 15,848 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 2,805 | 2,592 | 5,397 | 0-14 | 3,017 | 2,784 | 5,801 |
| 15-24 | 1,528 | 1,538 | 3,066 | 15-24 | 1,575 | 1,582 | 3,157 |
| 25-59 | 2,418 | 2,560 | 4,978 | 25-59 | 2,748 | 2,919 | 5,667 |
| 25-64 | 2,592 | 2,747 | 5,339 | 25-64 | 2,921 | 3,116 | 6,037 |
| 60+ | 479 | 531 | 1,010 | $60+$ | 553 | 669 | 1,222 |
| 65+ | 305 | 344 | 649 | 65+ | 381 | 472 | 853 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 39 | 36 | 37 | 0-14 | 38 | 35 | 37 |
| 15-24 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 15-24 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 25-59 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 25-59 | 35 | 37 | 36 |
| 25-64 | 36 | 38 | 37 | 25-64 | 37 | 39 | 38 |
| $60+$ | 7 | 7 | 7 | $60+$ | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 65+ | 4 | 5 | 4 | 65+ | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 80 | 15-59 |  |  | 80 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 72 | 15-64 |  |  | 72 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  | 99 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20.0 | 21.3 | 20.6 | Total | 20.9 | 22.5 | 21.7 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | 664 | 733 | 1,397 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 66 | 73 | 140 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 9.2 | 10.2 | 9.7 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |

## VAINI

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| VAINI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 844 | 764 | 1,608 | 0-4 | 922 | 824 | 1,746 |
| 5-9 | 749 | 582 | 1,331 | 5-9 | 872 | 792 | 1,664 |
| 10-14 | 737 | 731 | 1,468 | 10-14 | 815 | 757 | 1,572 |
| 15-19 | 737 | 681 | 1,418 | 15-19 | 696 | 572 | 1,268 |
| 20-24 | 540 | 518 | 1,058 | 20-24 | 589 | 585 | 1,175 |
| 25-29 | 456 | 438 | 894 | 25-29 | 448 | 449 | 897 |
| 30-34 | 308 | 315 | 623 | 30-34 | 394 | 426 | 820 |
| 35-39 | 243 | 258 | 501 | 35-39 | 374 | 395 | 769 |
| 40-44 | 200 | 231 | 431 | 40-44 | 283 | 267 | 550 |
| 45-49 | 160 | 216 | 376 | 45-49 | 235 | 222 | 457 |
| 50-54 | 163 | 202 | 365 | 50-54 | 198 | 200 | 398 |
| 55-59 | 156 | 172 | 328 | 55-59 | 129 | 181 | 310 |
| 60-64 | 125 | 134 | 259 | 60-64 | 118 | 153 | 271 |
| 65-69 | 102 | 103 | 205 | 65-69 | 123 | 131 | 254 |
| 70-74 | 86 | 65 | 151 | 70-74 | 83 | 97 | 180 |
| 75+ | 75 | 89 | 164 | 75+ | 117 | 145 | 262 |
| Total | 5,681 | 5,499 | 11,180 | Total | 6,397 | 6,197 | 12,594 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 2,330 | 2,077 | 4,407 | 0-14 | 2,608 | 2,373 | 4,981 |
| 15-24 | 1,277 | 1,199 | 2,476 | 15-24 | 1,285 | 1,157 | 2,442 |
| 25-59 | 1,686 | 1,832 | 3,518 | 25-59 | 2,063 | 2,140 | 4,203 |
| 25-64 | 1,811 | 1,966 | 3,777 | 25-64 | 2,181 | 2,293 | 4,474 |
| 60+ | 388 | 391 | 779 | $60+$ | 441 | 526 | 967 |
| 65+ | 263 | 257 | 520 | 65+ | 323 | 373 | 696 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 41 | 38 | 39 | 0-14 | 41 | 38 | 40 |
| 15-24 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 15-24 | 20 | 19 | 19 |
| 25-59 | 30 | 33 | 31 | 25-59 | 32 | 35 | 33 |
| 25-64 | 32 | 36 | 34 | 25-64 | 34 | 37 | 36 |
| $60+$ | 7 | 7 | 7 | $60+$ | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 65+ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 65+ | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 87 | 15-59 |  |  | 90 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 79 | 15-64 |  |  | 82 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 103 |  |  |  | 103 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.5 | 19.9 | 19.2 | Total | 19.2 | 21.3 | 20.2 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 716 | 698 | 1,414 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 72 | 70 | 141 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.6 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

## TATAKAMOTONGA

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| TATAKAMOTONGA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 537 | 448 | 985 | 0-4 | 490 | 473 | 963 |
| 5-9 | 433 | 396 | 829 | 5-9 | 472 | 409 | 881 |
| 10-14 | 446 | 445 | 891 | 10-14 | 459 | 379 | 838 |
| 15-19 | 436 | 344 | 780 | 15-19 | 367 | 310 | 677 |
| 20-24 | 307 | 297 | 604 | 20-24 | 386 | 327 | 713 |
| 25-29 | 287 | 279 | 566 | 25-29 | 285 | 248 | 533 |
| 30-34 | 224 | 199 | 423 | 30-34 | 207 | 178 | 385 |
| 35-39 | 137 | 143 | 280 | 35-39 | 197 | 201 | 398 |
| 40-44 | 127 | 154 | 281 | 40-44 | 179 | 149 | 328 |
| 45-49 | 105 | 150 | 255 | 45-49 | 110 | 136 | 247 |
| 50-54 | 92 | 95 | 187 | 50-54 | 105 | 133 | 239 |
| 55-59 | 113 | 116 | 229 | 55-59 | 96 | 114 | 210 |
| 60-64 | 97 | 91 | 188 | 60-64 | 70 | 77 | 147 |
| 65-69 | 61 | 63 | 124 | 65-69 | 84 | 71 | 155 |
| 70-74 | 45 | 43 | 88 | 70-74 | 56 | 62 | 118 |
| 75+ | 55 | 63 | 118 | 75+ | 53 | 82 | 135 |
| Total | 3,502 | 3,326 | 6,828 | Total | 3,618 | 3,351 | 6,969 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 1,416 | 1,289 | 2,705 | 0-14 | 1,421 | 1,262 | 2,683 |
| 15-24 | 743 | 641 | 1,384 | 15-24 | 752 | 637 | 1,390 |
| 25-59 | 1,085 | 1,136 | 2,221 | 25-59 | 1,180 | 1,160 | 2,340 |
| 25-64 | 1,182 | 1,227 | 2,409 | 25-64 | 1,251 | 1,237 | 2,487 |
| 60+ | 258 | 260 | 518 | 60+ | 264 | 292 | 556 |
| 65+ | 161 | 169 | 330 | 65+ | 194 | 215 | 409 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 40 | 39 | 40 | 0-14 | 39 | 38 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 15-24 | 21 | 19 | 20 |
| 25-59 | 31 | 34 | 33 | 25-59 | 33 | 35 | 34 |
| 25-64 | 34 | 37 | 35 | 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 |
| $60+$ | 7 | 8 | 8 | $60+$ | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| $65+$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 65+ | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 89 | 15-59 |  |  | 87 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 80 | 15-64 |  |  | 80 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 105 |  |  |  | 108 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.8 | 20.5 | 19.5 | Total | 20.3 | 21.6 | 20.9 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 116 | 25 | 141 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 3.3 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

## LAPAHA

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| LAPAHA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 570 | 537 | 1,107 | 0-4 | 532 | 519 | 1,051 |
| 5-9 | 523 | 434 | 957 | 5-9 | 506 | 449 | 955 |
| 10-14 | 528 | 505 | 1,033 | 10-14 | 472 | 444 | 916 |
| 15-19 | 406 | 386 | 792 | 15-19 | 396 | 343 | 739 |
| 20-24 | 320 | 341 | 661 | 20-24 | 330 | 325 | 655 |
| 25-29 | 280 | 289 | 569 | 25-29 | 252 | 254 | 506 |
| 30-34 | 209 | 217 | 426 | 30-34 | 221 | 217 | 438 |
| 35-39 | 165 | 171 | 336 | 35-39 | 203 | 230 | 433 |
| 40-44 | 125 | 150 | 275 | 40-44 | 178 | 177 | 355 |
| 45-49 | 94 | 123 | 217 | 45-49 | 131 | 125 | 256 |
| 50-54 | 93 | 133 | 226 | 50-54 | 98 | 118 | 216 |
| 55-59 | 108 | 104 | 212 | 55-59 | 84 | 82 | 166 |
| 60-64 | 100 | 106 | 206 | 60-64 | 70 | 88 | 158 |
| 65-69 | 82 | 62 | 144 | 65-69 | 71 | 74 | 145 |
| 70-74 | 48 | 59 | 107 | 70-74 | 63 | 54 | 117 |
| 75+ | 45 | 57 | 102 | 75+ | 68 | 79 | 147 |
| Total | 3,696 | 3,674 | 7,370 | Total | 3,676 | 3,579 | 7,255 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 1,621 | 1,476 | 3,097 | 0-14 | 1,510 | 1,412 | 2,923 |
| 15-24 | 726 | 727 | 1,453 | 15-24 | 726 | 668 | 1,394 |
| 25-59 | 1,074 | 1,187 | 2,261 | 25-59 | 1,167 | 1,203 | 2,371 |
| 25-64 | 1,174 | 1,293 | 2,467 | 25-64 | 1,237 | 1,291 | 2,529 |
| 60+ | 275 | 284 | 559 | $60+$ | 272 | 295 | 567 |
| 65+ | 175 | 178 | 353 | $65+$ | 202 | 207 | 409 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 44 | 40 | 42 | 0-14 | 41 | 39 | 40 |
| 15-24 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15-24 | 20 | 19 | 19 |
| 25-59 | 29 | 32 | 31 | 25-59 | 32 | 34 | 33 |
| 25-64 | 32 | 35 | 33 | 25-64 | 34 | 36 | 35 |
| 60+ | 7 | 8 | 8 | 60+ | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 65+ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 65+ | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 98 | 15-59 |  |  | 93 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 88 | 15-64 |  |  | 85 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 101 |  |  |  | 103 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 17.8 | 19.7 | 18.7 | Total | 19.1 | 20.5 | 19.8 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | -20 | -95 | -115 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | -2 | -10 | -12 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | -0.5 | -2.6 | -1.6 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.2 |

## NUKUNUKU

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| NUKUNUKU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 397 | 437 | 834 | 0-4 | 512 | 469 | 981 |
| 5-9 | 430 | 351 | 781 | 5-9 | 444 | 396 | 840 |
| 10-14 | 405 | 360 | 765 | 10-14 | 422 | 392 | 814 |
| 15-19 | 373 | 329 | 702 | 15-19 | 401 | 328 | 729 |
| 20-24 | 296 | 296 | 592 | 20-24 | 283 | 325 | 608 |
| 25-29 | 257 | 254 | 511 | 25-29 | 248 | 249 | 497 |
| 30-34 | 203 | 183 | 386 | 30-34 | 199 | 216 | 415 |
| 35-39 | 138 | 141 | 279 | 35-39 | 216 | 201 | 417 |
| 40-44 | 97 | 140 | 237 | 40-44 | 174 | 180 | 354 |
| 45-49 | 95 | 105 | 200 | 45-49 | 113 | 120 | 234 |
| 50-54 | 94 | 124 | 218 | 50-54 | 91 | 117 | 208 |
| 55-59 | 108 | 75 | 183 | 55-59 | 80 | 83 | 163 |
| 60-64 | 82 | 83 | 165 | 60-64 | 89 | 87 | 176 |
| 65-69 | 63 | 51 | 114 | 65-69 | 77 | 69 | 146 |
| 70-74 | 35 | 40 | 75 | 70-74 | 56 | 52 | 108 |
| 75+ | 55 | 63 | 118 | 75+ | 56 | 72 | 128 |
| Total | 3,128 | 3,032 | 6,160 | Total | 3,462 | 3,358 | 6,820 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 1,232 | 1,148 | 2,380 | 0-14 | 1,378 | 1,258 | 2,635 |
| 15-24 | 669 | 625 | 1,294 | 15-24 | 684 | 653 | 1,337 |
| 25-59 | 992 | 1,022 | 2,014 | 25-59 | 1,122 | 1,167 | 2,288 |
| 25-64 | 1,074 | 1,105 | 2,179 | 25-64 | 1,211 | 1,254 | 2,465 |
| 60+ | 235 | 237 | 472 | $60+$ | 279 | 280 | 559 |
| 65+ | 153 | 154 | 307 | 65+ | 190 | 193 | 383 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 39 | 38 | 39 | 0-14 | 40 | 37 | 39 |
| 15-24 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 15-24 | 20 | 19 | 20 |
| 25-59 | 32 | 34 | 33 | 25-59 | 32 | 35 | 34 |
| 25-64 | 34 | 36 | 35 | 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 |
| 60+ | 8 | 8 | 8 | $60+$ | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 65+ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 65+ | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 86 | 15-59 |  |  | 88 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 77 | 15-64 |  |  | 79 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 103 |  |  |  | 103 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.5 | 20.7 | 20.0 | Total | 19.4 | 21.4 | 20.4 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | 334 | 326 | 660 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 33 | 33 | 66 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 10.7 | 10.8 | 10.7 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

KOLOVAI

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| KOLOVAI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 277 | 274 | 551 | 0-4 | 311 | 273 | 584 |
| 5-9 | 226 | 212 | 438 | 5-9 | 240 | 225 | 465 |
| 10-14 | 257 | 248 | 505 | 10-14 | 230 | 229 | 459 |
| 15-19 | 248 | 227 | 475 | 15-19 | 197 | 176 | 373 |
| 20-24 | 202 | 202 | 404 | 20-24 | 177 | 193 | 370 |
| 25-29 | 192 | 156 | 348 | 25-29 | 155 | 159 | 314 |
| 30-34 | 120 | 100 | 220 | 30-34 | 143 | 151 | 294 |
| 35-39 | 72 | 100 | 172 | 35-39 | 147 | 133 | 280 |
| 40-44 | 66 | 93 | 159 | 40-44 | 105 | 77 | 182 |
| 45-49 | 73 | 66 | 139 | 45-49 | 61 | 90 | 151 |
| 50-54 | 65 | 76 | 141 | 50-54 | 59 | 77 | 136 |
| 55-59 | 68 | 63 | 131 | 55-59 | 54 | 60 | 114 |
| 60-64 | 52 | 60 | 112 | 60-64 | 52 | 80 | 132 |
| 65-69 | 48 | 45 | 93 | 65-69 | 58 | 42 | 100 |
| 70-74 | 33 | 34 | 67 | 70-74 | 27 | 31 | 58 |
| 75+ | 35 | 47 | 82 | 75+ | 33 | 48 | 81 |
| Total | 2,034 | 2,003 | 4,037 | Total | 2,052 | 2,044 | 4,096 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 760 | 734 | 1,494 | 0-14 | 782 | 727 | 1,509 |
| 15-24 | 450 | 429 | 879 | 15-24 | 375 | 369 | 744 |
| 25-59 | 656 | 654 | 1,310 | 25-59 | 725 | 747 | 1,472 |
| 25-64 | 708 | 714 | 1,422 | 25-64 | 778 | 827 | 1,605 |
| 60+ | 168 | 186 | 354 | $60+$ | 170 | 201 | 371 |
| $65+$ | 116 | 126 | 242 | 65+ | 118 | 121 | 239 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 0-14 | 38 | 36 | 37 |
| 15-24 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 15-24 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 25-59 | 32 | 33 | 32 | 25-59 | 35 | 37 | 36 |
| 25-64 | 35 | 36 | 35 | 25-64 | 38 | 40 | 39 |
| $60+$ | 8 | 9 | 9 | $60+$ | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| 65+ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 65+ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 84 | 15-59 |  |  | 85 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 75 | 15-64 |  |  | 74 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 102 |  |  |  | 100 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20.2 | 21.0 | 20.6 | Total | 21.3 | 23.1 | 22.2 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 41 | 59 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

NEIAFU

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| NEIAFU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 406 | 379 | 785 | 0-4 | 422 | 329 | 751 |
| 5-9 | 353 | 343 | 696 | 5-9 | 408 | 337 | 745 |
| 10-14 | 444 | 363 | 807 | 10-14 | 361 | 351 | 712 |
| 15-19 | 294 | 280 | 574 | 15-19 | 305 | 300 | 605 |
| 20-24 | 212 | 167 | 379 | 20-24 | 222 | 234 | 456 |
| 25-29 | 218 | 202 | 420 | 25-29 | 161 | 168 | 329 |
| 30-34 | 179 | 176 | 355 | 30-34 | 164 | 172 | 336 |
| 35-39 | 133 | 133 | 266 | 35-39 | 163 | 170 | 333 |
| 40-44 | 119 | 141 | 260 | 40-44 | 159 | 161 | 320 |
| 45-49 | 111 | 120 | 231 | 45-49 | 125 | 129 | 254 |
| 50-54 | 101 | 111 | 212 | 50-54 | 109 | 116 | 225 |
| 55-59 | 89 | 84 | 173 | 55-59 | 91 | 91 | 182 |
| 60-64 | 78 | 70 | 148 | 60-64 | 65 | 85 | 150 |
| 65-69 | 55 | 70 | 125 | 65-69 | 62 | 67 | 129 |
| 70-74 | 51 | 47 | 98 | 70-74 | 46 | 54 | 100 |
| 75+ | 53 | 68 | 121 | 75+ | 66 | 92 | 158 |
| Total | 2,896 | 2,754 | 5,650 | Total | 2,929 | 2,858 | 5,787 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 1,203 | 1,085 | 2,288 | 0-14 | 1,191 | 1,018 | 2,209 |
| 15-24 | 506 | 447 | 953 | 15-24 | 527 | 534 | 1,061 |
| 25-59 | 950 | 967 | 1,917 | 25-59 | 972 | 1,008 | 1,980 |
| 25-64 | 1,028 | 1,037 | 2,065 | 25-64 | 1,037 | 1,093 | 2,130 |
| 60+ | 237 | 255 | 492 | $60+$ | 239 | 298 | 537 |
| $65+$ | 159 | 185 | 344 | 65+ | 174 | 213 | 387 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 42 | 39 | 40 | 0-14 | 41 | 36 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 15-24 | 18 | 19 | 18 |
| 25-59 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 25-59 | 33 | 35 | 34 |
| 25-64 | 35 | 38 | 37 | 25-64 | 35 | 38 | 37 |
| $60+$ | 8 | 9 | 9 | $60+$ | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| 65+ | 5 | 7 | 6 | 65+ | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 97 | 15-59 |  |  | 90 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 87 | 15-64 |  |  | 81 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 105 |  |  |  | 102 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.2 | 20.4 | 19.7 | Total | 19.5 | 22.4 | 20.9 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 33 | 104 | 137 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 3 | 10 | 14 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 3.8 | 2.4 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 |

## PANGAIMOTU

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| PANGAIMOTU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 86 | 88 | 174 | 0-4 | 109 | 108 | 216 |
| 5-9 | 116 | 80 | 196 | 5-9 | 97 | 98 | 194 |
| 10-14 | 94 | 78 | 172 | 10-14 | 100 | 88 | 187 |
| 15-19 | 58 | 68 | 126 | 15-19 | 85 | 63 | 149 |
| 20-24 | 53 | 34 | 87 | 20-24 | 51 | 42 | 94 |
| 25-29 | 45 | 46 | 91 | 25-29 | 32 | 39 | 71 |
| 30-34 | 33 | 59 | 92 | 30-34 | 39 | 39 | 78 |
| 35-39 | 33 | 35 | 68 | 35-39 | 52 | 45 | 98 |
| 40-44 | 28 | 21 | 49 | 40-44 | 33 | 41 | 74 |
| 45-49 | 20 | 26 | 46 | 45-49 | 20 | 22 | 42 |
| 50-54 | 24 | 17 | 41 | 50-54 | 27 | 27 | 54 |
| 55-59 | 10 | 22 | 32 | 55-59 | 17 | 24 | 41 |
| 60-64 | 21 | 15 | 36 | 60-64 | 17 | 14 | 31 |
| 65-69 | 18 | 19 | 37 | 65-69 | 12 | 19 | 31 |
| 70-74 | 13 | 7 | 20 | 70-74 | 12 | 10 | 22 |
| 75+ | 15 | 16 | 31 | 75+ | 12 | 16 | 28 |
| Total | 667 | 631 | 1,298 | Total | 716 | 696 | 1,412 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 296 | 246 | 542 | 0-14 | 305 | 293 | 597 |
| 15-24 | 111 | 102 | 213 | 15-24 | 137 | 106 | 242 |
| 25-59 | 193 | 226 | 419 | 25-59 | 221 | 238 | 460 |
| 25-64 | 214 | 241 | 455 | 25-64 | 238 | 252 | 491 |
| 60+ | 67 | 57 | 124 | $60+$ | 53 | 59 | 113 |
| $65+$ | 46 | 42 | 88 | 65+ | 36 | 45 | 81 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 44 | 39 | 42 | 0-14 | 43 | 42 | 42 |
| 15-24 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15-24 | 19 | 15 | 17 |
| 25-59 | 29 | 36 | 32 | 25-59 | 31 | 34 | 33 |
| 25-64 | 32 | 38 | 35 | 25-64 | 33 | 36 | 35 |
| $60+$ | 10 | 9 | 10 | $60+$ | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| 65+ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 65+ | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 105 | 15-59 |  |  | 101 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 94 | 15-64 |  |  | 93 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 106 |  |  |  | 103 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.3 | 20.3 | 19.3 | Total | 18.1 | 19.4 | 18.7 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | 49 | 65 | 114 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 7.3 | 10.3 | 8.8 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 |

## HAHAKE

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| HAHAKE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 169 | 161 | 330 | 0-4 | 186 | 138 | 324 |
| 5-9 | 173 | 137 | 310 | 5-9 | 175 | 171 | 346 |
| 10-14 | 158 | 144 | 302 | 10-14 | 180 | 167 | 347 |
| 15-19 | 118 | 112 | 230 | 15-19 | 122 | 116 | 238 |
| 20-24 | 91 | 93 | 184 | 20-24 | 61 | 72 | 133 |
| 25-29 | 90 | 80 | 170 | 25-29 | 61 | 67 | 128 |
| 30-34 | 69 | 73 | 142 | 30-34 | 64 | 78 | 142 |
| 35-39 | 41 | 49 | 90 | 35-39 | 93 | 84 | 177 |
| 40-44 | 40 | 39 | 79 | 40-44 | 72 | 66 | 138 |
| 45-49 | 27 | 37 | 64 | 45-49 | 37 | 39 | 76 |
| 50-54 | 39 | 52 | 91 | 50-54 | 30 | 35 | 65 |
| 55-59 | 36 | 38 | 74 | 55-59 | 26 | 42 | 68 |
| 60-64 | 48 | 32 | 80 | 60-64 | 27 | 36 | 63 |
| 65-69 | 30 | 19 | 49 | 65-69 | 35 | 28 | 63 |
| 70-74 | 22 | 17 | 39 | 70-74 | 32 | 22 | 54 |
| 75+ | 26 | 31 | 57 | 75+ | 33 | 26 | 59 |
| Total | 1,177 | 1,114 | 2,291 | Total | 1,234 | 1,188 | 2,422 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 500 | 442 | 942 | 0-14 | 541 | 476 | 1,017 |
| 15-24 | 209 | 205 | 414 | 15-24 | 183 | 188 | 371 |
| 25-59 | 342 | 368 | 710 | 25-59 | 383 | 411 | 794 |
| 25-64 | 390 | 400 | 790 | 25-64 | 410 | 447 | 857 |
| 60+ | 126 | 99 | 225 | $60+$ | 127 | 112 | 239 |
| $65+$ | 78 | 67 | 145 | 65+ | 100 | 76 | 176 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 42 | 40 | 41 | 0-14 | 44 | 40 | 42 |
| 15-24 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 15-24 | 15 | 16 | 15 |
| 25-59 | 29 | 33 | 31 | 25-59 | 31 | 35 | 33 |
| 25-64 | 33 | 36 | 34 | 25-64 | 33 | 38 | 35 |
| $60+$ | 11 | 9 | 10 | $60+$ | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| 65+ | 7 | 6 | 6 | 65+ | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 104 | 15-59 |  |  | 108 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 90 | 15-64 |  |  | 97 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 106 |  |  |  | 104 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.8 | 20.2 | 19.4 | Total | 18.1 | 20.1 | 19.1 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 57 | 74 | 131 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 6 | 7 | 13 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 6.6 | 5.7 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

## LEIMATU'A

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| LEIMATU'A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 179 | 189 | 368 | 0-4 | 193 | 189 | 382 |
| 5-9 | 194 | 163 | 357 | 5-9 | 191 | 163 | 354 |
| 10-14 | 204 | 182 | 386 | 10-14 | 180 | 169 | 349 |
| 15-19 | 185 | 143 | 328 | 15-19 | 132 | 143 | 275 |
| 20-24 | 116 | 111 | 227 | 20-24 | 120 | 114 | 234 |
| 25-29 | 92 | 90 | 182 | 25-29 | 95 | 91 | 186 |
| 30-34 | 75 | 75 | 150 | 30-34 | 83 | 83 | 166 |
| 35-39 | 59 | 73 | 132 | 35-39 | 80 | 73 | 153 |
| 40-44 | 53 | 76 | 129 | 40-44 | 48 | 54 | 102 |
| 45-49 | 50 | 53 | 103 | 45-49 | 59 | 62 | 121 |
| 50-54 | 55 | 53 | 108 | 50-54 | 40 | 56 | 96 |
| 55-59 | 34 | 44 | 78 | 55-59 | 55 | 53 | 108 |
| 60-64 | 41 | 38 | 79 | 60-64 | 32 | 33 | 65 |
| 65-69 | 32 | 20 | 52 | 65-69 | 27 | 24 | 51 |
| 70-74 | 16 | 22 | 38 | 70-74 | 30 | 19 | 49 |
| 75+ | 12 | 24 | 36 | 75+ | 21 | 29 | 50 |
| Total | 1,397 | 1,356 | 2,753 | Total | 1,387 | 1,355 | 2,742 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 577 | 534 | 1,111 | 0-14 | 564 | 521 | 1,085 |
| 15-24 | 301 | 254 | 555 | 15-24 | 252 | 257 | 509 |
| 25-59 | 418 | 464 | 882 | 25-59 | 460 | 472 | 932 |
| 25-64 | 459 | 502 | 961 | 25-64 | 492 | 505 | 997 |
| 60+ | 101 | 104 | 205 | 60+ | 110 | 105 | 215 |
| 65+ | 60 | 66 | 126 | 65+ | 78 | 72 | 150 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 41 | 39 | 40 | 0-14 | 41 | 38 | 40 |
| 15-24 | 22 | 19 | 20 | 15-24 | 18 | 19 | 19 |
| 25-59 | 30 | 34 | 32 | 25-59 | 33 | 35 | 34 |
| 25-64 | 33 | 37 | 35 | 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 |
| $60+$ | 7 | 8 | 7 | $60+$ | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| $65+$ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 65+ | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 92 | 15-59 |  |  | 90 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 82 | 15-64 |  |  | 82 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 103 |  |  |  | 102 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.3 | 20.1 | 19.1 | Total | 19.9 | 20.6 | 20.2 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | -10 | -1 | -11 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | -0.7 | -0.1 | -0.4 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## HIHIFO

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| НIHIFO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 182 | 164 | 346 | 0-4 | 175 | 144 | 319 |
| 5-9 | 164 | 136 | 300 | 5-9 | 161 | 141 | 302 |
| 10-14 | 149 | 154 | 303 | 10-14 | 153 | 148 | 301 |
| 15-19 | 146 | 125 | 271 | 15-19 | 122 | 111 | 233 |
| 20-24 | 97 | 88 | 185 | 20-24 | 71 | 81 | 152 |
| 25-29 | 88 | 83 | 171 | 25-29 | 75 | 55 | 130 |
| 30-34 | 66 | 58 | 124 | 30-34 | 69 | 74 | 143 |
| 35-39 | 57 | 53 | 110 | 35-39 | 73 | 67 | 140 |
| 40-44 | 48 | 54 | 102 | 40-44 | 44 | 48 | 92 |
| 45-49 | 41 | 61 | 102 | 45-49 | 43 | 42 | 85 |
| 50-54 | 58 | 31 | 89 | 50-54 | 39 | 44 | 83 |
| 55-59 | 39 | 46 | 85 | 55-59 | 30 | 35 | 65 |
| 60-64 | 25 | 36 | 61 | 60-64 | 44 | 24 | 68 |
| 65-69 | 30 | 14 | 44 | 65-69 | 27 | 28 | 55 |
| 70-74 | 27 | 12 | 39 | 70-74 | 28 | 25 | 53 |
| 75+ | 13 | 30 | 43 | 75+ | 21 | 25 | 46 |
| Total | 1,230 | 1,145 | 2,375 | Total | 1,175 | 1,092 | 2,267 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 495 | 454 | 949 | 0-14 | 489 | 433 | 922 |
| 15-24 | 243 | 213 | 456 | 15-24 | 193 | 192 | 385 |
| 25-59 | 397 | 386 | 783 | 25-59 | 373 | 365 | 738 |
| 25-64 | 422 | 422 | 844 | 25-64 | 417 | 389 | 806 |
| 60+ | 95 | 92 | 187 | $60+$ | 120 | 102 | 222 |
| 65+ | 70 | 56 | 126 | 65+ | 76 | 78 | 154 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 0-14 | 42 | 40 | 41 |
| 15-24 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 15-24 | 16 | 18 | 17 |
| 25-59 | 32 | 34 | 33 | 25-59 | 32 | 33 | 33 |
| 25-64 | 34 | 37 | 36 | 25-64 | 35 | 36 | 36 |
| 60+ | 8 | 8 | 8 | $60+$ | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| 65+ | 6 | 5 | 5 | $65+$ | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 92 | 15-59 |  |  | 102 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 83 | 15-64 |  |  | 90 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 107 |  |  |  | 108 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.1 | 19.8 | 19.4 | Total | 19.1 | 20.2 | 19.5 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | -55 | -53 | -108 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | -6 | -5 | -11 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | -4.5 | -4.6 | -4.5 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | -0.5 | -0.5 | -0.5 |

## MOTU

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| MOTU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 90 | 86 | 176 | 0-4 | 59 | 56 | 115 |
| 5-9 | 103 | 83 | 186 | 5-9 | 63 | 49 | 112 |
| 10-14 | 85 | 76 | 161 | 10-14 | 54 | 48 | 102 |
| 15-19 | 54 | 60 | 114 | 15-19 | 27 | 32 | 59 |
| 20-24 | 36 | 51 | 87 | 20-24 | 25 | 25 | 50 |
| 25-29 | 52 | 52 | 104 | 25-29 | 26 | 26 | 52 |
| 30-34 | 47 | 41 | 88 | 30-34 | 27 | 24 | 51 |
| 35-39 | 34 | 32 | 66 | 35-39 | 22 | 27 | 49 |
| 40-44 | 37 | 30 | 67 | 40-44 | 33 | 20 | 53 |
| 45-49 | 31 | 23 | 54 | 45-49 | 26 | 23 | 49 |
| 50-54 | 22 | 17 | 39 | 50-54 | 28 | 20 | 48 |
| 55-59 | 25 | 24 | 49 | 55-59 | 20 | 14 | 34 |
| 60-64 | 18 | 23 | 41 | 60-64 | 9 | 11 | 20 |
| 65-69 | 16 | 27 | 43 | 65-69 | 16 | 10 | 26 |
| 70-74 | 20 | 19 | 39 | 70-74 | 10 | 12 | 22 |
| 75+ | 18 | 16 | 34 | 75+ | 11 | 22 | 33 |
| Total | 688 | 660 | 1,348 | Total | 456 | 419 | 875 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 278 | 245 | 523 | 0-14 | 176 | 153 | 329 |
| 15-24 | 90 | 111 | 201 | 15-24 | 52 | 57 | 109 |
| 25-59 | 248 | 219 | 467 | 25-59 | 182 | 154 | 336 |
| 25-64 | 266 | 242 | 508 | 25-64 | 191 | 165 | 356 |
| 60+ | 72 | 85 | 157 | $60+$ | 46 | 55 | 101 |
| 65+ | 54 | 62 | 116 | 65+ | 37 | 44 | 81 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 40 | 37 | 39 | 0-14 | 39 | 37 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 13 | 17 | 15 | 15-24 | 11 | 14 | 12 |
| 25-59 | 36 | 33 | 35 | 25-59 | 40 | 37 | 38 |
| 25-64 | 39 | 37 | 38 | 25-64 | 42 | 39 | 41 |
| $60+$ | 10 | 13 | 12 | $60+$ | 10 | 13 | 12 |
| 65+ | 8 | 9 | 9 | 65+ | 8 | 11 | 9 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 102 | 15-59 |  |  | 97 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 90 | 15-64 |  |  | 88 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 104 |  |  |  | 109 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 21.7 | 22.5 | 22.2 | Total | 25.1 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | -232 | -241 | -473 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | -23 | -24 | -47 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | -33.7 | -36.5 | -35.1 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | -4.1 | -4.5 | -4.3 |

## PANGAI HP

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| PANGAI HP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 227 | 194 | 421 | 0-4 | 200 | 171 | 371 |
| 5-9 | 211 | 176 | 387 | 5-9 | 186 | 163 | 349 |
| 10-14 | 195 | 174 | 369 | 10-14 | 229 | 189 | 418 |
| 15-19 | 145 | 143 | 288 | 15-19 | 195 | 147 | 342 |
| 20-24 | 100 | 89 | 189 | 20-24 | 99 | 111 | 210 |
| 25-29 | 119 | 109 | 228 | 25-29 | 96 | 101 | 197 |
| 30-34 | 94 | 89 | 183 | 30-34 | 75 | 101 | 176 |
| 35-39 | 88 | 72 | 160 | 35-39 | 84 | 88 | 172 |
| 40-44 | 66 | 73 | 139 | 40-44 | 70 | 73 | 143 |
| 45-49 | 46 | 63 | 109 | 45-49 | 68 | 61 | 129 |
| 50-54 | 54 | 58 | 112 | 50-54 | 49 | 56 | 105 |
| 55-59 | 52 | 55 | 107 | 55-59 | 34 | 54 | 88 |
| 60-64 | 45 | 46 | 91 | 60-64 | 32 | 29 | 61 |
| 65-69 | 33 | 49 | 82 | 65-69 | 35 | 42 | 77 |
| 70-74 | 18 | 21 | 39 | 70-74 | 30 | 27 | 57 |
| 75+ | 31 | 31 | 62 | 75+ | 30 | 42 | 72 |
| Total | 1,524 | 1,442 | 2,966 | Total | 1,512 | 1,455 | 2,967 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 633 | 544 | 1,177 | 0-14 | 615 | 523 | 1,138 |
| 15-24 | 245 | 232 | 477 | 15-24 | 294 | 258 | 552 |
| 25-59 | 519 | 519 | 1,038 | 25-59 | 476 | 534 | 1,010 |
| 25-64 | 564 | 565 | 1,129 | 25-64 | 508 | 563 | 1,071 |
| 60+ | 127 | 147 | 274 | 60+ | 127 | 140 | 267 |
| 65+ | 82 | 101 | 183 | 65+ | 95 | 111 | 206 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 42 | 38 | 40 | 0-14 | 41 | 36 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15-24 | 19 | 18 | 19 |
| 25-59 | 34 | 36 | 35 | 25-59 | 31 | 37 | 34 |
| 25-64 | 37 | 39 | 38 | 25-64 | 34 | 39 | 36 |
| 60+ | 8 | 10 | 9 | $60+$ | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| 65+ | 5 | 7 | 6 | 65+ | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 96 | 15-59 |  |  | 90 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 85 | 15-64 |  |  | 83 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 106 |  |  |  | 104 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.5 | 21.9 | 20.5 | Total | 18.6 | 22.6 | 20.1 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | -12 | 13 | 1 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| Percenta | ence \% |  |  |  | -0.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Average | growth |  |  |  | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

## FOA

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| FOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 96 | 93 | 189 | 0-4 | 106 | 94 | 201 |
| 5-9 | 101 | 96 | 197 | 5-9 | 99 | 82 | 181 |
| 10-14 | 113 | 93 | 206 | 10-14 | 84 | 88 | 172 |
| 15-19 | 75 | 71 | 146 | 15-19 | 88 | 76 | 164 |
| 20-24 | 57 | 50 | 107 | 20-24 | 75 | 71 | 146 |
| 25-29 | 45 | 53 | 98 | 25-29 | 56 | 43 | 99 |
| 30-34 | 33 | 42 | 75 | 30-34 | 42 | 33 | 75 |
| 35-39 | 32 | 32 | 64 | 35-39 | 47 | 35 | 82 |
| 40-44 | 29 | 41 | 70 | 40-44 | 28 | 45 | 73 |
| 45-49 | 24 | 33 | 57 | 45-49 | 32 | 30 | 62 |
| 50-54 | 23 | 21 | 44 | 50-54 | 21 | 29 | 50 |
| 55-59 | 27 | 27 | 54 | 55-59 | 20 | 27 | 47 |
| 60-64 | 20 | 17 | 37 | 60-64 | 21 | 19 | 40 |
| 65-69 | 18 | 17 | 35 | 65-69 | 23 | 15 | 38 |
| 70-74 | 5 | 14 | 19 | 70-74 | 11 | 8 | 19 |
| 75+ | 19 | 17 | 36 | 75+ | 10 | 17 | 27 |
| Total | 717 | 717 | 1,434 | Total | 765 | 714 | 1,479 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 310 | 282 | 592 | 0-14 | 290 | 265 | 554 |
| 15-24 | 132 | 121 | 253 | 15-24 | 163 | 147 | 311 |
| 25-59 | 213 | 249 | 462 | 25-59 | 247 | 243 | 489 |
| 25-64 | 233 | 266 | 499 | 25-64 | 268 | 262 | 529 |
| 60+ | 62 | 65 | 127 | $60+$ | 65 | 59 | 124 |
| 65+ | 42 | 48 | 90 | 65+ | 44 | 40 | 84 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 43 | 39 | 41 | 0-14 | 38 | 37 | 37 |
| 15-24 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 15-24 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 25-59 | 30 | 35 | 32 | 25-59 | 32 | 34 | 33 |
| 25-64 | 32 | 37 | 35 | 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 |
| $60+$ | 9 | 9 | 9 | $60+$ | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| 65+ | 6 | 7 | 6 | 65+ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 101 | 15-59 |  |  | 85 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 91 | 15-64 |  |  | 76 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  | 107 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.3 | 20.6 | 19.3 | Total | 20.3 | 21.2 | 20.7 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 48 | -3 | 45 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 4 |
| Percenta | ence \% |  |  |  | 6.7 | -0.4 | 3.1 |
| Average | growth |  |  |  | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 |

## LULUNGA

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| LULUNGA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 102 | 101 | 203 | 0-4 | 65 | 75 | 140 |
| 5-9 | 90 | 96 | 186 | 5-9 | 91 | 66 | 157 |
| 10-14 | 84 | 60 | 144 | 10-14 | 64 | 47 | 111 |
| 15-19 | 38 | 59 | 97 | 15-19 | 53 | 39 | 92 |
| 20-24 | 58 | 58 | 116 | 20-24 | 55 | 32 | 87 |
| 25-29 | 42 | 36 | 78 | 25-29 | 30 | 34 | 64 |
| 30-34 | 38 | 34 | 72 | 30-34 | 42 | 38 | 80 |
| 35-39 | 31 | 34 | 65 | 35-39 | 35 | 20 | 55 |
| 40-44 | 23 | 22 | 45 | 40-44 | 31 | 18 | 49 |
| 45-49 | 21 | 30 | 51 | 45-49 | 19 | 30 | 49 |
| 50-54 | 24 | 19 | 43 | 50-54 | 22 | 19 | 41 |
| 55-59 | 29 | 24 | 53 | 55-59 | 17 | 19 | 36 |
| 60-64 | 24 | 23 | 47 | 60-64 | 16 | 19 | 35 |
| 65-69 | 16 | 14 | 30 | 65-69 | 21 | 17 | 38 |
| 70-74 | 11 | 13 | 24 | 70-74 | 22 | 6 | 28 |
| 75+ | 16 | 12 | 28 | 75+ | 2 | 10 | 12 |
| Total | 647 | 635 | 1,282 | Total | 586 | 489 | 1,075 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 276 | 257 | 533 | 0-14 | 220 | 188 | 408 |
| 15-24 | 96 | 117 | 213 | 15-24 | 108 | 71 | 179 |
| 25-59 | 208 | 199 | 407 | 25-59 | 196 | 178 | 374 |
| 25-64 | 232 | 222 | 454 | 25-64 | 212 | 197 | 409 |
| 60+ | 67 | 62 | 129 | $60+$ | 61 | 52 | 113 |
| 65+ | 43 | 39 | 82 | 65+ | 45 | 33 | 78 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 43 | 40 | 42 | 0-14 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 15-24 | 18 | 15 | 17 |
| 25-59 | 32 | 31 | 32 | 25-59 | 34 | 36 | 35 |
| 25-64 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 25-64 | 36 | 40 | 38 |
| $60+$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | $60+$ | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| 65+ | 7 | 6 | 6 | 65+ | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 107 | 15-59 |  |  | 94 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 92 | 15-64 |  |  | 83 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 102 |  |  |  | 120 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20.9 | 20.2 | 20.5 | Total | 21.8 | 22.8 | 22.2 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | -61 | -146 | -207 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | -6 | -15 | -21 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | -9.4 | -23.0 | -16.1 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | -1.0 | -2.6 | -1.8 |

MU'OMU'A

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| $\mathrm{MU}^{\prime} O \mathrm{MU}^{\prime}$ A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 42 | 62 | 104 | 0-4 | 50 | 31 | 81 |
| 5-9 | 72 | 58 | 130 | 5-9 | 46 | 49 | 95 |
| 10-14 | 44 | 43 | 87 | 10-14 | 26 | 38 | 64 |
| 15-19 | 22 | 28 | 50 | 15-19 | 47 | 23 | 70 |
| 20-24 | 26 | 29 | 55 | 20-24 | 16 | 24 | 40 |
| 25-29 | 27 | 26 | 53 | 25-29 | 21 | 22 | 43 |
| 30-34 | 18 | 17 | 35 | 30-34 | 18 | 20 | 38 |
| 35-39 | 14 | 20 | 34 | 35-39 | 19 | 18 | 37 |
| 40-44 | 19 | 18 | 37 | 40-44 | 14 | 15 | 29 |
| 45-49 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 45-49 | 9 | 13 | 22 |
| 50-54 | 16 | 10 | 26 | 50-54 | 11 | 19 | 30 |
| 55-59 | 15 | 14 | 29 | 55-59 | 12 | 9 | 21 |
| 60-64 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 60-64 | 11 | 5 | 16 |
| 65-69 | 12 | 11 | 23 | 65-69 | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| 70-74 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 70-74 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 75+ | 10 | 8 | 18 | 75+ | 10 | 13 | 23 |
| Total | 360 | 375 | 735 | Total | 321 | 309 | 630 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 158 | 163 | 321 | 0-14 | 122 | 118 | 240 |
| 15-24 | 48 | 57 | 105 | 15-24 | 63 | 47 | 110 |
| 25-59 | 120 | 116 | 236 | 25-59 | 104 | 116 | 220 |
| 25-64 | 127 | 128 | 255 | 25-64 | 115 | 121 | 236 |
| 60+ | 34 | 39 | 73 | $60+$ | 32 | 27 | 59 |
| 65+ | 27 | 27 | 54 | 65+ | 21 | 22 | 43 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 44 | 43 | 44 | 0-14 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 15-24 | 20 | 15 | 17 |
| 25-59 | 33 | 31 | 32 | 25-59 | 32 | 38 | 35 |
| 25-64 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 25-64 | 36 | 39 | 38 |
| 60+ | 9 | 10 | 10 | $60+$ | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| 65+ | 8 | 7 | 7 | 65+ | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 116 | 15-59 |  |  | 91 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 104 | 15-64 |  |  | 82 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  | 104 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20.1 | 19.5 | 19.7 | Total | 19.1 | 22.8 | 20.6 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | -39 | -66 | -105 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | -4 | -7 | -11 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | -10.8 | -17.6 | -14.3 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | -1.1 | -1.9 | -1.5 |

## HA'ANO

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| HA'ANO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 50 | 42 | 92 | 0-4 | 40 | 34 | 74 |
| 5-9 | 62 | 41 | 103 | 5-9 | 42 | 46 | 88 |
| 10-14 | 38 | 43 | 81 | 10-14 | 44 | 30 | 74 |
| 15-19 | 37 | 43 | 80 | 15-19 | 32 | 22 | 54 |
| 20-24 | 37 | 38 | 75 | 20-24 | 20 | 18 | 38 |
| 25-29 | 19 | 15 | 34 | 25-29 | 15 | 19 | 34 |
| 30-34 | 15 | 26 | 41 | 30-34 | 25 | 26 | 51 |
| 35-39 | 19 | 19 | 38 | 35-39 | 17 | 18 | 35 |
| 40-44 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 40-44 | 17 | 17 | 34 |
| 45-49 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 45-49 | 7 | 17 | 24 |
| 50-54 | 16 | 28 | 44 | 50-54 | 10 | 8 | 18 |
| 55-59 | 22 | 14 | 36 | 55-59 | 6 | 12 | 18 |
| 60-64 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 60-64 | 12 | 19 | 31 |
| 65-69 | 10 | 12 | 22 | 65-69 | 6 | 8 | 14 |
| 70-74 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 70-74 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 75+ | 16 | 18 | 34 | 75+ | 8 | 12 | 20 |
| Total | 388 | 385 | 773 | Total | 307 | 312 | 619 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 150 | 126 | 276 | 0-14 | 127 | 110 | 237 |
| 15-24 | 74 | 81 | 155 | 15-24 | 52 | 40 | 92 |
| 25-59 | 112 | 132 | 244 | 25-59 | 98 | 117 | 215 |
| 25-64 | 132 | 142 | 274 | 25-64 | 110 | 136 | 246 |
| 60+ | 52 | 46 | 98 | $60+$ | 30 | 45 | 75 |
| $65+$ | 32 | 36 | 68 | 65+ | 18 | 26 | 44 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 39 | 33 | 36 | 0-14 | 41 | 35 | 38 |
| 15-24 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 15-24 | 17 | 13 | 15 |
| 25-59 | 29 | 34 | 32 | 25-59 | 32 | 38 | 35 |
| 25-64 | 34 | 37 | 35 | 25-64 | 36 | 44 | 40 |
| $60+$ | 13 | 12 | 13 | $60+$ | 10 | 14 | 12 |
| 65+ | 8 | 9 | 9 | 65+ | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 94 | 15-59 |  |  | 102 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 80 | 15-64 |  |  | 83 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 101 |  |  |  | 98 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 21.0 | 23.2 | 22.1 | Total | 19.2 | 26.7 | 22.5 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | -81 | -73 | -154 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | -8 | -7 | -15 |
| Percent | ence \% |  |  |  | -20.9 | -19.0 | -19.9 |
| Average | growth |  |  |  | -2.3 | -2.1 | -2.2 |

## UIHA

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006


| UIHA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 67 | 67 | 134 | 0-4 | 55 | 36 | 92 |
| 5-9 | 85 | 48 | 133 | 5-9 | 54 | 52 | 107 |
| 10-14 | 64 | 57 | 121 | 10-14 | 44 | 52 | 97 |
| 15-19 | 35 | 46 | 81 | 15-19 | 50 | 29 | 80 |
| 20-24 | 26 | 39 | 65 | 20-24 | 41 | 29 | 71 |
| 25-29 | 37 | 41 | 78 | 25-29 | 29 | 23 | 52 |
| 30-34 | 20 | 19 | 39 | 30-34 | 17 | 25 | 42 |
| 35-39 | 19 | 28 | 47 | 35-39 | 26 | 24 | 50 |
| 40-44 | 23 | 18 | 41 | 40-44 | 19 | 16 | 35 |
| 45-49 | 13 | 25 | 38 | 45-49 | 13 | 12 | 25 |
| 50-54 | 21 | 15 | 36 | 50-54 | 18 | 14 | 32 |
| 55-59 | 16 | 16 | 32 | 55-59 | 8 | 18 | 26 |
| 60-64 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 60-64 | 17 | 14 | 31 |
| 65-69 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 65-69 | 9 | 12 | 21 |
| 70-74 | 11 | 13 | 24 | 70-74 | 6 | 9 | 15 |
| 75+ | 11 | 24 | 35 | 75+ | 11 | 12 | 23 |
| Total | 473 | 475 | 948 | Total | 420 | 380 | 800 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 216 | 172 | 388 | 0-14 | 154 | 141 | 295 |
| 15-24 | 61 | 85 | 146 | 15-24 | 92 | 58 | 150 |
| 25-59 | 149 | 162 | 311 | 25-59 | 131 | 133 | 264 |
| 25-64 | 164 | 177 | 341 | 25-64 | 148 | 147 | 295 |
| 60+ | 47 | 56 | 103 | $60+$ | 43 | 47 | 91 |
| $65+$ | 32 | 41 | 73 | 65+ | 26 | 33 | 59 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 46 | 36 | 41 | 0-14 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| 15-24 | 13 | 18 | 15 | 15-24 | 22 | 15 | 19 |
| 25-59 | 32 | 34 | 33 | 25-59 | 31 | 35 | 33 |
| 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 25-64 | 35 | 39 | 37 |
| $60+$ | 10 | 12 | 11 | $60+$ | 10 | 12 | 11 |
| $65+$ | 7 | 9 | 8 | $65+$ | 6 | 9 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 107 | 15-59 |  |  | 93 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 95 | 15-64 |  |  | 80 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  | 111 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.0 | 22.6 | 20.4 | Total | 20.7 | 23.4 | 21.8 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | -53 | -95 | -148 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | -5 | -9 | -15 |
| Percenta | ence \% |  |  |  | -11.2 | -20.0 | -15.6 |
| Average | rowth r |  |  |  | -1.2 | -2.2 | -1.7 |

## 'EUA MOTU'A

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| EUA MOTU'A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 207 | 154 | 361 | 0-4 | 221 | 196 | 418 |
| 5-9 | 189 | 168 | 357 | 5-9 | 213 | 176 | 390 |
| 10-14 | 182 | 165 | 347 | 10-14 | 189 | 151 | 340 |
| 15-19 | 177 | 145 | 322 | 15-19 | 171 | 157 | 328 |
| 20-24 | 143 | 100 | 243 | 20-24 | 119 | 104 | 223 |
| 25-29 | 129 | 125 | 254 | 25-29 | 99 | 91 | 190 |
| 30-34 | 90 | 61 | 151 | 30-34 | 80 | 81 | 161 |
| 35-39 | 65 | 75 | 140 | 35-39 | 100 | 100 | 200 |
| 40-44 | 61 | 60 | 121 | 40-44 | 85 | 62 | 147 |
| 45-49 | 51 | 47 | 98 | 45-49 | 55 | 59 | 114 |
| 50-54 | 46 | 55 | 101 | 50-54 | 55 | 52 | 107 |
| 55-59 | 53 | 38 | 91 | 55-59 | 52 | 46 | 98 |
| 60-64 | 39 | 25 | 64 | 60-64 | 41 | 42 | 83 |
| 65-69 | 25 | 23 | 48 | 65-69 | 42 | 24 | 66 |
| 70-74 | 11 | 19 | 30 | 70-74 | 16 | 16 | 32 |
| 75+ | 24 | 14 | 38 | 75+ | 21 | 29 | 50 |
| Total | 1,492 | 1,274 | 2,766 | Total | 1,562 | 1,387 | 2,949 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 578 | 487 | 1,065 | 0-14 | 624 | 523 | 1,148 |
| 15-24 | 320 | 245 | 565 | 15-24 | 291 | 261 | 552 |
| 25-59 | 495 | 461 | 956 | 25-59 | 527 | 491 | 1,018 |
| 25-64 | 534 | 486 | 1,020 | 25-64 | 568 | 533 | 1,101 |
| 60+ | 99 | 81 | 180 | $60+$ | 120 | 111 | 231 |
| 65+ | 60 | 56 | 116 | 65+ | 79 | 69 | 148 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 39 | 38 | 39 | 0-14 | 40 | 38 | 39 |
| 15-24 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 15-24 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 25-59 | 33 | 36 | 35 | 25-59 | 34 | 35 | 35 |
| 25-64 | 36 | 38 | 37 | 25-64 | 36 | 38 | 37 |
| $60+$ | 7 | 6 | 7 | $60+$ | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 65+ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 65+ | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 82 | 15-59 |  |  | 88 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 75 | 15-64 |  |  | 78 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 117 |  |  |  | 113 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.8 | 20.3 | 19.9 | Total | 19.6 | 20.6 | 20.0 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 70 | 113 | 183 |
| Average annual |  |  |  |  | 7 | 11 | 18 |
| Percentage difference \% |  |  |  |  | 4.7 | 8.9 | 6.6 |
| Average annual growth rate |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |

## ‘EUA FO'OU

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006
1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| EUA FO'OU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 138 | 146 | 284 | 0-4 | 173 | 167 | 340 |
| 5-9 | 146 | 139 | 285 | 5-9 | 167 | 157 | 324 |
| 10-14 | 140 | 139 | 279 | 10-14 | 144 | 137 | 281 |
| 15-19 | 134 | 110 | 244 | 15-19 | 105 | 113 | 218 |
| 20-24 | 105 | 69 | 174 | 20-24 | 81 | 75 | 156 |
| 25-29 | 95 | 78 | 173 | 25-29 | 69 | 72 | 141 |
| 30-34 | 66 | 81 | 147 | 30-34 | 62 | 54 | 116 |
| 35-39 | 59 | 54 | 113 | 35-39 | 70 | 73 | 143 |
| 40-44 | 41 | 38 | 79 | 40-44 | 53 | 65 | 118 |
| 45-49 | 32 | 45 | 77 | 45-49 | 55 | 57 | 112 |
| 50-54 | 48 | 34 | 82 | 50-54 | 36 | 36 | 72 |
| 55-59 | 28 | 29 | 57 | 55-59 | 33 | 39 | 72 |
| 60-64 | 26 | 23 | 49 | 60-64 | 24 | 15 | 39 |
| 65-69 | 34 | 18 | 52 | 65-69 | 24 | 18 | 42 |
| 70-74 | 27 | 18 | 45 | 70-74 | 14 | 14 | 28 |
| 75+ | 13 | 15 | 28 | 75+ | 29 | 25 | 54 |
| Total | 1,132 | 1,036 | 2,168 | Total | 1,140 | 1,117 | 2,257 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 424 | 424 | 848 | 0-14 | 484 | 461 | 945 |
| 15-24 | 239 | 179 | 418 | 15-24 | 186 | 188 | 374 |
| 25-59 | 369 | 359 | 728 | 25-59 | 378 | 396 | 774 |
| 25-64 | 395 | 382 | 777 | 25-64 | 402 | 411 | 813 |
| 60+ | 100 | 74 | 174 | $60+$ | 91 | 72 | 163 |
| 65+ | 74 | 51 | 125 | 65+ | 67 | 57 | 124 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 37 | 41 | 39 | 0-14 | 42 | 41 | 42 |
| 15-24 | 21 | 17 | 19 | 15-24 | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| 25-59 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 25-59 | 33 | 35 | 34 |
| 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 25-64 | 35 | 37 | 36 |
| 60+ | 9 | 7 | 8 | $60+$ | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| 65+ | 7 | 5 | 6 | 65+ | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 89 | 15-59 |  |  | 97 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 81 | 15-64 |  |  | 90 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 109 |  |  |  | 102 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20.4 | 19.3 | 19.8 | Total | 19.1 | 19.3 | 19.2 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 8 | 81 | 89 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 | 9 |
| Percenta | ence \% |  |  |  | 0.7 | 7.8 | 4.1 |
| Average | growth |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 |

## NIUATOPUTAPU

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| NIUATOPUTAPU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 110 | 66 | 176 | 0-4 | 43 | 61 | 104 |
| 5-9 | 102 | 96 | 198 | 5-9 | 64 | 59 | 123 |
| 10-14 | 91 | 88 | 179 | 10-14 | 94 | 59 | 153 |
| 15-19 | 67 | 68 | 135 | 15-19 | 47 | 51 | 98 |
| 20-24 | 27 | 51 | 78 | 20-24 | 34 | 32 | 66 |
| 25-29 | 34 | 35 | 69 | 25-29 | 36 | 31 | 67 |
| 30-34 | 34 | 24 | 58 | 30-34 | 17 | 29 | 46 |
| 35-39 | 36 | 29 | 65 | 35-39 | 32 | 30 | 62 |
| 40-44 | 28 | 31 | 59 | 40-44 | 27 | 25 | 52 |
| 45-49 | 29 | 25 | 54 | 45-49 | 27 | 24 | 51 |
| 50-54 | 28 | 24 | 52 | 50-54 | 22 | 26 | 48 |
| 55-59 | 28 | 24 | 52 | 55-59 | 23 | 12 | 35 |
| 60-64 | 25 | 12 | 37 | 60-64 | 26 | 16 | 42 |
| 65-69 | 12 | 13 | 25 | 65-69 | 18 | 16 | 34 |
| 70-74 | 9 | 4 | 13 | 70-74 | 8 | 10 | 18 |
| 75+ | 13 | 20 | 33 | 75+ | 7 | 11 | 18 |
| Total | 673 | 610 | 1,283 | Total | 526 | 493 | 1,019 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 303 | 250 | 553 | 0-14 | 201 | 179 | 381 |
| 15-24 | 94 | 119 | 213 | 15-24 | 81 | 83 | 164 |
| 25-59 | 217 | 192 | 409 | 25-59 | 184 | 177 | 362 |
| 25-64 | 242 | 204 | 446 | 25-64 | 210 | 193 | 404 |
| 60+ | 59 | 49 | 108 | $60+$ | 59 | 53 | 112 |
| $65+$ | 34 | 37 | 71 | 65+ | 33 | 37 | 70 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 45 | 41 | 43 | 0-14 | 38 | 36 | 37 |
| 15-24 | 14 | 20 | 17 | 15-24 | 15 | 17 | 16 |
| 25-59 | 32 | 31 | 32 | 25-59 | 35 | 36 | 35 |
| 25-64 | 36 | 33 | 35 | 25-64 | 40 | 39 | 40 |
| $60+$ | 9 | 8 | 8 | $60+$ | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 65+ | 5 | 6 | 6 | 65+ | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 106 | 15-59 |  |  | 94 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 95 | 15-64 |  |  | 79 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 110 |  |  |  | 107 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 17.5 | 19.1 | 18.3 | Total | 22.2 | 22.6 | 22.3 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | -147 | -117 | -264 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | -15 | -12 | -26 |
| Percent | ence \% |  |  |  | -21.8 | -19.2 | -20.6 |
| Average | growth |  |  |  | -2.5 | -2.1 | -2.3 |

NIUAFO'OU

Population trend: 1956-2006


Population pyramid by five-year age group and sex, 1996 and 2006

1996 (shaded area) \& 2006 (outlined)


| NIUAFO'OU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 2006* |  |  |  |
| Age | Males | Females | Total | Age | Males | Females | Total |
| Population by 5-year age groups and sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 49 | 52 | 101 | 0-4 | 45 | 30 | 75 |
| 5-9 | 61 | 50 | 111 | 5-9 | 51 | 42 | 93 |
| 10-14 | 47 | 42 | 89 | 10-14 | 49 | 42 | 91 |
| 15-19 | 35 | 28 | 63 | 15-19 | 32 | 31 | 63 |
| 20-24 | 27 | 21 | 48 | 20-24 | 22 | 16 | 38 |
| 25-29 | 32 | 28 | 60 | 25-29 | 18 | 20 | 38 |
| 30-34 | 31 | 26 | 57 | 30-34 | 23 | 18 | 41 |
| 35-39 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 35-39 | 21 | 16 | 37 |
| 40-44 | 18 | 16 | 34 | 40-44 | 30 | 15 | 45 |
| 45-49 | 15 | 14 | 29 | 45-49 | 14 | 10 | 24 |
| 50-54 | 18 | 14 | 32 | 50-54 | 7 | 10 | 17 |
| 55-59 | 15 | 9 | 24 | 55-59 | 12 | 9 | 21 |
| 60-64 | 15 | 8 | 23 | 60-64 | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| 65-69 | 10 | 7 | 17 | 65-69 | 15 | 7 | 22 |
| 70-74 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 70-74 | 6 | 5 | 11 |
| 75+ | 8 | 2 | 10 | 75+ | 10 | 4 | 14 |
| Total | 401 | 334 | 735 | Total | 364 | 282 | 646 |
| Population by broad age groups (in numbers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 157 | 144 | 301 | 0-14 | 145 | 114 | 259 |
| 15-24 | 62 | 49 | 111 | 15-24 | 54 | 47 | 101 |
| 25-59 | 146 | 124 | 270 | 25-59 | 125 | 98 | 223 |
| 25-64 | 161 | 132 | 293 | 25-64 | 133 | 105 | 238 |
| 60+ | 36 | 17 | 53 | 60+ | 39 | 23 | 62 |
| $65+$ | 21 | 9 | 30 | $65+$ | 31 | 16 | 47 |
| Population by broad age groups (in percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 39 | 43 | 41 | 0-14 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 15-24 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15-24 | 15 | 17 | 16 |
| 25-59 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 25-59 | 34 | 35 | 35 |
| 25-64 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 25-64 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| $60+$ | 9 | 5 | 7 | $60+$ | 11 | 8 | 10 |
| 65+ | 5 | 3 | 4 | $65+$ | 9 | 6 | 7 |
| Age dependency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-59 |  |  | 93 | 15-59 |  |  | 99 |
| 15-64 |  |  | 82 | 15-64 |  |  | 90 |
| Sex ratio (males per 100 females) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 120 |  |  |  | 129 |
| Median age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 21.7 | 19.2 | 20.4 | Total | 21.1 | 19.4 | 20.1 |
| Population growth 1996-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Males | Females | Total |
| Total |  |  |  |  | -37 | -52 | -89 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | -4 | -5 | -9 |
| Percent | ence \% |  |  |  | -9.2 | -15.6 | -12.1 |
| Average | growth |  |  |  | -1.0 | -1.7 | -1.3 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{+}=$single mean age at marriage
    ${ }^{++}=$probability of dying between exact age 1 and exact age 5

    * = unemployed includes people that did not work, but did not look for work because they believed that no work was available, or because of weather conditions, or because they could not afford transportation costs

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many censuses and surveys include questions related specifically to fertility, for example, the number of children women have had, and whether they had a birth in the year preceding the inquiry.

    The method seeks to adjust the level of observed age-specific fertility rates, which are assumed to represent the true age pattern of fertility, to agree with the level of fertility indicated by the average parities (average number of children ever born) of women in age groups under 30 or 35 , which are assumed to be accurate. During successful application of this method, the age pattern of the period fertility rates is combined with the level implied by the average parities of younger women to derive a set of fertility rates that is generally more reliable than either of its constituent parts.

    Responses to such questions can be used to estimate fertility indirectly. Some techniques for doing this include the parity/fertility ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{F}$ ) ratio method developed by Brass, based on the average number of children ever born to women in five-year age groups, and women's age pattern of fertility derived from births in the year preceding the census or survey; and the Arriaga technique, which is similar to the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{F}$ ratio method but links data for more than one date. While the Brass $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{F}$ ratio method assumes constant fertility in the past, the Arriaga method does not.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Data provided by Ministry of Health and Ministry of Justice 20

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ 1983. United Nations. Manual X, indirect techniques for demographic estimation. New York: United Nations. 304 p.

[^4]:    * Unemployed include people that did not work, but did not look for work because they believed that no work was available, or because of poor weather conditions, or because they could not afford transportation costs

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note: several households may live in the same dwelling. Therefore the information presented in this section does not refer to the number or percentage of dwellings.

[^6]:    ■ Firewood bought Firewood collected Kerosene ■ Gas ■ Electricity supply

[^7]:    ${ }^{5}$ 1994. Arriaga E.E. Population analysis with microcomputers, volume I, Presentation of techniques, p. 309-310. US Census Bureau, Department of Commerce, USA.

[^8]:    ${ }^{6}$ 1995. United Nations. World Population Prospects. NewYork: United Nations. 886 p.

[^9]:    * = MORTPAK4.1, procedure FERTPF, United Nations

[^10]:    * $=$ PAS spreadsheets, procedure ARFE-2, US Census Bureau

[^11]:    * $=$ using procedure CEBCS of MORTPAK 4.1

[^12]:    * $=$ using procedure CEBCS of MORTPAK 4.1

[^13]:    "Other" includes people that did not work because:

    - they were not interested in finding work: 5,996
    - they were not willing and available to work: 308
    - of weather condition: 159
    they cannot afford transportation cost: 36
    - they did not look for work because they believed that no work was available: 1,241 - not specified: 449

[^14]:    Unemployed include people that did not work because:

    - they did not look for work because they believed that no work was available: 1,241
    - of weather condition: 159
    - they cannot afford transportation cost: 36
    "Other" include people that did not work because:
    - they were not interested in finding work: 5,996
    - not specified: 449

[^15]:    * 122 people who did not state their age were distributed proportionally by known ages in 2006 . This may cause some basic tables to differ slightly from numbers shown here.

[^16]:    * 88 people who did not state their age were distributed proportionally by known ages in 2006. This may cause some basic tables to differ slightly from numbers shown here

[^17]:    * 12 people who did not state their age were distributed proportionally by known ages in 2006.

    This may cause some basic tables to differ slightly from numbers shown here.

[^18]:    * 14 people who did not state their age were distributed proportionally by known ages in 2006. This may cause some basic tables to differ slightly from numbers shown here.

[^19]:    * 5 people who did not state their age were distributed proportionally by known ages in 2006. This may cause some basic tables to differ slightly from numbers shown here.

[^20]:    * 3 people who did not state their age were distributed proportionally by known ages in 2006. This may cause some basic tables to differ slightly from numbers shown here.

